

A Clarification in the Paper eigen.pdf

This note expands on the argument at the top of page 11 of the paper “Convergence rates of Markov chains” (J.S. Rosenthal, SIAM Review **37** (1995), 387–405).

As stated there, suppose P is the transition matrix for a Markov chain on a finite state space \mathcal{X} , and $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is transient, and we have found $y \in \mathcal{X}$ and $r \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $P^r(x, y) = \epsilon > 0$ but $P^m(y, x) = 0$ for all $m \geq 0$.

Then if $T = \{j \in \mathcal{X} : P^m(j, x) > 0 \text{ for some } m \geq 0\}$, then $x \in T$ but $y \notin T$.

Furthermore, for $j \in T$ and $z \notin T$, we must have $P^n(z, j) = 0$ for all n . [Proof: Since $j \in T$, we must have $P^m(j, x) > 0$ for some $m \geq 0$. If we had $P^n(z, j) > 0$, then we would have $P^{n+m}(z, x) \geq P^n(z, j) P^m(j, x) > 0$, contradicting the fact that $z \notin T$.]

Using this fact, we then compute that

$$\sum_{j \in T} |(vP^r)(j)| = \sum_{j \in T} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} |v(z) P^r(z, j)| = \sum_{j \in T} \sum_{z \in T} |v(z) P^r(z, j)| .$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in T} |(vP^r)(j)| &= \left(\sum_{z \in T} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{X}} |v(z) P^r(z, j)| \right) - \left(\sum_{z \in T} \sum_{j \notin T} |v(z) P^r(z, j)| \right) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{z \in T} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{X}} |v(z)| P^r(z, j) \right) - \left(\sum_{z \in T} \sum_{j \notin T} |v(z) P^r(z, j)| \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{z \in T} |v(z)| \right) - \left(\sum_{z \in T} \sum_{j \notin T} |v(z) P^r(z, j)| \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Then, since $x \in T$ but $y \notin T$, it follows as claimed that

$$\sum_{j \in T} |(vP^r)(j)| \leq \left(\sum_{z \in T} |v(z)| \right) - \left(|v(x) P^r(x, y)| \right) = \left(\sum_{z \in T} |v(z)| \right) - \epsilon |v(x)| .$$

Then, as noted in the paper, if $vP = \lambda v$ with $|\lambda| = 1$, then

$$\sum_{j \in T} |(vP^r)(j)| = \sum_{j \in T} |\lambda^r v(j)| = \sum_{j \in T} |v(j)| ,$$

so we must have $\epsilon |v(x)| = 0$, hence $v(x) = 0$, as claimed.