

## Understanding MCMC: Exercise Solutions

1. (a) We compute that  $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (1/3) P(x, \{y\}) = 1/3$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{X}$ .  
 (b) It is not reversible since e.g.  $\pi\{1\}P(1, \{2\}) = (1/3)(3/4) \neq (1/3)(1/4) = \pi\{2\}P(2, \{1\})$ .
  
2. (a) Let  $h(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}$  be the standard normal density. Then if  $X_n$  has density  $h(x)$ , then  $X_{n+1}$  has density given by  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} h(t) h((x - t/2)/\sqrt{3/4}) dt$  which we compute is equal to  $h(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , so that  $X_{n+1}$  also has density  $h(x)$ .  
 (b) If  $X_n$  and  $Z_{n+1}$  are i.i.d. standard normal, then  $X_n/2 + \sqrt{3/4} Z_{n+1}$  is also standard normal.
  
3. We compute the function  $q(x, y)$  as follows. Let  $g(z) = xe^z$  (for fixed  $x$ ). Then  $Y_{n+1} = g(Z_{n+1})$ , where  $Z_{n+1} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  with density  $f_Z$  (say). Now,  $g'(z) = xe^z$  and  $g^{-1}(y) = \log(y/x)$ , so  $g'(g^{-1}(y)) = xe^{\log(y/x)} = x(y/x) = y$ . Hence, by the change-of-variable formula, the density of  $Y_{n+1}$  is given by  $f_Y(y) = f_Z(g^{-1}(y)) |g'(g^{-1}(y))| = f_Z(\log(y/x)) y$ . We conclude that  $q(x, y) = f_Z(\log(y/x)) y$ .  
 Now, if  $C(x, y) = f_Z(\log(y/x))$ , then  $C(x, y) = f_Z(\log y - \log x)$ , so  $C(x, y) = C(y, x)$ .  
 Hence,
 
$$\alpha(x, y) = \min \left[ 1, \frac{\pi(y)q(y, x)}{\pi(x)q(x, y)} \right] = \min \left[ 1, \frac{\pi(y)C(y, x)x}{\pi(x)C(x, y)y} \right] = \min \left[ 1, \frac{x\pi(y)}{y\pi(x)} \right].$$
  
4. (a) Given  $X_n$ , propose  $Y_{n+1} \sim \text{Uniform}[X_n - 1, X_n + 1]$ , then accept (and set  $X_{n+1} = Y_{n+1}$ ) with probability  $\min[1, \pi(Y_{n+1})/\pi(X_n)]$ , otherwise reject (and set  $X_{n+1} = X_n$ ).  
 (b) Let  $\lambda$  be Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then if  $\lambda(A) > 0$ , we can find  $r \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $\lambda(A \cap [r, r + 1]) > 0$ . Then from  $X_0 = x$ , we have positive probability of being inside  $[r, r + 1]$  after  $\geq |x - r| + 1$  iterations. From there, we have positive probability of entering  $A$  on the next iteration. Hence, the chain is  $\lambda$ -irreducible.  
 (c) Assume to the contrary that the chain has periodic decomposition  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{X}_d$  for some  $d \geq 2$ . Find  $r \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $A \subseteq \mathcal{X}_1 \cap [r, r + 1]$  with  $\lambda(A) > 0$ . Then for  $x \in [r, r + 1]$ , we have  $P(x, A) > 0$ , contradicting the fact that  $P(x, \mathcal{X}_1) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}_1$ .

(d) We conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P^n(x, \cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\| = 0$  for  $\pi$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

5. (a) Given  $x_2$ , the 1-component update ( $P_1$ ) replaces  $x_1$  by a draw from the density on  $[0, 1]$  given by  $f(x_1) = \pi((x_1, x_2)) / \int_0^1 \pi((x_1, z)) dz$ . Similarly, the 2-component update ( $P_2$ ) replaces  $x_2$  by a draw from the density on  $[0, 1]$  given by  $h(x_2) = \pi((x_1, x_2)) / \int_0^1 \pi((z, x_2)) dz$ . The deterministic-scan Gibbs sampler then alternately applies  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , while the random-scan Gibbs sampler repeated chooses one of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  uniformly at random.

(b) Let  $\lambda$  be Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{X} = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . Then if  $\lambda(A) > 0$ , then (since  $\pi(\mathbf{x}) > 0$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ ) the chain can reach  $A$  with positive probability in one step of deterministic scan, or two steps of random scan. Hence, the chain is  $\lambda$ -irreducible.

(c) Random-scan Gibbs sampler is always aperiodic (since it might repeat the same update twice). For deterministic-scan, if  $\pi(A) > 0$ , then the chain has positive probability of reaching  $A$  in one iteration from anywhere, so it cannot be periodic.

(d) The deterministic-scan Gibbs sampler has transitions which are absolutely continuous (i.e. have density), so it must be Harris recurrent. For random-scan the chain is absolutely continuous as soon as it has updated *both* components at least once, which must happen eventually with probability 1.

(e) We conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P^n(x, \cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\| = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

6. Let  $\rho(A) = \epsilon^{-1} \int_A (\inf_{x \in C} p(x, y)) \nu(dy)$ , for  $A \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ . Then we claim that  $P(x, \cdot) \geq \epsilon \rho(\cdot)$ , where  $\epsilon = \int_{y \in \mathcal{X}} (\inf_{x \in C} p(x, y)) \nu(dy)$ . The proof is that for  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and any  $A \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$P(x, A) = \int_A p(x, y) \nu(dy) \geq \int_A \left( \inf_{x \in C} p(x, y) \right) \nu(dy) = \epsilon \rho(A).$$

7. (a)  $PV(x) \equiv \mathbf{E}[V(X_{n+1} | X_n = x)] = 1 + (x/2)^2 + (3/4) = x^2/4 + 7/4$ .

(b) We verify that  $PV(x) \leq (5/8)V(x) + (9/8)\mathbf{1}_C(x)$ , i.e. we may take  $\lambda = 5/8$  and  $b = 9/8$ .

(c) Here  $\inf_{x \in C} p(x, y) = p(\sqrt{3}, y) = h((y - \sqrt{3}/2)/\sqrt{3/4})$  for  $y < 0$ , and  $\inf_{x \in C} p(x, y) = p(-\sqrt{3}, y) = h((y - \sqrt{3}/2)/\sqrt{3/4})$  for  $y > 0$ , where again  $h(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}$  is the standard normal density. Then  $\epsilon = \int_{y \in \mathbf{R}} (\inf_{x \in C} p(x, y)) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h((y - \sqrt{3}/2)/\sqrt{3/4}) +$

$\int_0^\infty h((y + \sqrt{3}/2)/\sqrt{3}/4) = 2 \Phi(-1) > 0.31$  (where  $\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x h(t) dt$  is the cdf of a standard normal).

(d) To obtain a quantitative bound, apply the above values of  $\epsilon = 0.31$ ,  $\lambda = 5/8$ ,  $b = 9/8$ , and  $d = \sqrt{3}$  to the results on slides 83 and 84.

8. (a) If  $x \geq \delta$ , then we can reject only to the right, and

$$P[X_{n+1} = X_n | X_n = x] = (2\delta)^{-1} \int_x^{x+\delta} (1 - e^{x-y}) dy = (2\delta)^{-1} (\delta - 1 + e^{-\delta}).$$

If  $x < \delta$ , then we can also reject to the far left, and

$$P[X_{n+1} = X_n | X_n = x] = (2\delta)^{-1} (\delta - 1 + e^{-\delta} + (\delta - x)).$$

(b) The stationary rejection probability is then given by

$$R_\delta = (2\delta)^{-1} \left( \delta - 1 + e^{-\delta} + \int_0^\delta (\delta - x) e^{-x} dx \right) = 1 - (1 - e^{-\delta}) / \delta.$$

We should then choose  $\delta$  so that  $1 - R_\delta \approx 0.234$ , which is achieved at  $\delta \doteq 4.2$  (though any value close to this is fine too).

9. Here

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}(1) = \{ & (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1), (0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1), \\ & (0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1), (0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0), \\ & (1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \}, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}(2) = \{ & (0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0), \\ & (0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1), \\ & (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1) \}, \end{aligned}$$

and the chain never moves between  $\mathcal{X}(1)$  and  $\mathcal{X}(2)$ . Hence, the chain is not  $\phi$ -irreducible. On the other hand, for the uniform distribution on either  $\mathcal{X}(1)$  or  $\mathcal{X}(2)$ , we

have  $\mathbf{P}[a_i = 0] = \mathbf{P}[a_i = 1] = 1/2$  for each  $i$ . Hence, if you used any one-dimensional convergence diagnostic, you would conclude that the chain had converged, even though it was actually stuck in either  $\mathcal{X}(1)$  or  $\mathcal{X}(2)$ .

10. We compute that

$$p(x, y) = q(y) \left( 1 \wedge \frac{\pi(y)q(x)}{\pi(x)q(y)} \right) = \left( q(y) \wedge \frac{\pi(y)q(x)}{\pi(x)} \right) \geq \left( \beta\pi(y) \wedge \pi(y)\beta \right) = \beta\pi(y).$$

It then follows from Theorem 5.7 on slide 57 that  $\|P^n(x, \cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\| \leq 2(1 - \beta)^n$ , for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

11. We have  $\alpha(x, y) = \min[1, \pi(y)/\pi(x)]$  which equals 1 for  $y \leq x$  and equals  $a$  if  $y = x+1$ . The computation for  $PV(x)$  is then the sum of three terms from either proposing a move right and accepting, proposing a move right and rejecting, and proposing a move left (and therefore accepting). The formula for  $\lambda$  follows by factoring out  $V(x) = e^{\beta x}$ . For large enough  $\beta$ , we have  $a - e^{-\beta} > 0$ , and then  $\lambda < 1$ .

12. The conditional densities are a standard result about the bivariate normal distribution. Hence the (deterministic-scan) Gibbs sampler sets  $Y_{n+1} = \rho X_n + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} Z_{n+1}$  and then  $X_{n+1} = \rho Y_{n+1} + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} W_{n+1} = \rho^2 X_n + \rho\sqrt{1 - \rho^2} Z_{n+1} + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} W_{n+1}$  (where  $\{Z_n\}$  and  $\{W_n\}$  are i.i.d. standard normal). Hence, conditional on  $X_n$ , the conditional distribution of  $X_{n+1}$  is normal with mean  $\rho^2 X_n$  and variance  $\left(\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}\right)^2 + \left(\rho\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}\right)^2 = (1 - \rho^2) + (\rho^2(1 - \rho^2)) = 1 - \rho^4$ , as stated. The final statement about  $X_n$  in terms of  $X_0$  then follows by induction.