

STA 261S, Winter 2004, Test #1

(February 11, 2004. Duration: 100 minutes.)

SOLUTIONS

1. Let $\Omega = S = [0, 1]$, and let $L_0(\theta | s) = e^\theta$. Determine (with explanation) whether or not each of the following likelihood functions is equivalent to the likelihood function $L_0(\theta | s)$.

(a) $L_1(\theta | s) = s^2 + e^\theta$.

Solution. Here $\frac{L_1(\theta | s)}{L_0(\theta | s)} = \frac{s^2 + e^\theta}{e^\theta} = s^2 e^{-\theta} + 1$, which depends on θ . Hence, L_1 is NOT equivalent to L_0 .

(b) $L_2(\theta | s) = e^{s^2 + \theta}$.

Solution. Here $\frac{L_2(\theta | s)}{L_0(\theta | s)} = \frac{e^{s^2 + \theta}}{e^\theta} = e^{s^2}$, which does not depend on θ . Hence, L_2 IS equivalent to L_0 .

(c) $L_3(\theta | s) = e^{s^2 \theta}$.

Solution. Here $\frac{L_3(\theta | s)}{L_0(\theta | s)} = \frac{e^{s^2 \theta}}{e^\theta} = e^{(s^2 - 1)\theta}$, which depends on θ . Hence, L_3 is NOT equivalent to L_0 .

2. Let $\Omega = S = (0, 1)$. Suppose the likelihood function, given an observation $s \in S$, is given by $L(\theta | s) = \theta^{2s}(1 - \theta)^{4s}$, for $\theta \in \Omega$.

(a) Compute (with explanation) the Score Function for this likelihood.

Solution. Here $\ell(\theta | s) = \log L(\theta | s) = 2s \log(\theta) + 4s \log(1 - \theta)$, so the Score Function is $S(\theta | s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell(\theta | s) = \frac{2s}{\theta} - \frac{4s}{1 - \theta}$.

(b) Solve (with explanation) the corresponding Score Equation.

Solution. The Score Equation is $S(\theta | s) = 0$, which is equivalent to $2s(1 - \theta) - 4s(\theta) = 0$, or $\theta = 2s/6s = 1/3$.

(c) Determine (with explanation) the MLE, $\hat{\theta}$, for θ .

Solution. Here the derivative $S(\theta | s)$ is well-defined throughout Ω . And, the second derivative $(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta})^2 \ell(\theta | s) = -2s\theta^{-2} - 4s(1 - \theta)^{-2} < 0$ for all $\theta \in \Omega$ and $s \in S$. And, on the boundary as $\theta \rightarrow 0$ or $\theta \rightarrow 1$, the likelihood goes to 0. Hence, the solution to the Score Equation must be a global maximum, so $\hat{\theta} = 1/3$.

3. Let $\Omega = (0, \infty)$, $S = [6, \infty)$, and $P_\theta = \text{Uniform}[6, 5\theta + 6]$ for $\theta \in \Omega$. Suppose we observe the observations x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , with $x_i \geq 6$ for all i .

(a) Compute (with full explanation) the MLE, $\hat{\theta}$, for θ .

Solution. The density of P_θ is equal to $1/5\theta$ for $6 \leq x_i \leq 5\theta + 6$, otherwise 0. Hence, the likelihood function $L(\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is equal to $(1/5\theta)^n$ provided that $6 \leq x_i \leq 5\theta + 6$ for all i , i.e. $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i \leq 5\theta + 6$, otherwise it equals 0. Hence, the likelihood is maximised when $(1/5\theta)^n$ is as large as possible (i.e., θ is as small as possible), subject to the constraint that $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i \leq 5\theta + 6$, i.e. $5\theta + 6 \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i$, i.e. $\theta \geq [(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i) - 6]/5$. The smallest θ satisfying this constraint is $\hat{\theta} = [(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i) - 6]/5 = \frac{1}{5} [\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (x_i - 6)]$, which is the MLE.

(b) Compute (with explanation) the MLE for θ^2 .

Solution. Since the mapping $\theta \mapsto \theta^2$ is 1-1 on S , we can use the “Plug-In Estimator” as the MLE for θ^2 . Thus the MLE for θ^2 is equal to $(\hat{\theta})^2 = \left(\frac{1}{5} [\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (x_i - 6)]\right)^2 = \frac{1}{25} [\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (x_i - 6)^2]$.

4. Suppose we observe three observations: $x_1 = 2$, $x_2 = 3$, $x_3 = 7$.

(a) Compute \bar{x} and S^2 . [Provide actual numbers, not just formulae.]

Solution. $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{3}[2 + 3 + 7] = 12/3 = 4$.

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{3-1}[(2-4)^2 + (3-4)^2 + (7-4)^2] = \frac{1}{2}[4 + 1 + 9] = 14/2 = 7.$$

(b) Suppose the statistical model is a Location-Scale Model, with $\Omega = \mathbf{R} \times (0, \infty)$, and $P_{(\mu, \sigma^2)} = N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ for $(\mu, \sigma^2) \in \Omega$. Compute (with explanation) a 95% confidence interval for μ . [You should provide an explicit numerical formula, but you do not need to simplify arithmetic expressions. You may use the facts that if $T_2 \sim t(2)$, $T_3 \sim t(3)$, and $T_4 \sim t(4)$, then $P[T_2 \leq -2.92] \doteq P[T_3 \leq -2.35] \doteq P[T_4 \leq -2.13] \doteq 0.05$, and $P[T_2 \leq -4.30] \doteq P[T_3 \leq -3.18] \doteq P[T_4 \leq -2.78] \doteq 0.025$.]

Solution. We know that under P_θ , $T \equiv \sqrt{n/S^2}(\bar{X} - \mu) \sim t(n-1)$, i.e. $T \equiv \sqrt{3/7}(\bar{X} - \mu) \sim t(2)$. Hence, $P[-4.30 < T < +4.30] = 1 - P[T \leq -4.30] - P[T \geq +4.30] = 1 - 2P[T \leq -4.30] \doteq 1 - 2(0.025) = 0.95$. Thus, $0.95 = P[-4.30 < \sqrt{3/7}(\bar{X} - \mu) < +4.30] = P[\bar{X} - 4.30\sqrt{7/3} < \mu < \bar{X} + 4.30\sqrt{7/3}]$. Hence, a 95% C.I. is $(\bar{x} - 4.30\sqrt{7/3}, \bar{x} + 4.30\sqrt{7/3}) = (4 - 4.30\sqrt{7/3}, 4 + 4.30\sqrt{7/3})$. [This equals $(-2.57, 10.57)$, but you don't need to compute that.]

(c) Suppose the statistical model is a Location Model, with $\Omega = \mathbf{R}$, and $P_\theta = N(\theta, 4)$ for

$\theta \in \Omega$. Compute (with explanation) a P-value for the null hypothesis $H_0 : \theta = 6$ versus the alternative hypothesis $H_1 : \theta \neq 6$. [You may leave your answer in terms of the Φ function.]

Solution. We know that under P_6 , $Z \equiv \sqrt{n/\sigma^2}(\bar{X} - 6) = \sqrt{3/4}(\bar{X} - 6) \sim N(0, 1)$. The observed value of Z was $\sqrt{3/4}(4 - 6) = -\sqrt{3}$. The probability (under P_6) of observing a value which is at least as surprising, is equal to $P[|Z| \geq \sqrt{3}] = 2\Phi(-\sqrt{3})$. [This equals 0.0833, but you don't need to compute that.]

5. Let $\Omega = S = \mathbf{R}$, with $P_\theta = \text{Uniform}[\theta - 3, \theta + 3]$ for $\theta \in \Omega$. Suppose we observe x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{100} , and that $\bar{x} = 11$.

(a) Find $C_1 > 0$ and C_2 (which may depend on θ , but may not depend on x_1, \dots, x_{100}) such that if $Z = C_1(\bar{X} - C_2)$, then under P_θ , Z has mean 0 and variance 1. [Here \bar{X} stands for the corresponding random variable, as opposed to the observed value \bar{x} . Also, recall that the $\text{Uniform}[a, b]$ distribution has mean $(a + b)/2$, and variance $(b - a)^2/12$.]

Solution. Here P_θ has mean $[(\theta - 3) + (\theta + 3)]/2 = \theta$, and variance $[(\theta + 3) - (\theta - 3)]^2/12 = 6^2/12 = 36/12 = 3$. Hence, \bar{X} has mean θ and variance $3/n = 3/100$. Hence, if $C_1 = 1/\sqrt{3/100} = 10/\sqrt{3}$ and $C_2 = \theta$, then $Z = C_1(\bar{X} - C_2) = 10(\bar{X} - \theta)/\sqrt{3}$ has mean 0 and variance 1 under P_θ .

(b) Compute (with explanation) an approximate 95% confidence interval for θ . [Hint: Use the C.L.T.]

Solution. Since $n = 100$ is reasonably large, we can use the C.L.T. approximation to conclude that under P_θ , $Z \approx N(0, 1)$, i.e. $10(\bar{X} - \theta)/\sqrt{3} \approx N(0, 1)$. Thus $0.95 \doteq P[-1.96 < (\bar{X} - \theta)(10/\sqrt{3}) < +1.96] = P[\bar{X} - (\sqrt{3}/10)1.96 < \theta < \bar{X} + (\sqrt{3}/10)1.96]$. Hence, a 95% C.I. is $(\bar{x} - 1.96\sqrt{3}/10, \bar{x} + 1.96\sqrt{3}/10) = (11 - 0.196\sqrt{3}, 11 + 0.196\sqrt{3})$. [This equals (10.66, 11.34), but you don't need to compute that.]

6. Suppose $\Omega = S = \mathbf{R}$, and we observe two observations x_1 and x_2 , and the likelihood function is given by $L(\theta | x_1, x_2) = \exp[(x_1 - \theta)^2] \exp[2\theta x_2]$. Let $T(x_1, x_2) = x_1 - x_2$.

(a) Is T a sufficient statistic for θ ? (Explain your reasoning.)

Solution. Yes, T is sufficient. Indeed, $L(\theta | x_1, x_2) = \exp[(x_1 - \theta)^2 + 2\theta x_2] = \exp[x_1^2 - 2\theta x_1 + \theta^2 + 2\theta x_2] = \exp[x_1^2 + \theta^2 - 2\theta T(x_1, x_2)] = h(x_1, x_2) g_\theta(T(x_1, x_2))$, where $h(x_1, x_2) = \exp[x_1^2]$, and $g_\theta(t) = \exp[\theta^2 - 2\theta t]$. Hence, by the Factorisation Theorem, T is sufficient.

(b) Is T a minimal sufficient statistic for θ ? (Explain your reasoning.)

Solution. Yes, T is minimal.

Proof #1: Indeed, if $L(\theta | x_1, x_2) = K L(\theta | y_1, y_2)$ for all $\theta \in \Omega$, then

$$L(1 | x_1, x_2)/L(0 | x_1, x_2) = L(1 | y_1, y_2)/L(0 | y_1, y_2).$$

Hence,

$$L(1 | x_1, x_2)/L(0 | x_1, x_2) = L(1 | y_1, y_2)/L(0 | y_1, y_2),$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp[x_1^2 + 1^2 - 2(1)T(x_1, x_2)] / \exp[x_1^2 + 0^2 - 2(0)T(x_1, x_2)] \\ &= \exp[y_1^2 + 1^2 - 2(1)T(y_1, y_2)] / \exp[y_1^2 + 0^2 - 2(0)T(y_1, y_2)], \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\exp[1 - 2T(x_1, x_2)] = \exp[1 - 2T(y_1, y_2)]$. It follows that $1 - 2T(x_1, x_2) = 1 - 2T(y_1, y_2)$, and so $T(x_1, x_2) = T(y_1, y_2)$. Hence, T is minimal.

Proof #2: If $L(\theta | x_1, x_2) = K L(\theta | y_1, y_2)$ for all $\theta \in \Omega$, then $S(\theta | x_1, x_2) = S(\theta | y_1, y_2)$, i.e. $2\theta - 2\theta T(x_1, x_2) = 2\theta - 2\theta T(y_1, y_2)$, and so $T(x_1, x_2) = T(y_1, y_2)$. Hence, T is minimal.

Proof #3: The solution to the Score Equation is $\theta = T(x_1, x_2)$. Hence, since equivalent likelihoods have the same Score Equation, they also have the same value of T . Hence, T is minimal.