

A Note About Singular Distributions

by Jeffrey S. Rosenthal, www.probability.ca

Let $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$ be i.i.d. equal to 1 with probability $2/3$, or 0 with probability $1/3$.

Let $Y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n 2^{-n}$, so that the base-2 expansion of Y is $0.Z_1 Z_2 \dots$ (base 2).

What kind of random variable is Y ?

Well, clearly $\mathbf{P}(Y = c) = 0$ for each fixed real number c , since the infinitely-long base-2 expansion of Y would all have to be “exactly right” to equal c , which has probability 0. So, Y has no discrete component.

But could there be a density function f with $\mathbf{P}(a < Y < b) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$ for all $a < b$? Actually, no, there couldn't! Why not?

Well, for any real number r , let

$$\mathbf{FR}(r) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(r)$$

where $d_i(r)$ is the i^{th} digit in the base-2 expansion of r . That is, $\mathbf{FR}(r)$ is the limiting fraction of 1's in the base-2 expansion of r .

(Strictly speaking, some special real numbers have two different possible base-2 expansions, e.g. $3/4 = 0.1100000\dots = 0.1011111\dots$. In such cases, we could specify that we always choose the non-terminating option. Anyway this is not too important.)

First consider $U \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$. The base-2 expansion of U has each digit equally likely to be 0 or 1 (since e.g. U is equally likely to be in $[0, 1/2)$ or $[1/2, 1]$, and then equally likely to be in $[0, 1/4)$ or $[1/4, 1/2)$, etc.). So, if $U \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$, then $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{FR}(U) = 1/2) = 1$. That is, for U , the limiting fraction of 1's is always $1/2$.

Next, let $S_{2/3} = \{x \in [0, 1]; \mathbf{FR}(x) = 2/3\}$ be the collection of numbers with limiting fraction of 1's equal to $2/3$.

Then, by the above, $\mathbf{P}(U \in S_{2/3}) = 0$.

However, by the construction of Y above, we must have $\mathbf{P}(Y \in S_{2/3}) = 1 > 0$.

Now, if Y had a density function f , then by definition, for all $a < b$,

$$\mathbf{P}(a \leq Y \leq b) = \int_a^b f(x) dx,$$

i.e.

$$\mathbf{P}(Y \in [a, b]) = \int_{[a, b]} f(x) dx.$$

It would then follow that for any (measurable) subset A ,

$$\mathbf{P}(Y \in A) = \int_A f(x) dx.$$

In particular, it would be true that

$$\mathbf{P}(Y \in S_{2/3}) = \int_{S_{2/3}} f(x) dx. \quad (*)$$

But here's the problem. These integrals are all with respect to “ dx ”, which corresponds to the uniform distribution (formally: Lebesgue measure). And, integrating any function f over any set with zero uniform probability is always zero. For example, if $A = \{1/2\}$ and $B = \{1/3, 3/4\}$, then $\mathbf{P}(U \in A) = 0$, and $\mathbf{P}(U \in B) = 0$, so

$$\int_A f(x) dx = \int_{1/2}^{1/2} f(x) dx = 0; \quad \text{and} \quad \int_B f(x) dx = \int_{1/3}^{1/3} f(x) dx + \int_{3/4}^{3/4} f(x) dx = 0.$$

In this case, since $\mathbf{P}(U \in S_{2/3}) = 0$, we must have

$$\int_{S_{2/3}} f(x) dx = 0.$$

But we know that $\mathbf{P}(Y \in S_{2/3}) = 1 > 0$. This gives a contradiction to $(*)$. Hence, Y does not have any density function f with respect to the usual “ dx ” (i.e. Lebesgue measure).

Distributions like this one for Y , which do not have any discrete component but also do not have any density function component, are called singular distributions. They are a whole different kind of distribution, never even imagined in most undergraduate classes!