# STA257 (Probability and Statistics I) Lecture Notes, Fall 2024

by Jeffrey S. Rosenthal, University of Toronto, www.probability.ca

(Last updated: October 24, 2024)

**Note:** I will update these notes regularly, posting them on the course web page each <u>evening</u> after lectures (though without annotations). However, they are just rough, point-form notes, with no guarantee of completeness or accuracy. They should in no way be regarded as a substitute for <u>attending</u> and learning from all the lectures, <u>studying</u> the course textbook, and <u>doing</u> the suggested homework exercises.

## **Introduction**

• <u>Course Information</u>: See the course web page at: probability.ca/sta257

• <u>Register for PollEverywhere:</u> probability.ca/sta257/pollinfo.html <u>USE UofT EMAIL!</u>

• Who here is doing a specialist or major program involving: Statistics / Data Science? Mathematics? Actuarial Science? Computer Science? Economics/Commerce? Physics/Chemistry/Biology? Education? Psychology/Sociology? Engineering? Other?

• Who here has seen probabilities in elementary school? high school? STA130?

 $\rightarrow$  Don't worry, we will start from scratch. (Just need math.)

• Life is full of randomness and uncertainty: lotteries, card games, computer games, gambling, weather, TTC, airplanes, friends, jobs, classes, science, finance, elections, diseases, safety/risk, demographics, internet routing, legal cases, ... whenever we're not sure of the outcome or what will happen next.

• Lots of interesting probability questions to solve! Such as ....

 $\rightarrow$  What's the probability you'll win the Lotto Max jackpot, i.e. that you will choose the correct 7 distinct numbers between 1 and 50?

 $\rightarrow$  If 200 students each flip a fair coin, then how many Heads is the most likely? How likely? What's the probability of more than 150 Heads?

 $\rightarrow$  If you repeatedly roll a fair 6-sided die [show], then how many rolls will there be <u>on average</u> before the first time you roll a 5?

 $\rightarrow$  At a party of 40 people, what is the probability that some pair of them have the same birthday?

 $\rightarrow$  If a disease affects one person in a thousand, and a test for the disease has 99% accuracy, and you test positive, then what is the probability you have the disease?

 $\rightarrow$  If you pick a number uniformly at random between 0 and 1, then what is the probability that you pick exactly the number 3/4?

 $\rightarrow$  Three-Card Challenge. [demonstration] What are the probabilities of the initial (front) colour? Then, what are the probabilities of the back colour?

• <u>History</u> of Mathematical Probability Theory (in brief):

 $\rightarrow$  Mathematics is very <u>precise</u> and <u>certain</u>. For thousands of years, it simply ignored the <u>uncertainty</u> of probabilities.

 $\rightarrow$  Then, in 1654, the French writer Antoine Gombaud (the "Chevalier de Méré") asked the mathematician Pierre de Fermat some gambling questions:

- $\rightarrow$  Which is more likely (or are they the same) (and are they more than 50%):
- (a) Get at least one six when rolling a fair six-sided die 4 times; or
- (b) Get at least one <u>pair</u> of sixes when rolling <u>two</u> fair six-sided dice 24 times?
  - $\rightarrow$  He thought (a) was  $4 \times (1/6) = 2/3$ , and (b) was  $24 \times (1/36) = 2/3$ . Correct?

 $\rightarrow$  Also: (c) Suppose a gambler is playing a best-of-seven match, where whoever wins 4 (fair) games first in the winner, and so far they have won 3 times and lost 1, but then the match gets <u>interrupted</u>. What is the probability that they <u>would</u> have won the match, if it had been allowed to continue?

 $\rightarrow$  Fermat then corresponded with the mathematician Blaise Pascal to find solutions to these questions (later!), and mathematical probability theory was born!

**POLL:** If you have independent probability 1/2 of winning each game, and you are up 3 games to 1, what do you <u>think</u> is the probability that you will win 4 games first? (A) 1/2. (B) 2/3. (C) 3/4. (D) 7/8. (E) No idea. [Best guess only – later.]

- So, can probabilities be studied mathematically?
  - $\rightarrow$  Can we use <u>certain</u> mathematics to study the <u>uncertainty</u> of probabilities?
  - $\rightarrow$  Yes! That's why we're here! To be certain about our uncertainty!
  - $\rightarrow$  But we have to define our terms carefully ...

## Sample Space (§1.2) (i.e. Section 1.2 of the textbook)

• The first part of any probability model is the sample space, written S, which is the set of all possible outcomes.

- $\rightarrow$  e.g. flip a coin:  $S = \{$ Heads, Tails $\}$ , or  $S = \{H, T\}$ .
- $\rightarrow$  e.g. flip a coin <u>three</u> times in a row:
- $S = \{HHH, HHT, HTH, HTT, THH, THT, TTH, TTT\}.$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  Or, if we only care about the number of Heads:  $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g. tonight's dinner:  $S = \{\text{Beef, Chicken, Fish}\}.$
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g. Canada's next Olympic medal:  $S = \{$ Gold, Silver, Bronze $\}$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g. the number of bees I will see on my walk home:  $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g. the price of IBM stock next month:  $S = [0, \infty)$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g. the height (in cm) of the next student I meet:  $S = (0, \infty)$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g. your grade in this class:  $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 100\}.$
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g. roll one six-sided die:  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}.$
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g. roll <u>two</u> six-sided dice:  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}^2$ , i.e.
- $S = \{11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26,$ 
  - 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46,
  - 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66.
  - $\rightarrow$  Or, if we only care about the <u>sum</u>, instead maybe take  $S = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\}$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g. "Pick any integer between 1 and 10":  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$ .





 $\rightarrow$  e.g. "Pick any number between 0 and 1": S = [0, 1]. (important case!)

• Summary: The sample space S can be <u>any</u> non-empty set which contains <u>all</u> of the possible outcomes. Simple!

• But it gets more interesting when we also have ...

## Probabilities and Events (§1.2)

- An event A is "any" subset  $A \subseteq S$ .
- For any event A, we can define the probability P(A) that it will occur.

 $\rightarrow$  e.g. flip a "fair" coin: P(H) = P(T) = 1/2.

 $\rightarrow$  (Note: We often use e.g. "P(H)" as shorthand for "P({H})", etc.)

 $\rightarrow$  e.g. roll a fair six-sided die: P(1) = P(2) = P(3) = P(4) = P(5) = P(6) = 1/6.

 $\rightarrow$  e.g. Olympic medal: maybe P(Gold)=0.40, P(Silver)=0.15, and P(Bronze)=0.45.

 $\rightarrow$  (Note: We could also write P(Bronze) = 45%, etc. Usually percentages are good for intuition, but pure probabilities (not percentages) are better for calculation.)

- $\rightarrow$  e.g. flip three fair coins:  $P(HHH) = P(HHT) = \ldots = P(TTT) = 1/8$ .
- $\rightarrow$  e.g. roll two fair dice: P(11) = P(12) = ... = P(65) = P(66) = 1/36.
- $\rightarrow$  e.g. Pick any integer between 1 and 10. [Try it!]

<u>Could</u> be "uniform", i.e. P(1) = P(2) = ... = P(10) = 1/10. Or <u>instead</u>, maybe ... P(3)=P(6)=P(7)=0.2, and P(5)=0.1, and P(1)=P(2)=P(4)=P(8)=P(9)=P(10)=0.05.

→ e.g. Pick any number between 0 and 1, "uniformly" ("Uniform[0,1]"): P([0, 1/2]) = 1/2, P([1/2, 1]) = 1/2, P([0, 1/3]) = 1/3, P([1/3, 2/3]) = 1/3, and in general P([a, b]) = b - a whenever  $0 \le a \le b \le 1$ . Diagram:

## **Basic Properties of Probabilities (§1.2)**

- Let's begin with a specific example (and then we will generalise):
- e.g. Olympic medal, with P(Gold)=0.40, P(Silver)=0.15, and P(Bronze)=0.45.

→ Probability of Gold <u>or</u> Silver =  $P({Gold, Silver}) = P({Gold}) + P({Silver}) = 0.40 + 0.15 = 0.55.$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Probability of <u>any</u> medal = Probability of Gold or Silver or Bronze = P({Gold, Silver, Bronze}) = P({Gold}) + P({Silver}) + P({Bronze}) = 0.40 + 0.15 + 0.45 = 1.

 $\rightarrow$  Probability next medal <u>not</u> Gold <u>nor</u> Silver <u>nor</u> Bronze = P( $\emptyset$ ) = 0.

- In general, certain properties <u>must</u> hold for <u>any</u> probability model ("axioms"):
- If A is an event, then  $0 \le P(A) \le 1$ .
- If A = S is the event corresponding to <u>all</u> outcomes, then P(A) = P(S) = 1.
- Or, if  $A = \emptyset$  is the event corresponding to <u>no</u> outcomes, then  $P(A) = P(\emptyset) = 0$ .

• Additivity: If A and B are <u>disjoint</u> events (i.e.  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ), e.g.  $A = \{\text{Gold}\}$  and  $B = \{\text{Silver}\}$ , then  $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B)$ .

• More generally, if  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots$  are any sequence (finite or infinite) of <u>disjoint</u> events (i.e.  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  whenever  $i \neq j$ ), then  $P(\bigcup_i A_i) = \sum_i P(A_i)$ .

- $\rightarrow$  So, in particular, since P(S) = 1, <u>all</u> of the probabilities have to add up to 1.
- $\rightarrow$  e.g. P(Heads) + P(Tails) = 0.5 + 0.5 = 1.
- $\rightarrow$  e.g. P(Gold) + P(Silver) + P(Bronze) = 0.40 + 0.15 + 0.45 = 1.

Suggested Homework: 1.2.1, 1.2.2, 1.2.3, 1.2.4, 1.2.8, 1.2.9, 1.2.10, 1.2.11, 1.2.12, 1.2.13, 1.2.14, 1.2.15.

#### END WEDNESDAY #1 –

#### **Derived Properties of Probabilities** (§1.3)

• Once we know the above properties, then we can <u>use</u> them to prove others too:

• Fact: If  $A^C$  is the complement of A, i.e. the set of all outcomes which are <u>not</u> in A, then  $P(A^C) = 1 - P(A)$ . (Important! Remember this! Use this!)

→ Proof: Note that A and  $A^C$  are disjoint, so  $P(A \cup A^C) = P(A) + P(A^C)$ . But  $P(A \cup A^C) = P(S) = 1$ , so  $1 = P(A) + P(A^C)$ , i.e.  $P(A^C) = 1 - P(A)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  e.g. P(Bronze) = P(<u>not</u> Gold or Silver) = 1-P(Gold or Silver) = 1-0.55 = 0.45.

• Fact: For any events A and B,  $P(A) = P(A \cap B) + P(A \cap B^{C})$ . (\*) Diagram:

→ Proof: The events  $A \cap B$  and  $A \cap B^C$  are disjoint, and  $(A \cap B) \cup (A \cap B^C) = A$ , so by additivity,  $P(A \cap B) + P(A \cap B^C) = P(A)$ .

→ e.g. integer between 1 and 10:  $P(even) = P(even and \le 4) + P(even and \ge 5) = P(\{2,4\}) + P(\{6,8,10\}).$ 

- Re-arranging (\*) also gives that:  $P(A \cap B^C) = P(A) P(A \cap B)$ . (\*\*)
- Fact: If  $A \supseteq B$ , then  $P(A) = P(B) + P(A \cap B^C)$ . (\*\*\*)
  - $\rightarrow$  Proof: This follows from (\*), since if  $A \supseteq B$ , then  $A \cap B = B$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g. integer between 1 and 10:  $P(\leq 7) = P(\leq 4) + P(\leq 7 \text{ but } \geq 5)$ .
- Monotonicity: If  $A \supseteq B$ , then  $P(A) \ge P(B)$ . (Remember this!)
- $\rightarrow$  Proof: We must have  $P(A \cap B^C) \ge 0$ , so from (\*\*\*),
- $\mathbf{P}(A) = \mathbf{P}(B) + \mathbf{P}(A \cap B^C) \ge \mathbf{P}(B) + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{P}(B). \quad \blacksquare$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g. P({Gold, Silver}) = 0.55  $\ge 0.40 = P({Gold}).$

• Law of Total Probability – Unconditioned Version: Suppose  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  are a sequence (finite or infinite) of events which form a <u>partition</u> of S, i.e. they are disjoint

 $(A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \text{ for all } i \neq j)$  and their union equals the entire sample space  $(\bigcup_i A_i = S)$ , and let B be any event. Diagram:

Then  $P(B) = \sum_i P(A_i \cap B)$ . That is:  $P(B) = P(A_1 \cap B) + P(A_2 \cap B) + \dots$ 

→ Proof: Since the  $\{A_i\}$  are disjoint, and  $A_i \cap B \subseteq A_i$ , therefore the  $\{A_i \cap B\}$  are also disjoint. Furthermore, since  $\bigcup_i A_i = S$ , therefore  $\bigcup_i (A_i \cap B) = S \cap B = B$ . Hence,  $P(B) = P(\bigcup_i (A_i \cap B)) = \sum_i P(A_i \cap B)$ .

→ e.g. integer between 1 and 10: Suppose  $A_1 = \{ \le 4 \} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , and  $A_2 = \{ \ge 5 \} = \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$ , and  $B = \{ \text{even} \} = \{2, 4, 6, 8, 10\}$ . Then P(even) = P(even and  $\le 4$ ) + P(even and  $\ge 5$ ), i.e. P( $\{2, 4, 6, 8, 10\}$ ) = P( $\{2, 4\}$ ) + P( $\{6, 8, 10\}$ ).

• Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion:  $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  (Of course, if they're disjoint  $(A \cap B = \emptyset)$ , then  $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B)$ .)

 $\rightarrow$  Intuition: P(A) + P(B) counts each element of  $A \cap B$  twice, so we have to subtract one of them off.

 $\rightarrow$  Proof: The events  $A \cap B$ , and  $A \cap B^C$ , and  $A^C \cap B$ , are all disjoint, and their union is  $A \cup B$ . Diagram:

Hence,  $P(A \cup B) = P(A \cap B) + P(A \cap B^C) + P(A^C \cap B)$ .

But from (\*\*),  $P(A \cap B^C) = P(A) - P(A \cap B)$  and  $P(A^C \cap B) = P(B) - P(A \cap B)$ . Hence,  $P(A \cup B) = P(A \cap B) + [P(A) - P(A \cap B)] + [P(B) - P(A \cap B)]$  $= P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$ .

→ e.g. integer between 1 and 10:  $P(\text{even } \underline{\text{or}} \le 4) = P(\text{even}) + P(\le 4) - P(\text{even} \underline{\text{and}} \le 4) = P(\{2, 4, 6, 8, 10\}) + P(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}) - P(\{2, 4\}).$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Or, P(even <u>or</u> perfect square) = P(even) + P(perfect square) - P(even <u>and</u> perfect square) = P({2, 4, 6, 8, 10}) + P({1, 4, 9}) - P({4}).

• <u>Optional</u>: A more general Inclusion-Exclusion formula is in <u>Challenge 1.3.10</u>.

• Now,  $P(A \cap B) \ge 0$ , so  $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B) \le P(A) + P(B)$ . (!)

• Subadditivity: For any sequence of events  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, \underline{\text{not}}$  necessarily disjoint, we still always have  $P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \ldots) \leq P(A_1) + P(A_2) + \ldots$ 

 $\rightarrow$  (Of course, it would be <u>equal</u> if they are <u>disjoint</u>.)

 $\rightarrow$  Proof (§1.7): Let  $B_1 = A_1$ , and  $B_2 = A_2 \cap (A_1)^C$ , and  $B_3 = A_3 \cap (A_1 \cup A_2)^C$ , and  $B_4 = A_4 \cap (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3)^C$ , and so on. (That is, each new  $B_n$  is the part of  $A_n$ which is <u>not</u> already part of  $A_1, \ldots, A_{n-1}$ .) Diagram: Then the  $\{B_i\}$  are <u>disjoint</u> by construction, and  $\bigcup_i B_i = \bigcup_i A_i$ . [Formally, the above construction ensures that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$  for each finite n. Then, in the infinite case,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ .] Also  $B_i \subseteq A_i$  so  $P(B_i) \leq P(A_i)$ . Hence,  $P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \ldots) = P(B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \ldots) = P(B_1) + P(B_2) + \ldots \leq P(A_1) + P(A_2) + \ldots$ .

→ Alternative proof (for a <u>finite</u> number of events): Use induction! For n = 2 events, this follows from Inclusion-Exclusion. Then for  $n \ge 3$  events,  $P(A_1 \cup ... \cup A_n) = P((A_1 \cup ... \cup A_{n-1}) \cup A_n)$ , which by Inclusion-Exclusion is  $\le P(A_1 \cup ... \cup A_{n-1}) + P(A_n)$ , which by induction is  $\le (P(A_1) + ... + P(A_{n-1})) + P(A_n)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  e.g. integer between 1 and 10: P(even <u>or</u>  $\leq 4$ )  $\leq$  P(even) + P( $\leq 4$ ), i.e. P( $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10\}$ )  $\leq$  P( $\{2, 4, 6, 8, 10\}$ ) + P( $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ).

[Note that we do <u>not</u> have "uncountable" subadditivity, e.g. for uniform on S = [0, 1], if  $A_x = \{x\}$  for each  $x \in S$ , then  $P(\bigcup_{x \in S} A_x) = P(S) = P([0, 1]) = 1$ , even though  $P(A_x) = P(\{x\}) = 0$  for each individual  $x \in S$ , so also  $\sum_{x \in S} P(A_x) = \sum_{x \in S} (0) = 0$ .]

Suggested Homework: 1.3.1, 1.3.2, 1.3.3, 1.3.4, 1.3.5, 1.3.7, 1.3.8, 1.3.9.

### Uniform Probabilities on Finite Spaces (§1.4)

• Suppose  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n\}$  is some <u>finite</u> sample space, of finite size |S| = n, and each element is <u>equally likely</u>.

 $\rightarrow$  Then  $P(s_1) = P(s_2) = \ldots = P(s_n) = 1/n$ . ("discrete uniform distribution")

 $\rightarrow$  And for any event  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ , by additivity we have

 $P(A) = P(a_1) + P(a_2) + \ldots + P(a_k) = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} + \ldots + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{k}{n} = \frac{|A|}{|S|}.$ 

 $\rightarrow$  So, in this case, we just need to <u>count</u> the number of elements in A, and divide that by the number of elements in S. Easy!?! Sometimes!

- e.g. Roll a fair six-sided die. What is  $P(\geq 5)$ ?
  - $\rightarrow$  Here  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  so |S| = 6. All equally likely.
  - $\rightarrow$  Also  $A = \{5, 6\}$  so |A| = 2.

 $\rightarrow$  So, P( $\geq 5$ ) = P(A) = |A| / |S| = 2/6 = 1/3. Easy!

• Flip <u>two</u> fair coins. What is P(# Heads = 1)?

**<u>POLL</u>:** (A) 1/4. (B) 1/3. (C) 1/2. (D) 3/4. (E) 1. (F) No idea.

- $\rightarrow$  Here  $S = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$ , all equally likely. So, |S| = 4.
- $\rightarrow$  And,  $A = \{HT, TH\}$ . So, |A| = 2.
- $\rightarrow$  Hence, P(A) = |A| / |S| = 2/4 = 1/2. Easy!

• e.g. Roll <u>one</u> fair six-sided die, and flip <u>two</u> fair coins.

What is P(# Heads = Number Showing On The Die)? (Best guess?)

**POLL:** (A) 1/6. (B) 1/8. (C) 1/12. (D) 1/16. (E) 1/24. (F) No idea.

 $\rightarrow$  Here  $S = \{1HH, 1HT, 1TH, 1TT, 2HH, \dots, 6TT\}$ . All equally likely.

 $\rightarrow$  But what is |S|?

 $\rightarrow$  Multiplication Principle: If S is made up by choosing one element of each of the subsets  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$ , i.e. if  $S = S_1 \times S_2 \times \ldots \times S_k$ , then what is |S|? Well,  $\ldots$   $|S| = |S_1| |S_2| \ldots |S_k|$ .

→ In our example,  $S_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ , and  $S_2 = \{H, T\}$ , and  $S_3 = \{H, T\}$ , so  $|S| = |S_1| |S_2| |S_3| = 6 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 24$ .

 $\rightarrow$  And what about A? Well, think about the possibilities ...

 $A = \{1HT, 1TH, 2HH\}$ . (No other combination works. Why?) So, |A| = 3.

 $\rightarrow$  Hence, P(# Heads = Number Showing On The Die) = |A| / |S| = 3/24 = 1/8.

$$\rightarrow$$
 [Alternatively (later):  $(1/6)(1/2) + (1/6)(1/4) = (1/12) + (1/24) = 3/24 = 1/8.$ ]

- e.g. Roll <u>three</u> fair six-sided dice. What is  $P(sum \ge 17)$ ?
  - $\rightarrow$  Here  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}^3$  so  $|S| = 6^3 = 216$ . All equally likely.
  - $\rightarrow$  But what is A? Think about it ...

Here  $A = \{666, 566, 656, 665\}$  (why?), so |A| = 4.

- → So, P(sum ≥ 17) = P(A) = |A| / |S| = 4/216 = 1/54.
- $\rightarrow$  Exercise: What about P(sum  $\geq 16$ )? P(sum  $\geq 15$ )?
- Chevalier de Méré's historical 1654 questions:
- (a) What is P(get at least one six when rolling a fair six-sided die 4 times)?

 $\rightarrow$  Here  $S=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}^4,$  so  $|S|=6^4=1296.$  All equally likely.

- $\rightarrow$  And what is |A|? Tricky. Easier to consider ...
- $\rightarrow A^{C} = \{\text{no sixes in four rolls}\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}^{4}, \text{ so } |A^{C}| = 5^{4} = 625.$
- $\rightarrow$  So, P(A<sup>C</sup>) = |A<sup>C</sup>| / |S| = 5<sup>4</sup> / 6<sup>4</sup> = 625 / 1296  $\doteq$  0.482.
- $\rightarrow$  So,  $P(A) = 1 P(A^C) \doteq 1 0.482 = 0.518$ . More than 50%.

 $\rightarrow$  (Alternatively: By "independence" [later],  $P(A) = 1 - (5/6)^4 \doteq 0.518$ .)

• (b) What is P(get at least one <u>pair</u> of sixes when rolling a <u>pair</u> of fair six-sided dice 24 times)?

- $\rightarrow$  Here  $S = (\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}^2)^{24}$ , so  $|S| = (6^2)^{24} = 6^{48}$  (>10<sup>37</sup>). All equally likely.
- $\rightarrow$  And what is |A|? Tricky. Again, easier to consider  $\ldots$

 $\rightarrow A^{C} = \{\text{no pair of sixes in 24 rolls}\} = \{11, 12, 13, \dots, 64, 65\}^{24}, \text{ so } |A^{C}| = 35^{24}.$ 

- $\rightarrow$  So, P( $A^{C}$ ) =  $|A^{C}| / |S| = 35^{24}/6^{48} \doteq 0.509$ .
- $\rightarrow$  So, P(A) = 1 P(A<sup>C</sup>)  $\doteq$  1 0.509 = 0.491. <u>Less</u> than 50%.
- $\rightarrow$  (Again, alternatively by independence [later], P(A) = 1 (35/36)^{24} \doteq 0.491.)

Suggested Homework: 1.4.1, 1.4.9, 1.4.10, 1.4.11, 1.4.12, 1.4.13.

END MONDAY #1

• (c) In a best-of-seven match with fair (50%) games, if a player has won 3 games and lost 1, then what is the probability they will win the match?

 $\rightarrow$  Various paths to victory: win right away, lose then win, etc. Tricky.

- $\rightarrow$  One solution: Pretend 3 more games will <u>always</u> be played. (Result unchanged.)
- $\rightarrow$  Then  $S = {$ Win, Lose $}^3$ , so  $|S| = 2^3 = 8$ , all equally likely.
- $\rightarrow$  What about A? Well, here  $A^C = \{\text{Lose, Lose}\}, \text{ so } |A^C| = 1.$
- $\rightarrow$  Hence,  $P(A^C) = |A^C|/|S| = 1/8$ , and so  $P(A) = 1 P(A^C) = 7/8$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Exercise: What if the player has won just 2 games and lost 1? (Trickier.)

#### Warning about Non-Uniform Probabilities

• e.g. Roll two fair dice. What is  $P(\text{sum is } \leq 3)$ ?

→ POSSIBLE SOLUTION: The sum is in  $S = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\}$ . So, |S| = 11. And, the event "≤ 3" corresponds to  $A = \{2, 3\}$ , so |A| = 2. Hence, P(sum is  $\leq 3$ ) = |A|/|S| = 2/11. Right?

 $\rightarrow$  WRONG! These sums are <u>not</u> all equally likely, i.e. it is <u>not</u> uniform! So,  $P(A) \neq |A|/|S|$ . That formula is <u>only</u> when all outcomes are equally likely. Important!

 $\rightarrow$  INSTEAD: Let  $S = \{$ all ordered pairs of two dice $\}$ , i.e.  $S = \{11, 12, 13, \dots, 65, 66\}$ . Then |S| = 36. Now each outcome in S is equally likely. And, now  $A = \{11, 12, 21\}$ . So, P(A) = |A|/|S| = 3/36 = 1/12. Correct!

- Also, note that sometimes the sample space S is a discrete <u>infinite</u> set:
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g.  $S = \mathbf{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ , with  $P(i) = 2^{-i}$  for each  $i \in S$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  Valid? Yes, since  $2^{-i} \ge 0$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} = \frac{2^{-1}}{1-2^{-1}} = 1$ . (Geometric series.)
  - $\rightarrow$  Then e.g. P(Even Number) =  $\sum_{i=2,4,6,\dots} 2^{-i} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{64} + \dots = \frac{1/4}{1-(1/4)} = 1/3.$
  - $\rightarrow$  And,  $P(\leq 10) = \sum_{i=1}^{10} 2^{-i} = \frac{2^{-1} 2^{-11}}{1 2^{-1}} = \frac{(1/2) (1/2048)}{1 (1/2)} = 1023/1024$ . Close to 1.
  - $\rightarrow$  But on a discrete <u>infinite</u> space, <u>cannot</u> ever have a uniform distribution!
- Summary: Don't assume it's uniform when it isn't!

## <u>More Finite Uniform Probabilities (§1.4)</u>

• Distinct, in order: e.g. Suppose there are ten people at a party, and you randomly pick three of the people, in order (1-2-3). What is the probability that your choices will also be the three <u>richest</u> people at the party, in the same order?

- $\rightarrow S$  is the set of all ways of picking three people, in order. All equally likely.
- $\rightarrow$  But what is |S|?
- $\rightarrow$  The first person can be picked in 10 different ways.
- $\rightarrow$  Then, the second person can be picked in 9 different ways.
- $\rightarrow$  Then, the third person can be picked in 8 different ways.
- $\rightarrow$  So,  $|S| = 10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8 = 720$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Also, |A| = 1 since there is only one matching choice.

 $\rightarrow$  So, P(you picked the three richest, in order) = |A|/|S| = 1/720.

• More generally, the number of ways of picking k distinct items, in order, out of n items total, is equal to n(n-1)(n-2)...(n-k+1) = n!/(n-k)!. ("permutations")

 $\rightarrow$  In particular, if k = n, then the number of ways of picking <u>all</u> n items in order is equal to  $n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(1) = n!$ . ("n factorial")

• "The Birthday Problem": Suppose 40 (say) people at a party are each equally likely to be born on any one of 365 days of the year. Then what is the probability that at least one <u>pair</u> of them have the same birthday? (Any guesses?)

 $\rightarrow$  Here, S is the set of all 40-tuples of possible birthdays. All equally likely.

- $\rightarrow$  (List their birthdays in <u>order</u>, since they might not all be distinct.)
- $\rightarrow$  So, by the Multiplication Principle,  $|S| = 365^{40}$ .
- $\rightarrow$  What about |A|? Not easy ...
- $\rightarrow$  Instead, consider  $A^C$ . (Then can use that  $P(A) = 1 P(A^C)$ .)
- $\rightarrow A^C$  is the set of all ways of picking 40 <u>distinct</u> birthdays, in order.
- $\rightarrow$  So,  $|A^{C}| = 365 \cdot 364 \cdot 363 \cdot \ldots \cdot 326 = 365! / 325!.$
- $\rightarrow$  So, P( $A^C$ ) = (365!/325!) / 365<sup>40</sup>  $\doteq$  0.109.
- $\rightarrow$  So,  $P(A) = 1 P(A^C) \doteq 0.891$ . Over 89%. Very likely! (Make a bet?)
- $\rightarrow$  Intuition: Even with just 40 people, have  $\binom{40}{2} = 780$  pairs of people lots!
- $\rightarrow$  Or, if 23 people, P( $A^C$ ) = (365!/342!) /365<sup>23</sup>  $\doteq$  0.493, so P(A)  $\doteq$  0.507 > 50%.

**POLL:** With <u>60 people</u>, what is P(some pair have same birthday)? (guess) (A) 92.8%. (B) 95.1%. (C) 99.4%. (D) 99.86%. (E) 99.993%.

- $\rightarrow$  With 60 people:  $P(A^C) = (365!/305!)/365^{60} \doteq 0.059; P(A) \doteq 0.994 = 99.4\%.$
- $\rightarrow$  (For discussion with "C" people, see the textbook's Challenge 1.4.21.)

• Distinct, unordered: Suppose we are still picking k distinct objects, but now we don't care about the <u>order</u>. Then, we have to <u>divide</u> by the number of different <u>orderings</u> of k items, which is:  $k! = k(k-1)(k-2)\dots(2)(1)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  So, the number of ways of picking k distinct items out of n items total, <u>ignoring</u> order, is equal to  $n(n-1)(n-2) \dots (n-k+1) / k! = n!/(n-k)! k!$ . ("combinations"; "choose formula", or "binomial coefficient") Also written as:  $\binom{n}{k}$ .

**<u>POLL</u>**: Suppose there are ten people at a party, and you randomly pick a collection of three of the people, but <u>ignoring</u> order. What is the probability that your choices will also be the three <u>richest</u> people at the party (in <u>any</u> order)?

(A) 1/60. (B) 1/120. (C) 1/240. (D) 1/360. (E) 1/720. (F) No idea.

- $\rightarrow$  But what is |S|?
- $\rightarrow$  Here  $|S| = \binom{10}{3} = \frac{10!}{7! \, 3!} = 120.$
- $\rightarrow$  And, again |A| = 1 since there is only one matching choice.

 $<sup>\</sup>rightarrow$  Here S is all ways of picking three people (ignoring order). All equally likely.

- $\rightarrow$  So, P(you picked the three richest, ignoring order) = |A|/|S| = 1/120.
- $\rightarrow$  Six times as large as before! Makes sense since 3! = 6.
- e.g. Lotto Max jackpot:
  - $\rightarrow$  Here  $S = \{$ all choices of 7 distinct numbers between 1 and 50 $\}$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  All equally likely. And, we do <u>not</u> care about the order.
  - $\rightarrow$  So,  $|S| = \frac{50!}{43!7!} = 99,884,400 \doteq 100$  million.
  - $\rightarrow$  Also, A is the <u>one</u> correct choice. So, |A| = 1.

→ So, P(jackpot) = P(choose the correct 7 distinct numbers between 1 and 50) =  $|A| / |S| = 1/99,884,400 \doteq 1/100,000,000 = 0.000001\%$ . Very small!

 $\rightarrow$  (For \$5, you get <u>three</u> separate choices of 7 numbers, which increases P(jackpot) to 3 / 99,884,400 = 1 /33,294,800 ... still very small ...)

• Recall that a standard deck of <u>playing cards</u> has four <u>suits</u> (Clubs, Spades, Hearts, Diamonds), and each suit has 13 <u>ranks</u> (A,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,J,Q,K), so 52 cards total:



- A card's <u>value</u> is its number, counting A as 1, J as 11, Q as 12, and K as 13.
- Suppose we pick one playing card from a standard deck, uniformly at random.
  - $\rightarrow$  So S is the set of all cards in the deck, with |S| = 52, all equally likely.
  - $\rightarrow$  Then what is P(Club <u>or</u> 7)? Can solve this directly, or ...
  - $\rightarrow$  Here P(Club) = 13/52 = 1/4, and P(7) = 4/52 = 1/13.
  - $\rightarrow$  Also, P(Club <u>and</u> 7) = P(7-of-Clubs) = 1/52.

→ So, by Inclusion-Exclusion, P(Club or 7) = P(Club) + P(7) - P(Club and 7) = 1/4 + 1/13 - 1/52 = 16/52 = 4/13.

**<u>POLL</u>:** Suppose we draw a <u>pair of distinct cards</u> uniformly from a standard deck. What is P(both are Face Cards), i.e. P(both are J/Q/K)? (A)  $(3/52)^2$ . (B)  $(12/52)^2$ . (C)  $12/\binom{52}{2}$ . (D)  $\binom{12}{2}/\binom{52}{2}$ . (E) No idea.

- $\rightarrow$  Here  $S = \{$ all distinct pairs of cards, <u>ignoring</u> order  $\}$ .
- $\rightarrow$  So,  $|S| = {\binom{52}{2}} = 52 \cdot 51/2 = 1326.$
- $\rightarrow$  And  $A = \{ \text{all distinct pairs of Face Cards} \}$ , so  $|A| = {\binom{12}{2}} = 12 \cdot 11/2 = 66.$

 $\rightarrow$  So, P(A) =  $|A|/|S| = {\binom{12}{2}}/{\binom{52}{2}} = 66/1326 \doteq 0.0498 \doteq 1/20.$ 

 $\rightarrow$  <u>Alternatively</u>, could let  $S = \{$ all distinct pairs of cards <u>in order</u> $\}$ . Then  $|S| = 52 \cdot 51 = 2652$ , and  $|A| = 12 \cdot 11 = 132$ . So, P(A) = |A|/|S| = 132/2652, which gives the same answer as before.

 $\rightarrow$  (Or, conditional probability [next]: P(A) = (12/52) \cdot (11/51) = 132/2652.)

Suggested Homework: 1.3.6, 1.4.4, 1.4.6, 1.4.7, 1.4.8. Trickier: 1.4.5.

## END WEDNESDAY #2

#### Simulating Using the Computer Software "R"

• There is lots of computer software available for statistical computation. (Even spreadsheets etc.) One package used by most statisticians (and STA courses) is "R".

- $\rightarrow$  Free and easy to install on any computer, e.g. on your laptop!
- $\rightarrow$  For some basic info and links, see: probability.ca/Rinfo.html
- $\rightarrow$  Also discussed in Appendix B of the textbook.
- $\rightarrow$  In this course, you do <u>not</u> need to learn it.
- $\rightarrow$  But I will use it for occasional demonstrations.
- $\rightarrow$  It is interesting, and insightful, and used in other courses. [Try it!]
- For now, just a few simulation commands to get us started:
- $\rightarrow$  sample(c("H", "T"), 1) [one random sample from  $\{H, T\}$ ]
- $\rightarrow$  sample(1:6, 1) [one random sample from  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ ]
- $\rightarrow$  sample(1:6, 3) [three random samples, without replacement]
- $\rightarrow$  sample(1:6, 3, replace=TRUE) [three samples, with replacement]
- $\rightarrow$  sample(c("Gold","Silver","Bronze"), 1, prob=c(0.40,0.15,0.45)) [with probs]
- $\rightarrow$  rgeom(1, 1/2) + 1 [sample where  $P(i) = 2^{-i}$ ]

## A Bit More Finite Uniform Probabilities (§1.4)

**POLL:** Suppose we flip 4 fair coins. What is P(exactly 2 Heads)? (A) 1/2. (B) 1/4. (C) 1/8. (D) 3/8. (E) 5/8. (F) No idea.

- $\rightarrow$  Here S = all 4-tuples of H and T (in order).  $|S| = 2^4 = 16$ . All equally likely.
- $\rightarrow$  And A = all 4-tuples with two H and two T. What is |A|?
- $\rightarrow$  Can write them all out [let's do it now]:

 $\rightarrow$  So |A| = 6, and P(A) = |A|/|S| = 6/16 = 3/8. Simpler way? (More coins?)

 $\rightarrow$  Each element of A can be specified by choosing <u>which 2</u> of the 4 coins were H (<u>without</u> caring about the order).

→ So, |A| = number of choices of 2 coins out of  $4 = \binom{4}{2} = 4!/((4-2)! 2!) = 24/(2 \cdot 2) = 6$ , and P(A) = |A|/|S| = 6/16.

 $\rightarrow$  Same answer as before, but more systematic, and easier to use when we have <u>lots</u> of coins. Clear?

• e.g. Suppose we flip <u>ten</u> fair coins. What is P(exactly <u>six</u> Heads)?

 $\rightarrow$  S is the set of all "10-tuples" of H and T, i.e. length-10 sequences (in order) of H and T.

 $\rightarrow$  All equally likely. But what is |S|? Well, by the Multiplication Principle,  $|S| = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot \ldots \cdot 2 = 2^{10} = 1024.$ 

 $\rightarrow$  What about |A|? Well,  $A = \{HHHHHHTTTT, HHHHHTHTTT, \dots, TTTTHHHHHH\}$ . But how many elements does it include?

 $\rightarrow$  Well, an element of A is specified by "choosing" which 6 of the 10 coins are Heads. So, the size of A is equal to the corresponding binomial coefficient:

$$|A| = \binom{10}{6} = \frac{10!}{6! (10-6)!} = \frac{10!}{6! 4!} = \frac{10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8 \cdot 7}{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1} = \frac{5040}{24} = 210$$

 $\rightarrow$  So, P(exactly six Heads) =  $|A| / |S| = 210/1024 = 105/512 \doteq 0.205 = 20.5\%$ .

• In general, if flip *n* fair coins, then P(exactly *k* Heads) =  $\binom{n}{k}/2^n$ , for  $0 \le k \le n$ .  $\rightarrow$  (Special case of the "Binomial Distribution" – more later.)

Suggested Homework: 1.4.2, 1.4.3, 1.4.15, 1.4.16, 1.4.19, 1.4.21.

Conditional Probability (§1.5)

- e.g. Flip three fair coins.
  - $\rightarrow$  Then  $S = \{HHH, HHT, HTH, HTT, THH, THT, TTH, TTT\}.$
  - $\rightarrow$  All equally likely. So, P(first coin Heads) = 4/8 = 1/2.
  - $\rightarrow$  Suppose we are <u>told</u> that exactly 2 coins were Heads.

**POLL:** Now what is the probability that the first coin was Heads? (B) 1/2. (C) 2/3. (D) 3/4. (E) No idea.

 $\rightarrow$  Well, the outcome must be in {*HHT*, *HTH*, *THH*}. Still all equally likely.

 $\rightarrow$  And, two of these three outcomes have the first coin Heads.

 $\rightarrow$  So, <u>now</u> the probability that the first coin was Heads is equal to 2/3.

 $\rightarrow$  That is: The probability that the first coin was Heads, given that 2 coins were Heads, is equal to 2/3.

 $\rightarrow$  In symbols: P(first coin Heads | 2 coins were Heads) = 2/3.

• In general, if A and B are two events, then the conditional probability of A given B is written as P(A | B), and represents the <u>fraction</u> of the times when B occurs, in which A <u>also</u> occurs. [Diagram.] So, it is equal to:

$$\mathbf{P}(A \mid B) = \frac{\mathbf{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbf{P}(B)}.$$

• Note: If P(B) = 0, then P(A | B) is ...

undefined! It <u>only</u> makes sense if P(B) > 0.

 $\rightarrow$  (Reasonable since if P(B) = 0, then B will "never" happen.)

- In the above example,  $A = \{$ first coin Heads $\}$ , and  $B = \{2 \text{ coins Heads}\}$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  Then,  $B = \{HHT, HTH, THH\}$ , so P(B) = |B| / |S| = 3/8.
  - $\rightarrow$  Also,  $A \cap B = \{HHT, HTH\}$ , so  $P(A \cap B) = |A \cap B| / |S| = 2/8$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  Hence,  $P(A \mid B) = P(A \cap B) / P(B) = (2/8) / (3/8) = 2/3$ , same as before.

**POLL:** Roll three fair six-sided dice. What is P(first die is 3 | at least one 3)? (guess) (A) Less than 1/6. (B) 1/6. (C) Between 1/6 and 1/3. (D) More than 1/3.

- $\rightarrow$  Here  $S = \{111, 112, \dots, 665, 666\}$ . So,  $|S| = 6 \cdot 6 \cdot 6 = 6^3 = 216$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Here  $A = \{$ first die is 3 $\}$ , and  $B = \{$ at least one 3 $\}$ . What is P(B)?
- $\rightarrow$  Well,  $B^C=$  {no 3}, i.e. each die in {1, 2, 4, 5, 6}. (So, 5 choices.)
- $\rightarrow$  So,  $|B^{C}| = 5^{3}$ , and  $P(B^{C}) = |B^{C}|/|S| = 5^{3}/6^{3} = 125/216$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Then,  $P(B) = 1 P(B^C) = 1 \frac{125}{216} = \frac{91}{216}$ . What about P(A)?

 $\rightarrow$  Well,  $A = \{311, 312, \dots, 366\}$ , so  $|A| = 6^2 = 36$ , and P(A) = 36/216 = 1/6.

(Of course – "independence" – coming soon.) But what we really need is ...

 $\rightarrow$  P(A  $\cap$  B). But A  $\subseteq$  B, so A  $\cap$  B = A, so P(A  $\cap$  B) = P(A) = 36/216 = 1/6.

→ Hence,  $P(A | B) = P(A \cap B)/P(B) = (1/6)/(91/216) = (36/216)/(91/216) = 36/91 \doteq 0.396$ . Much more than  $1/6 \doteq 0.167$ , or even  $1/3 \doteq 0.333$ . Surprising?

**POLL:** Roll three fair six-sided dice. What is P(first die is  $3 \mid \text{sum is} \leq 5$ )? (guess) (A) Less than 1/6. (B) 1/6. (C) Between 1/6 and 1/3. (D) More than 1/3.

- $\rightarrow$  Here  $S = \{111, 112, \dots, 665, 666\}$ . So,  $|S| = 6 \cdot 6 \cdot 6 = 216$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Here  $A = \{$ first die is 3 $\}$ , and  $B = \{$ sum is  $\leq 5 \}$ . What is |B|?
- $\rightarrow$  Well,  $B = \{111, 112, 113, 121, 122, 131, 211, 212, 221, 311\}.$
- $\rightarrow$  So, |B| = 10, and P(B) = |B| / |S| = 10/216.
- $\rightarrow$  What about  $A \cap B$ ? Here  $A \cap B = \{311\}$ , so  $P(A \cap B) = 1/216$ .
- → Then  $P(A | B) = P(A \cap B) / P(B) = (1/216) / (10/216) = 1/10 = 10\% < 1/6.$
- Or, what is P(at least one  $3 \mid \text{sum is} \le 5$ )?
  - $\rightarrow$  Here  $A = \{ \text{at least one } 3 \}$ , and  $B = \{ \text{sum is } \le 5 \}$ . So, |B| = 10 as above.
  - $\rightarrow$  What about A? Well,  $A = \{311, 312, 313, \ldots\}$ . Tricky? Use  $A^C$ !
  - $\rightarrow$  Here  $|A^{C}| = 5^{3} = 125$ , so  $P(A^{C}) = 125/216 \doteq 0.579$ , so  $P(A) \doteq 0.421$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  But wait, here we don't need to know A, we only need  $A \cap B!$
  - $\rightarrow$  By looking at B, we see that  $A \cap B = \{113, 131, 311\}.$
  - $\rightarrow$  So,  $|A \cap B| = 3$ , and  $P(A \cap B) = |A \cap B| / |S| = 3/216$ .
  - → Then  $P(A | B) = P(A \cap B) / P(B) = (3/216) / (10/216) = 3/10 = 30\%$ .

• Conditional Multiplication Formula: Since  $P(A | B) = P(A \cap B)/P(B)$ , therefore  $P(A \cap B) = P(B) P(A | B)$ . Similarly,  $P(A \cap B) = P(A) P(B | A)$ . Useful!

• e.g. Suppose we are dealt two cards, in order, from a standard deck.

- $\rightarrow$  What is P(both are Face Cards)? Can instead use conditional prob ...
- $\rightarrow$  Let  $A = \{$ first card is Face Card $\}$ , and  $B = \{$ second card is Face Card $\}$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Then P(A) = 12/52. What about  $P(B \mid A)$ ?

 $\rightarrow$  Well, once we <u>know</u> that the first card is a Face Card, then there are 11 Face Cards remaining, out of 51 total remaining cards. So,  $P(B \mid A) = 11/51$ .

 $\rightarrow$  Then  $P(A \cap B) = P(A) P(B \mid A) = (12/52) (11/51)$ . Same as before. Easier?

• Combining this Conditional Multiplication Formula with our previous Law of Total Probability gives a new version:

• Law of Total Probability – Conditioned Version: Suppose  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  are a sequence (finite or infinite) of events which form a <u>partition</u> of S, i.e. they are disjoint  $(A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ ) and their union equals the entire sample space  $(\bigcup_i A_i = S)$ , and let B be any event. Then  $P(B) = \sum_i P(A_i) P(B | A_i)$ , or equivalently  $P(B) = P(A_1) P(B | A_1) + P(A_2) P(B | A_2) + \ldots$ 

• e.g. Flip one fair coin. If Heads, roll <u>one</u> die; if Tails, roll <u>two</u> dice. What is P(get at least one 5)?

 $\rightarrow$  Here  $B = \{ \text{at least one 5} \}$ , and  $A_1 = \{ \text{Heads} \}$ , and  $A_2 = \{ \text{Tails} \}$ .

- $\rightarrow$  Then  $A_1, A_2$  form a partition. And  $P(A_1) = P(A_2) = 1/2$ . Need  $P(B \mid A_i)$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Well,  $P(B | A_1) = P(\text{get at least one 5 when you roll <u>one</u> die}) = 1/6.$
- $\rightarrow$  Also,  $P(B \mid A_2) = P(\text{get at least one 5 when you roll two dice}) = ??$
- $\rightarrow$  Well, its <u>complement</u> is P(get <u>no</u> 5 when you roll <u>two</u> dice) =  $5^2/6^2 = 25/36$ .

 $\rightarrow$  So, P(B | A<sub>2</sub>) = 1 - (25/36) = 11/36.

 $\rightarrow$  Then, from the above Law of Total Probability,

$$P(B) = \sum_{i} P(A_i) P(B | A_i) = P(A_1) P(B | A_1) + P(A_2) P(B | A_2)$$
$$= (1/2)(1/6) + (1/2)(11/36) = 17/72 \doteq 0.236.$$

• Three-Card Challenge: Have three cards: C1=Blue-Blue, C2=Yellow-Yellow, C3=Blue-Yellow. Pick a card uniformly at random. Then pick one <u>side</u> of that card, uniformly at random. What is P(the card is C2 | the side is Yellow)?

 $\rightarrow$  Let  $B = \{$ the side is Yellow $\}$ . First of all, what is P(B)?

 $\rightarrow$  Use Law of Total Probability! Since we pick <u>one</u> of the three cards, the three cards C1,C2,C3 form a partition.

→ So, P(B) = P(C1) P(B | C1) + P(C2) P(B | C2) + P(C3) P(B | C3)= (1/3)(0) + (1/3)(1) + (1/3)(1/2) = 1/3 + 1/6 = 1/2. (Of course.)

 $\rightarrow$  Now, let  $A = \{$ the card is C2 $\}$ . Then what is P $(A \cap B)$ ?

 $\rightarrow$  Well,  $A \cap B = \{$ choose C2, then Yellow $\} = \{$ choose C2, then <u>either</u> side $\}$ .

 $\rightarrow$  So,  $P(A \cap B) = P(A) P(B \mid A) = P(C2) P(Yellow Side \mid C2) = (1/3) (1) = 1/3.$ 

→ Hence, P(the card is C2 | the side is Yellow) =  $P(A | B) = P(A \cap B)/P(B) = (1/3)/(1/2) = 2/3$ . Surprising? (Try it!)

 $\rightarrow$  Intuition: We picked one of the three Yellow <u>sides</u>, of which two are on C2.

• Related question: The Monty Hall Problem!

See Challenge 1.5.18, and/or my article at probability.ca/monty.

• In the above "two Face Cards" question, suppose we <u>ignore</u> the first card. Then what is P(second card is Face Card)?

 $\rightarrow$  Well, if  $B = \{$ second card is Face Card $\}$ , and  $A_1 = \{$ first card is Face Card $\}$ and  $A_2 = \{$ first card is NOT Face Card $\}$  then  $\{A_1, A_2\}$  is a <u>partition</u>, so  $P(B) = P(A_1)P(B \mid A_1) + P(A_2)P(B \mid A_2) = (12/52)(11/51) + (40/52)(12/51) = 12/52 = 3/13$ , exactly the same as if it was the only card picked.

 $\rightarrow$  Makes sense, since <u>ignoring</u> the first card is the same as not picking it at all.

• e.g. Suppose a disease affects one person in a thousand, and a test for the disease has 99% accuracy.

 $\rightarrow$  This means that P(test positive | have disease) = 0.99, P(test negative | have disease) = 0.01, P(test positive | do NOT have disease) = 0.01, and P(test negative | do NOT have disease) = 0.99.

 $\rightarrow$  Suppose someone is selected at random, and is tested for the disease.

**<u>POLL</u>:** (i) What is P(they test positive)? **(A)** 1/1000. **(B)** (1/1000) (0.99). **(C)** (1/1000) (0.99) + (999/1000) (0.01). **(D)** (999/1000) (0.99) + (1/1000) (0.01).

 $\rightarrow$  Use the Law of Total Probability! Here  $B = \{\text{test positive}\}$ . And, partition is  $A_1 = \{\text{have disease}\}$  and  $A_2 = \{\text{do not have disease}\}$ .

→ So,  $P(B) = P(A_1) P(B | A_1) + P(A_2) P(B | A_2)$ = (1/1000)(0.99) + (999/1000)(0.01) = 0.01098.

**POLL:** (ii) What is P(they test positive <u>and</u> have the disease)? (A) 1/1000. (B) (1/1000) (0.99). (C) (1/1000) (0.99) + (999/1000) (0.01). (D) (999/1000) (0.99) + (1/1000) (0.01).

→ Use the Conditional Multiplication Formula! Here  $P(A_1 \cap B) = P(A_1) P(B \mid A_1) = (1/1000)(0.99) = 0.00099.$ 

<u>POLL:</u> (iii) <u>Given</u> that they tested positive (i.e., <u>conditional</u> on them testing positive), what is the conditional probability that they have the disease?
(A) (0.00099) / (0.01098). (B) (0.01098) / (0.00099).
(C) (0.00099) / (0.00099 + 0.01098). (D) (0.01098) / (0.00099 + 0.01098).

 $\rightarrow$  This is  $P(A_1 | B) = P(A_1 \cap B)/P(B)$ . And we know these!

→ So,  $P(A_1 | B) = P(A_1 \cap B)/P(B) = (0.00099)/(0.01098) = 0.0901639 \doteq 9\% \doteq 1/11$ . Small! Why?

 $\rightarrow$  Intuition: So many more people do <u>not</u> have the disease, that even their false positives (1%) are <u>more</u> than the number of people who have the disease (0.1%).

• In the above example, we knew  $P(B | A_1)$  (it was 99%), but we wanted  $P(A_1 | B)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  What is the connection between them?

• In general,  $P(B \mid A) = P(A \cap B) / P(A)$ , and  $P(A \mid B) = P(A \cap B) / P(B)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  So ...  $P(A | B) = \frac{P(A)}{P(B)} P(B | A)$ . ("Bayes Theorem", or "Bayes Rule")

 $\rightarrow$  (Aside: This formula is the inspiration for "Bayesian Statistics" ...)

 $\rightarrow$  In particular, if  $P(A) \neq P(B)$ , then  $P(A \mid B) \neq P(B \mid A)$ . Different!

Suggested Homework: 1.5.1, 1.5.2, 1.5.3, 1.5.4, 1.5.6, 1.5.7, 1.5.8, 1.5.10, 1.5.11, 1.5.12, 1.5.13, 1.5.16, 1.5.17.

## Independence (§1.5)

• Recall: If we roll three fair six-sided dice, then  $P(\underline{\text{first}} \text{ die shows } 5) = \dots$ 1/6. Of course! Why? Because the first die doesn't "care" about the other dice!

 $\rightarrow$  And, P(first die shows 5 | second die shows 4) = 1/6, too. Doesn't care!

 $\rightarrow$  More formally, we say the first die is "independent" of the other dice.

• If A and B are any two events, then saying they are independent means that they do not affect each others' probabilities, i.e. that P(A | B) = P(A), and P(B | A) = P(B).

→ But  $P(A | B) = P(A \cap B) / P(B)$ , so P(A | B) = P(A) if and only if ...  $P(A \cap B) = P(A) P(B)$ . This is the official definition of independence. (Better, since it is symmetric in A and B, and it is valid even if P(A) = 0 or P(B) = 0.)

 $\rightarrow$  If A and B are independent, and P(B) > 0, then  $P(A \mid B) = P(A)$ .

## END MONDAY #2

• If two parts of an experiment are physically completely unrelated, like two different coins, or a coin and a die, or multiple dice, then they must be independent.

 $\rightarrow$  We already implicitly used this fact, e.g. if you flip two coins, then P(both Heads) = P(first is Heads) P(second is Heads) = (1/2)(1/2) = 1/4, and so on.

 $\rightarrow$  But now we know why it was okay to multiply!

• e.g. Roll two dice. Are the two results independent?

 $\rightarrow$  Yes of course, since they are physically unrelated.

• Can two events be independent even if they are not physically separated, i.e. they deal with the same objects? Maybe!

• Flip two fair coins. So,  $S = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}, |S| = 4$ , all equally likely.

 $\rightarrow$  Let  $A = \{$ first coin Heads $\}, B = \{$ second coin Heads $\},$  and

 $C = \{ \text{both coins are the } \underline{\text{same}} \}.$ 

**<u>POLL</u>**: Which <u>pairs</u> of these events are independent?

(A) A and B, only. (B) A and C, only. (C) B and C, only. (D) <u>All</u> three pairs (A and B, A and C, and B and C). (E) <u>None</u> of the pairs are independent.

 $\rightarrow$  Well, let's see ...

 $\rightarrow$  Are A and B independent? Yes, of course! (physically unrelated)

→ Check:  $P(A) = |{HH, HT}| / 4 = 2/4 = 1/2$ , and  $P(B) = |{HH, TH}| / 4 = 2/4 = 1/2$ , and  $P(A \cap B) = |{HH}| / 4 = 1/4 = (1/2)(1/2) = P(A)P(B)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  What about A and C? Well,  $P(C) = |\{HH, TT\}| / 4 = 2/4 = 1/2$ , and  $P(A \cap C) = |\{HH\}| / 4 = 1/4$ , So,  $P(A \cap C) = 1/4 = (1/2)(1/2) = P(A) P(C)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  So, A and C are independent! And similarly, B and C are independent.

 $\rightarrow$  So, A and B and C are all <u>pairwise</u> independent, i.e. each <u>pair</u> is independent.

 $\rightarrow$  Hence,  $P(A \mid C) = P(A) = 1/2$ , and  $P(C \mid A) = P(C) = 1/2$ , etc. Surprising?

 $\rightarrow$  But are they all truly independent? Well, suppose we know A and <u>also</u> know B. Then we would know that C is true, too!

 $\rightarrow$  That is,  $P(C \mid A \cap B) = 1 \neq 1/2 = P(C)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  Why? Since  $P(A \cap B \cap C) = |\{HH\}| / 4 = 1/4 \neq (1/2)(1/2)(1/2).$ 

 $\rightarrow$  For A and B and C to be truly independent, we <u>also</u> need  $P(A \cap B \cap C) = P(A) P(B) P(C)$ . That would guarantee that e.g.  $P(C \mid A \cap B) = P(C)$ , etc.

• In general, a collection  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots$  of events are called independent if  $P(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \ldots \cap A_{i_k}) = P(A_{i_1}) P(A_{i_2}) \ldots P(A_{i_k})$  for any finite subcollection of the events.

 $\rightarrow$  If truly independent, then we can always multiply <u>all</u> the probabilities.

• e.g. Flip 5 fair coins: P(all Heads) = (1/2)(1/2)(1/2)(1/2)(1/2) = 1/32.

• e.g. Flip 3 fair coins. Let  $A = \{$ first coin Heads $\}, B = \{$ second coin Heads $\},$ and  $C = \{HHH, THH, THT, TTH\}$ . Then  $P(A \cap B \cap C) = P(HHH) = 1/8 = P(A) P(B) P(C)$ , but  $P(A \cap C) = P(HHH) = 1/8 \neq P(A) P(C)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  So, A, B, C are <u>not</u> independent, although  $P(A \cap B \cap C) = P(A) P(B) P(C)$ .

**<u>POLL</u>:** Suppose A and B are independent. Does this necessarily imply that A and  $B^C$  are independent? (A) Yes, always. (B) Yes, but only if P(B) > 0. (C) No, not necessarily. (D) No idea.

 $\rightarrow$  Well, let's see ...

 $\rightarrow$  We know from (\*\*) before, that  $P(A \cap B^C) = P(A) - P(A \cap B)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  If A and B are independent, then  $P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$ .

$$\rightarrow \text{So, } P(A \cap B^C) = P(A) - P(A \cap B)$$
$$= P(A) - P(A) P(B) = P(A)[1 - P(B)] = P(A) P(B^C)$$

 $\rightarrow$  So, yes, A and  $B^C$  must be independent, always!

• Can A and B be both independent and disjoint?

 $\rightarrow$  Well, yes, but if so, then  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , so  $P(A \cap B) = P(\emptyset) = 0$ , but  $P(A \cap B) = P(A) P(B)$ , so P(A) P(B) = 0, so either P(A) = 0 or P(B) = 0 (or both).

 $\rightarrow$  If P(A) > 0 and P(B) > 0, then A and B <u>not</u> both independent and disjoint.

## Suggested Homework: 1.5.9, 1.5.14, 1.5.15, 1.5.20.

• Does it matter? Ask Sally Clark! Solicitor in Cheshire, England. Had two sons; each suffocated and died in infancy.

 $\rightarrow$  Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS)? Or murder!?!

 $\rightarrow$  1999 testimony by paediatrician Sir Roy Meadow: "the odds against two [SIDS] in the same family are 73 million to one".

 $\rightarrow$  Sally Clark was arrested, jailed, and vilified, and her third son was temporarily taken away. Was this justified?

 $\rightarrow$  How did Meadow compute that "73 million to one"?

 $\rightarrow$  He said the probability of <u>one</u> child dying of SIDS was one in 8,543, so for <u>two</u> children dying, we <u>multiply</u>:

 $(1/8, 543) \times (1/8, 543) = 1/72, 982, 849 \approx 1/73, 000, 000.$  Was this valid?



 $\rightarrow$  (Also, even the figure "one in 8,543" was misleading, since he included factors which lower the SIDS probability, but neglected other factors which raise it.)

 $\rightarrow$  (Separate point: Even if two SIDS deaths are quite unlikely, two murders are also unlikely! So, how to compare and evaluate? Even unlikely things will happen sometime to someone. Statistical inference! Interesting, but not part of this course.)

 $\rightarrow$  So what happened? Convicted! Jailed for three years! Then overturned.

 $\rightarrow$  More info in my article: probability.ca/justice

## Continuity of Probabilities (§1.6)

**POLL:** Suppose we have <u>any</u> probabilities P defined on  $S = \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ . Does there necessarily exist some <u>finite</u> number  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $P\{1, 2, \ldots, n\} = 1$ ? (C) Yes. (D) No. (E) Not sure.

 $\rightarrow$  No! e.g. in above example with  $P(i) = 2^{-i}$ , we have  $P\{1, 2, ..., n\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2^{-i} = \frac{2^{-1}-2^{-n-1}}{1-2^{-1}} = 1 - 2^{-n}$ , which is always < 1. (e.g. if n = 10, it equals 1023/1024 < 1.)

**<u>POLL</u>:** For any probabilities P on  $S = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ , does there necessarily exist some finite  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $P\{1, 2, ..., n\} > 0.99$ ? (C) Yes. (D) No. (E) Not sure.

 $\rightarrow$  Let's see . . .

• Recall: For a function  $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ , "continuity" means if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = f(x)$ . Is there something similar for probabilities  $P(A_n)$ ? Sort of ...

- e.g.  $S = \mathbf{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ , with  $P(i) = 2^{-i}$  for each  $i \in S$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  Let  $A_n = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ . Does  $A_n$  "converge" to S?
  - $\rightarrow$  If so, then does  $P(A_n)$  converge to P(S) = 1?



• Definition: Write that  $\{A_n\} \nearrow A$  if  $\bigcup_n A_n = A$ , and they are "nested increasing", i.e.  $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$  for all n, i.e.  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_3 \subseteq \dots$  <u>Like</u>  $\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n = A$ . Diagram:

 $\rightarrow$  e.g. if  $A_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , then  $\{A_n\} \nearrow \mathbf{N}$ . [Check!] And therefore?

• Continuity Of Probabilities Theorem: If  $\{A_n\} \nearrow A$ , then  $\lim P(A_n) = P(A)$ .

## END WEDNESDAY #3

- $\rightarrow$  Proof (§1.7): Let  $B_1 = A_1$ , and  $B_n = A_n \cap A_{n-1}^C$  for  $n \ge 2$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Then A is the disjoint union of all of the  $B_n$ . [Diagram.]
- $\rightarrow$  Hence, by additivity,  $P(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(B_i) \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(B_i)$ .
- $\rightarrow$  But also,  $A_n$  is the disjoint union of just  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n$ .
- $\rightarrow$  So, by additivity,  $P(A_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(B_i)$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Combining these two,  $P(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(B_i) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(A_n)$ .

• Similarly, write that  $\{A_n\} \searrow A$  if  $\bigcap_n A_n = A$ , and they are nested <u>decreasing</u>, i.e.  $A_n \supseteq A_{n+1}$  for all n, i.e.  $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq A_3 \supseteq \ldots$  Diagram:

**<u>POLL</u>:** If  $\{A_n\} \searrow A$ , does it necessarily follow that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(A_n) = P(A)$ ? (C) Yes. (E) No. (F) Not sure.

 $\rightarrow$  Well,  $\{A_n\} \searrow A$  if and only if  $\{A_n^C\} \nearrow A^C$ . [Exercise!]

 $\rightarrow$  Hence, if  $\{A_n\} \searrow A$ , then  $\{A_n^C\} \nearrow A^C$ , so  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(A_n^C) = P(A^C)$ , i.e.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} [1 - P(A_n)] = 1 - P(A)$ , so  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(A_n) = P(A)$ , just like before.

• e.g. Suppose we have any probabilities P defined on  $S = \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ .

 $\rightarrow$  Does there necessarily exist some <u>finite</u> number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $P\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = 1$ ?

 $\rightarrow$  No! e.g. above example with  $P(i) = 2^{-i}$ : always have  $P\{1, 2, \dots, n\} < 1$ .

 $\rightarrow$  Is it necessarily true that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = 1$ ?

→ Yes! Since  $\{1, 2, ..., n\} \nearrow \mathbf{N} = S$ , by Continuity Of Probabilities, we must have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}\{1, 2, ..., n\} = \mathbf{P}(S) = 1$ .

 $\rightarrow$  Does there necessarily exist some <u>finite</u>  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\mathbb{P}\{1, 2, \dots, n\} > 0.99$ ?

 $\rightarrow$  Yes! Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = 1$ , therefore  $P\{1, 2, \dots, n\} > 0.99$  for all sufficiently large n.

• e.g. Suppose we flip an <u>infinite</u> number of (independent) fair coins. (!)

**POLL:** What is P(all the coins are all Heads)?

(A) 1/2. (B) 0. (C) Undefined. (D) Not sure.

- $\rightarrow$  How to even think about this?
- $\rightarrow$  Let  $A = \{$ all the coins are Heads $\}$ , and  $A_n = \{$ the first n coins are Heads $\}$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Then  $A_n \supseteq A_{n+1}$ . Also  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = A$ . So,  $\{A_n\} \searrow A$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Hence, P(all coins Heads) =  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(A_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} (1/2)^n = 0.$
- $\rightarrow$  So, {all coins Heads} is "possible", but has probability 0; will <u>never</u> happen.
- e.g. Suppose we pick a number between 0 and 1.

 $\rightarrow$  Suppose we <u>only</u> know that P([a, b]) = b - a whenever  $0 \le a < b \le 1$ . Diagram:

**POLL:** Which fact <u>follows logically</u> from this?

- (A)  $P({x}) = 0$  for each individual  $x \in [0, 1]$ .
- **(B)** P((a, b)) = b a whenever  $0 \le a < b \le 1$ .
- (C) P([a,b)) = b a whenever  $0 \le a < b \le 1$ .
- (D) P((a, b]) = b a whenever  $0 \le a < b \le 1$ .
- (E) All of the above.
- (F) None of the above.

• Start with an example. Know that e.g.  $P\left(\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}\right]\right) = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{6}$ .

 $\rightarrow$  What about the <u>open</u> interval  $P((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}))$ ? Is it necessarily the same?

- $\rightarrow$  Use Continuity Of Probabilities!
- $\rightarrow$  Let  $A = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$ , and  $A_n = [\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{n}]$ . Diagram:
- → Then  $A_{n+1} \supseteq A_n$ , and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = A$ , so  $\{A_n\} \nearrow A$ . → Also, we know that  $P\left(\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{n}\right]\right) = \left[\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{n}\right] - \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right] = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{2}{n}$ . → Hence, by Continuity Of Probabilities,  $P(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(A_n)$ , i.e.  $P\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}\right)\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\frac{1}{6} - \frac{2}{n}\right] = \frac{1}{6}$ . • Similarly, using  $A_n = [a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}]$  shows  $P\left((a, b)\right) = b - a$  for  $0 \le a < b \le 1$ . → Or, for e.g. [a, b), use  $A_n = [a, b - \frac{1}{n}]$  instead, then have  $\{A_n\} \nearrow A := [a, b)$ .
  - What about  $P(\{x\})$ , for  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ? Zero? Let  $A_n = [x \frac{1}{n}, x + \frac{1}{n}]$ . Then ...  $\rightarrow$  Here  $\{A_n\} \searrow A := \{x\}$  Hence by Continuity of Probabilities

$$\rightarrow$$
 Here  $\{A_n\} \searrow A := \{x\}$ . Hence, by Continuity of Probabilities,

 $P(\{x\}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P([x - \frac{1}{n}, x + \frac{1}{n}]) = \lim_{n \to \infty} ((x + \frac{1}{n}) - (x - \frac{1}{n})) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2}{n} = 0.$ 

 $\rightarrow$  So, yes, it's (E) All of the above!

**Suggested Homework:** 1.6.1, 1.6.2, 1.6.3, 1.6.4, 1.6.5, 1.6.6, 1.6.7, 1.6.8, 1.6.9, 1.6.10. Optional: 1.6.11.

## [END OF TEXTBOOK CHAPTER #1]

## Random Variables (§2.1)

• A random variable is "any" function from S to  $\mathbf{R}$ .

 $\rightarrow$  Intuitively, it represents some <u>random quantity</u> in an experiment.

- e.g. Roll 3 dice: X = number showing on the first die.
  - $\rightarrow X$  could be 1,2,3,4,5,6, depending on result: X(265) = 2, X(513) = 5, etc.
  - $\rightarrow$  Or,  $Y = \underline{\text{sum}}$  of the three numbers showing, so Y(265) = 13, Y(513) = 9, etc.
  - $\rightarrow$  Or, Z = first number divided by third number: Z(265) = 2/5, Z(513) = 5/3.
- <u>Or</u>: Roll three fair dice, X(s) = number of 5's, Y(s) = number of 3's, Z = X Y.  $\rightarrow$  Then X(335) = 1, Y(335) = 2, Z(335) = -1, etc. Values can be negative, too!
- e.g. Flip 10 coins: X = # of Heads, or  $Y = (\# \text{ of Heads})^2$ , or

Z = 1 if first coin Heads otherwise Z = 0, etc.

- $\rightarrow$  So X(HHHTTTHTTT) = 4, X(TTHHHHHHHT) = 7, etc.
- $\rightarrow$  In this example, can also write  $Y = X^2$  (function of another random variable).
- e.g. X(s) = 5 for all  $s \in S$ : "constant random variable". (Or any constant.)
- Special case:  $I_A(s) = 1$  if  $s \in A$  otherwise  $I_A(s) = 0$ . "<u>indicator function</u>"
- e.g.  $S = \mathbf{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ , with  $P(i) = 2^{-i}$  for each  $i \in S$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  Maybe X(s) = s, and  $Y(s) = s^2$ . What are their <u>largest</u> possible values?
  - $\rightarrow$  None! They can be arbitrarily large. "unbounded random variables"
  - $\rightarrow$  Also, for all  $s \in S$  we have  $s \leq s^2$ , i.e.  $X(s) \leq Y(s)$  for all  $s \in S$ , so " $X \leq Y$ ".
- Fun Fact: 1950s Doob/Feller argument, "random variable" or "chance variable"?

Suggested Homework: 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.4, 2.1.5, 2.1.6, 2.1.10, 2.1.11, 2.1.12, 2.1.15.

### Distributions of Random Variables (§2.2)

• The distribution of a random variable is the collection of all of the probabilities of the variable being in every possible subset of **R**.

• e.g. Olympic medal, with  $S = \{\text{Gold}, \text{Silver}, \text{Bronze}\}$ , and P(Gold)=0.40, P(Silver)=0.15, and P(Bronze)=0.45. Let X(Gold)=1, X(Silver)=2, X(Bronze)=5.

**POLL:** What is  $P(X \le 3)$ ? (A) 0.40. (B) 0.15. (C) 0.45. (D) 0.55. (E) 1.

 $\rightarrow$  Probabilities for X? Here  $P(X = 1) = P{Gold} = 0.40$ , and  $P(X = 2) = P{Silver} = 0.15$ , and  $P(X = 5) = P{Bronze} = 0.45$ . What about  $P(X \le 3)$ ?

 $\rightarrow$  Well,  $P(X \le 3) = P{Gold, Silver} = 0.40 + 0.15 = 0.55$ . And P(X = 7) = 0.

 $\rightarrow$  And P(X < 20) = P{Gold, Silver, Bronze} = 0.40 + 0.15 + 0.45 = 1.

 $\rightarrow$  And P(1 < X < 6) = P{Silver, Bronze} = 0.15 + 0.45 = 0.60. And so on.

• In general, " $P(X \in B)$ " means  $P(X^{-1}(B)) := P\{s \in S : X(s) \in B\}$ .

→ e.g. If B is the event "≤ 3", then  $B = \{x \in \mathbf{R} : x \leq 3\}$ , so  $P(X \in B) = P(X \leq 3) = P(X \in (-\infty, 3]) = P(X^{-1}(-\infty, 3])$ , which equals 0.55 in this case.

• Can also write in this example that for "any" subset  $B \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , we have (using "indicator functions") that  $P(X \in B) = 0.40 I_B(1) + 0.15 I_B(2) + 0.45 I_B(5)$ .

→ e.g. If B is the event "≤ 3", then  $I_B(1) = 1$ ,  $I_B(2) = 1$ , and  $I_B(5) = 0$ , so  $P(X \in B) = 0.40(1) + 0.15(1) + 0.45(0) = 0.55$ , like before.

Suggested Homework: 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3, 2.2.4, 2.2.5, 2.2.6, 2.2.8, 2.2.9, 2.2.10.

#### Discrete Random Variables (§2.3)

- A random variable is called discrete if  $\sum_{x \in \mathbf{R}} P(X = x) = 1$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  i.e., <u>all</u> of its probability is on individual values.

 $\rightarrow$  Not always true! e.g. if we "pick a number uniformly between 0 and 1", then we know that P(X = x) = 0 for all values of x, so  $\sum_{x \in \mathbf{R}} P(X = x) = 0 < 1$ .

• If it's true, there's a distinct sequence  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots \in \mathbf{R}$ , and corresponding probabilities  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots \geq 0$ , with  $\sum_i p_i = 1$ , such that  $P(X = x_i) = p_i$  for each *i*.

 $\rightarrow$  In above example,  $x_1 = 1$ ,  $x_2 = 2$ ,  $x_3 = 5$ , with  $p_1 = 0.40$ ,  $p_2 = 0.15$ ,  $p_3 = 0.45$ .

• Can also define the "probability function" as  $p_X(x) := P(X = x)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  So,  $p_X(x_i) = p_i$  for all i, with  $p_X(x) = 0$  for all  $x \notin \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$ .

 $\rightarrow$  In above example,  $p_X(1)=0.40$ ,  $p_X(2)=0.15$ ,  $p_X(3)=0.45$ , otherwise  $p_X(x)=0$ .

- e.g. Flip one fair coin, and let X = # Heads.
  - $\rightarrow$  Then P(X = 0) = 1/2, and P(X = 1) = 1/2.
  - $\rightarrow$  So, here  $x_1 = 0$ , and  $x_2 = 1$ , and  $p_1 = p_2 = 1/2$ .
  - → Also,  $p_X(0) = 1/2$  and  $p_X(1) = 1/2$ , with  $p_X(x) = 0$  for all  $x \neq 0, 1$ .
- e.g. Flip two fair coins, and let X = # Heads.

**<u>POLL</u>**: The probability function  $p_X(x)$  for this X is given by:

(A)  $p_X(1) = p_X(2) = 1/2$ , otherwise  $p_X(x) = 0$ .

- **(B)**  $p_X(0) = p_X(1) = p_X(2) = 1/3$ , otherwise  $p_X(x) = 0$ .
- (C)  $p_X(0) = 1/4$  and  $p_X(1) = 1/2$  and  $p_X(2) = 1/4$ , otherwise  $p_X(x) = 0$ .
- (D)  $p_X(0) = 1/4$  and  $p_X(1) = 3/4$  and  $p_X(2) = 1/4$ , otherwise  $p_X(x) = 0$ .
- (E)  $p_X(0) = 1/4$  and  $p_X(1) = 2/3$  and  $p_X(2) = 1/4$ , otherwise  $p_X(x) = 0$ .

• We know that  $P(X = k) = {n \choose k}/2^n = {2 \choose k}/4$ . So,  $P(X = 0) = {2 \choose 0}/2^2 = 1/4$ , and  $P(X = 1) = {2 \choose 1}/2^2 = 2/4 = 1/2$ , and  $P(X = 2) = {2 \choose 2}/2^2 = 1/4$ .  $\rightarrow$  So  $x_1 = 0$ , and  $x_2 = 1$ , and  $x_3 = 2$ , and  $p_1 = 1/4$ , and  $p_2 = 1/2$ , and  $p_3 = 1/4$ .  $\rightarrow$  Also,  $p_X(0) = 1/4$  and  $p_X(1) = 1/2$  and  $p_X(2) = 1/4$ , otherwise  $p_X(x) = 0$ .

Suggested Homework: 2.3.1, 2.3.2, 2.3.3, 2.3.4, 2.3.5.

Some First Discrete Distributions (§2.3.1)

• e.g. Shoot one "free throw" in basketball, with probability " $\theta$ " of scoring (for some value of  $\theta$  with  $0 < \theta < 1$ , e.g.  $\theta = 0.5$ , or  $\theta = 1/3$ , or ...).

 $\rightarrow$  Let X = 1 if you score, or X = 0 if you miss. Probabilities for X?

 $\rightarrow$  Here P(X = 1) = P{score} =  $\theta$ , and P(X = 0) = P{miss} = 1 -  $\theta$ .

- $\rightarrow$  This is the "Bernoulli( $\theta$ ) distribution".
- $\rightarrow$  Can also write  $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$ .



 $\rightarrow$  e.g. Bernoulli(0.5), or Bernoulli(1/3), or ...

 $\rightarrow$  (Of course, it doesn't have to be free throws! This distribution applies to <u>any</u> situation involving any "attempt" or "trial" having probability  $\theta$  of "success" and probability  $1 - \theta$  of "failure". And similarly for the below, too.)

• e.g. Shoot <u>2</u> free throws, each <u>independent</u> with probability  $\theta$  of scoring (for some value of  $\theta$  with  $0 < \theta < 1$  like 0.5 or 1/3).

 $\rightarrow$  Let X = # Successes. Probabilities for X?

 $\rightarrow \text{Here P}(X=0) = P\{\text{miss-miss}\} = (1-\theta)(1-\theta) = (1-\theta)^2.$ 

(We can <u>multiply</u> because they are <u>independent</u>.)

 $\rightarrow$  And,  $P(X = 2) = P\{\text{score-score}\} = (\theta)(\theta) = \theta^2$ .

**<u>POLL</u>:** What is P(X = 1)? (A)  $\theta(1 - \theta)$ . (B)  $2\theta(1 - \theta)$ . (C)  $\theta + (1 - \theta)$ . (D)  $\theta - 2(1 - \theta)$ . (E) Not sure.

 $\rightarrow$  Here P(X = 1) = P{score-miss, miss-score} = ( $\theta$ )(1- $\theta$ )+(1- $\theta$ )( $\theta$ ) = 2 $\theta$ (1- $\theta$ ).

 $\rightarrow$  So,  $p_X(0) = (1 - \theta)^2$ ,  $p_X(1) = 2\theta(1 - \theta)$ ,  $p_X(2) = \theta^2$ , otherwise  $p_X(x) = 0$ .

 $\rightarrow$  This is the "Binomial(2,  $\theta$ ) distribution".

• e.g. Shoot "n" free throws, each <u>independent</u> with probability  $\theta$  of scoring (for some value of  $\theta$  with  $0 < \theta < 1$ , and some value of  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  like 2 or 10 or 286).



**POLL:** Let X = # Successes. What is the probability function for X? (A)  $p_X(k) = \theta^k$ , for any  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., n\}$ , otherwise 0. (B)  $p_X(k) = \theta^k (1 - \theta)^{n-k}$ , for any  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., n\}$ , otherwise 0. (C)  $p_X(k) = \binom{n}{k} \theta^k$ , for any  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., n\}$ , otherwise 0. (D)  $p_X(k) = \binom{n}{k} \theta^k (1 - \theta)^{n-k}$ , for any  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., n\}$ , otherwise 0. (E) No idea

- (E) No idea.
  - $\rightarrow$  Here P(X = 0) = P{miss-miss-...-miss} = (1  $\theta$ )<sup>n</sup>.
  - $\rightarrow$  And,  $P(X = n) = P\{\text{score-score-}\dots\text{-score}\} = \theta^n$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  And,  $P(X = 1) = P\{\text{score-miss-}\dots\text{-miss}, \text{miss-score-miss-}\dots\text{-miss}, \dots\} = ??$
  - $\rightarrow$  Well, each such sequence has probability  $\theta(1-\theta)\dots(1-\theta)=\theta(1-\theta)^{n-1}$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  And, there are *n* such sequences (one for each shot which could score).
  - $\rightarrow$  So, P(X = 1) =  $n\theta(1-\theta)^{n-1}$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  What about P(X = k) for any integer  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ?
  - $\rightarrow$  Well,  $P(X = k) = P\{\text{all sequences of } k \text{ scores and } n k \text{ misses}\}.$
  - $\rightarrow$  Each such sequence has probability  $\theta^k (1-\theta)^{n-k}$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  And, the number of such sequences is  $\binom{n}{k}$ . ("Choose" which k shots scored.)

$$\to$$
 So,  $p_X(k) := P(X = k) = {n \choose k} \theta^k (1 - \theta)^{n-k}$ , for any  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ 

- $\rightarrow$  This is the "Binomial $(n, \theta)$  distribution". Can write  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$ .
- Check: k = 0:  $P(X = 0) = {n \choose 0} \theta^0 (1 \theta)^{n-0} = (1 \theta)^n$ . Yep!
  - $\rightarrow$  Check: k = n:  $P(X = n) = {n \choose n} \theta^n (1 \theta)^{n-n} = \theta^n$ . Yep!
  - $\rightarrow$  Check: k = 1:  $P(X = 1) = {n \choose 1} \theta^1 (1 \theta)^{n-1} = n\theta (1 \theta)^{n-1}$ . Yep!
  - $\rightarrow$  Check:  $P(X = k) \ge 0$ . Yep!

• Check: 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} P(X=k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} \theta^{k} (1-\theta)^{n-k} = ??$$

 $\rightarrow$  Well, recall the "Binomial Theorem":  $(a+b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k} a^k b^{n-k}$ .

- $\rightarrow$  Set  $a = \theta$  and  $b = 1 \theta$ :  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} \theta^k (1-\theta)^{n-k} = [\theta + (1-\theta)]^n = 1^n = 1$ . Yep!
- Special case: If  $\theta = 1/2$ , then the Binomial(n, 1/2) distribution has

 $P(X = k) = {\binom{n}{k}} (1/2)^k (1 - (1/2))^{n-k} = {\binom{n}{k}} (1/2)^n = {\binom{n}{k}} / 2^n$ , same as coins before.

- Special case: Binomial $(1, \theta)$  is the <u>same</u> as Bernoulli $(\theta)$ .
- Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$ , for <u>independent</u> trials.

 $\rightarrow$  Let  $Y = X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n$ . What is the distribution of Y?

 $\rightarrow$  Here Y represents the number of successes in n independent attempts, each with probability  $\theta$  of success, so  $Y \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$ .

**POLL:** e.g. Suppose 1/4 of students have long hair. You pick four students at random, with replacement. What is P(exactly 2 of them have long hair)? (A)  $(1/4)^2$ . (B)  $(3/4)^2$ . (C)  $(1/4)^2(3/4)^2$ . (D)  $3(1/4)^2(3/4)^2$ . (E)  $6(1/4)^2(3/4)^2$ .

→ Let Y = # students with long hair. Then  $Y \sim \text{Binomial}(4, 1/4)$ . So,  $P(Y = 2) = \binom{4}{2} (1/4)^2 (1 - (1/4))^{4-2} = 6(1/4)^2 (3/4)^2 = 54/256 = 27/128 \doteq 0.21.$ 

Suggested Homework: 2.3.7, 2.3.11, 2.3.14, 2.3.24.

#### END MONDAY #3 -

#### Geometric Distribution (§2.3.1)

**POLL:** e.g. Repeatedly shoot free throws, each independent with probability  $\theta$  of scoring. What is P(miss exactly 3 times before first score)? (A)  $\theta/4$ . (B)  $\theta^3$ . (C)  $(1-\theta)^3$ . (D)  $\theta^3(1-\theta)$ . (E)  $(1-\theta)^3\theta$ . (F) No idea.

• In this example, let Z = # misses <u>before the first score</u>. Probabilities for Z?

 $\rightarrow$  Here P(Z = 0) = P(score first time) =  $\theta$ .

 $\rightarrow$  And, P(Z = 1) = P(miss-score) =  $(1 - \theta)\theta$ .

 $\rightarrow$  And, P(Z = 2) = P(miss-miss-score) =  $(1 - \theta)^2 \theta$ .

 $\rightarrow$  And, P(Z = 3) = P(miss-miss-score) =  $(1 - \theta)^3 \theta$ . (E)

 $\rightarrow$  In general,  $P(Z = k) = P(miss-miss-...-miss-score) = (1 - \theta)^k \theta$ , valid for all k = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...

 $\rightarrow$  This is the "Geometric( $\theta$ ) distribution". Can write  $Z \sim \text{Geometric}(\theta)$ .

• Check:  $P(Z = k) \ge 0$  for all k. Yep!

 $\rightarrow \text{Check: } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1-\theta)^k \theta = \theta [1+(1-\theta)+(1-\theta)^2+(1-\theta)^3+\ldots] \\ = \theta [\frac{1}{1-(1-\theta)}] = \theta [\frac{1}{\theta}] = 1. \text{ (Geometric series.) Yep!}$ 

• [Some books count # attempts up to <u>and including</u> first success: one more.]

**POLL:** e.g. Suppose 1/4 of students have long hair. You repeatedly pick students at random, with replacement. What is P(the <u>sixth</u> student is the <u>first</u> with long hair)? (A) (1/4)(3/4). (B)  $(1/4)^5(3/4)$ . (C)  $(1/4)(3/4)^5$ . (D)  $(1/4)^6(3/4)$ . (E)  $(1/4)(3/4)^6$ .

 $\rightarrow$  Let X = # students <u>before</u> first one with long hair. Then we want to find P(X = 5). And, here  $X \sim \text{Geometric}(1/4)$ .

→ So, 
$$P(X = 5) = (1/4) (1 - (1/4))^5 = (1/4)(3/4)^5 = 243/4096 \doteq 0.059.$$

• Suppose again that  $X \sim \text{Geometric}(1/4)$ . What is  $P(X = \infty)$ ?

 $\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \text{ Well, } \mathcal{P}(X < m) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{P}(X = k) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (1/4) (3/4)^k = (1/4) [1 + (3/4) + (3/4)^2 + \ldots + (3/4)^{m-1}] = (1/4) \frac{1 - (3/4)^m}{1 - (3/4)} = 1 - (3/4)^m. \quad \text{This is } < 1. \\ \rightarrow \text{ So, } \mathcal{P}(X \geq m) = 1 - \mathcal{P}(X < m) = 1 - [1 - (3/4)^m] = (3/4)^m. \end{array}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  So,  $P(X \ge m) = (3/4)^m > 0$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . ("unbounded random variable")

- $\rightarrow$  But also,  $\{X \ge m\} \searrow \{X = \infty\}$ . [check!]
- $\rightarrow$  Hence, by <u>Continuity of Probabilities</u>,

 $P(X = \infty) = \lim_{m \to \infty} P(X \ge m) = \lim_{m \to \infty} (3/4)^m = 0.$  Phew!

• If  $X \sim \text{Geometric}(\theta)$  for any  $0 < \theta < 1$ , and any  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , then we still have  $P(X \ge m) = (1 - \theta)^m > 0$ , unbounded, but still  $P(X = \infty) = 0$ .

Suggested Homework: 2.3.6, 2.3.10, 2.3.15, 2.3.16(a,b), 2.3.23, 2.3.27.

• Suppose  $X \sim \text{Geometric}(\theta)$ , and  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then what is  $P(X \ge a + b \mid X \ge a)$ ?

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{P}(X \ge a + b \mid X \ge a) = \frac{\operatorname{P}(X \ge a + b \text{ and } X \ge a)}{\operatorname{P}(X \ge a)} = \frac{\operatorname{P}(X \ge a + b)}{\operatorname{P}(X \ge a)} = \frac{(1 - \theta)^{a + b}}{(1 - \theta)^{a}} = (1 - \theta)^{b}.$$

- $\rightarrow$  So what? Well, this is equal to  $P(X \ge b)$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Suppose your <u>waiting time</u> (for a bus, or an elevator, or ...) is Geometric( $\theta$ ).
- $\rightarrow$  Suppose you've <u>already</u> waited for *a* minutes.

 $\rightarrow$  Then the probabilities for how long you <u>still</u> have to wait, are the same as they were when you started waiting!

 $\rightarrow$  This is the "memoryless" or "forgetfulness" property of Geometric( $\theta$ ).

## Poisson Distribution (§2.3.1)

• e.g. Suppose Toronto has an average of  $\lambda = 5$  house fires per day.

 $\rightarrow$  Intuitively, this is caused by a very <u>large</u> number *n* of buildings, each of which has a very <u>small</u> probability  $\theta$  of having a fire.

- $\rightarrow$  Let  $\lambda = n\theta$ , i.e.  $\theta = \lambda/n$ . (Then  $\lambda$  is the "average" number of fires later.)
- $\rightarrow$  Then the number of fires has the distribution Binomial $(n, \lambda/n)$ , so

$$P(\#\text{fires} = k) = \binom{n}{k} \theta^k (1-\theta)^{n-k} \\ = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k+1)}{k!} (\lambda/n)^k [1-(\lambda/n)]^{n-k}.$$

 $\rightarrow$  Now, what happens as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for a fixed value of k?

 $\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \text{ Well, since } k \ll n, \text{ we have } \frac{n}{n} = 1, \ \frac{n-1}{n} \rightarrow 1, \ \frac{n-2}{n} \rightarrow 1, \ \dots \ \frac{n-k+1}{n} \rightarrow 1. \\ \rightarrow \text{ Hence, } \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k+1)}{n^k} \rightarrow 1. \end{array}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Also, from calculus,  $e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots$ , so for small  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $e^x \approx 1 + x$ .

$$\rightarrow$$
 So,  $[1 - (\lambda/n)]^{n-k} \approx [1 - (\lambda/n)]^n \approx [e^{-\lambda/n}]^n = e^{-\lambda/n}$ 

- $\rightarrow$  Hence, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $P(\# \text{fires} = k) \rightarrow \frac{1}{k!} \lambda^k e^{-\lambda} = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$ .
- $\rightarrow$  This is the Poisson( $\lambda$ ) distribution:  $P(k) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$ , for k = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...
- Check:  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} = e^{-\lambda} \left[1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} + \frac{\lambda^3}{3!} + \ldots\right] = e^{-\lambda} \left[e^{\lambda}\right] = 1.$  Yep!

• In general, if n is very large, and  $\theta$  is very small, then  $Binomial(n, \theta)$  is well approximated by  $Poisson(\lambda)$  where  $\lambda = n\theta$ . "Poisson approximation"

• e.g. Suppose 
$$Y \sim \text{Poisson(3)}$$
. What is  $P(Y = 4)$ ?  
 $\rightarrow$  Well,  $P(Y = 4) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} = e^{-3} \frac{3^4}{4!} = e^{-3} \frac{81}{24} \doteq 0.168$ .

**POLL:** e.g. Suppose  $Y \sim \text{Binomial}(20000, 0.0001)$ . Then the actual value of P(Y = 4), and the Poisson approximation value of P(Y = 4), are: (A)  $20000 (0.0001)^4$ , and  $e^{-20000} \frac{(20000)^4}{4!}$ .

- (**B**)  $\binom{20000}{4} (0.0001)^4$ , and  $e^{-20000} \frac{(2)^4}{4!}$ . (**C**)  $\binom{20000}{4} (0.0001)^4 (0.9999)^{19996}$ , and  $e^{-2} \frac{(2)^4}{4!}$ .
- (D)  $\binom{20000}{4} (0.0001)^4 (0.9999)^{19996}$ , and  $e^{-20000} \frac{(2)^4}{4!}$ .
  - Here  $Y \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$  where n = 20000 and  $\theta = 0.0001$ .  $\rightarrow$  So, P(Y = 4) =  $\binom{n}{4}\theta^4(1-\theta)^{n-4} = \binom{20000}{4}(0.0001)^4(0.9999)^{19996}$
  - Poisson Approximation: Here  $\lambda = n\theta = 20000 \cdot 0.0001 = 2$ .  $\rightarrow$  So, P(Y = 4)  $\approx e^{-\lambda \frac{\lambda^4}{4!}} = e^{-2 \frac{(2)^4}{4!}}$

POLL: To how many decimal points do these two values agree? (Don't compute it, just guess.) (A) 3. (B) 4. (C) 5. (D) 6. (E) 7. (F) 8.

- $\rightarrow$  Here  $P(Y = 4) = \binom{20000}{4} (0.0001)^4 (0.9999)^{19996} \doteq 0.09022352216.$
- $\rightarrow$  And, the approximation is  $P(Y=4) \approx e^{-2} \frac{(2)^4}{4!} \doteq 0.09022352178.$
- $\rightarrow$  (Agree to 8 decimal places! Or even 9, with rounding!)

• Or, if  $Y \sim \text{Binomial}(200, 0.01)$ , then still  $\lambda = 200 \cdot 0.01 = 2$ , so Poisson approximation is the same, but how close is it now?

**POLL:** Same question as above, but now for Binomial(200, 0.01).

- $\rightarrow$  Now P(Y = 4) =  $\binom{200}{4}(0.01)^4(0.99)^{200-4} \doteq 0.0902197.$
- $\rightarrow$  Still pretty close: 4 decimals! (Or 5 with rounding!) But not <u>as</u> close.
- $\rightarrow$  Binomial(20,0.1): P(Y=4)  $\doteq 0.0897788$ . (3 decimals with rounding)
- $\rightarrow$  Binomial(10,0.2): P(Y=4)  $\doteq$  0.0881; Binomial(5,0.4):  $\doteq$  0.0768; worse.

Suggested Homework: 2.3.8, 2.3.12, 2.3.19, 2.3.27. Optional: 2.3.18, 2.3.30.

• We'll <u>omit</u> some other common discrete distributions (save for next year!).

 $\rightarrow$  e.g. Negative-Binomial $(r, \theta)$  and Hypergeometric(N, M, n).

## Law of Total Probability (again) (§2.3)

• If X is a discrete variable which always equals one of the values  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ , then the events  $\{X = x_i\}$  form a <u>partition</u>. So, we get that ...

• [Law of Total Probability – Discrete Random Variable Version]

If X is a discrete random variable, with possible values  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ , and corresponding probabilities  $p_1, p_2, \ldots$ , and B is any event, then

 $P(B) = \sum_{i} P(X = x_i) P(B | X = x_i) = \sum_{i} p_i P(B | X = x_i).$ 

 $\rightarrow$  In fact, since P(X = x) = 0 for all other x, we can also write this as:  $P(B) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{R}} P(X = x) P(B \mid X = x).$ 

END WEDNESDAY #4

**POLL:** Suppose we roll one fair six-sided die, and then flip a number of coins equal to the number showing on the die. Let X = # Heads. Then P(X = 3) equals: **(A)**  $\sum_{y=3}^{6} (1/6) [\binom{y}{3}/2^{y}]$ . **(B)**  $\binom{6}{3}/2^{y}$ . **(C)**  $\frac{6!}{3!}/2^{3}$ . **(D)**  $\sum_{y=3}^{6} (1/6) [y(y-1)(y-2)/2^{y}]$ . **(E)**  $\sum_{y=1}^{6} (1/6) [y(y-1)(y-2)/6(2^{y})]$ . **(F)** No idea.

Let Y = number on die. Then Y is discrete, with possible values {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}.
→ Use the values of Y as a partition! Then ...

 $P(X = 3) = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{R}} P(Y = y) P(X = 3 | Y = y) = \sum_{y=1}^{6} P(Y = y) P(X = 3 | Y = y)$ =  $\sum_{y=3}^{6} (1/6) [\binom{y}{3} / 2^{y}].$  (A)  $\rightarrow$  This equals  $\frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{4}{16} + \frac{10}{32} + \frac{20}{64}\right) = \frac{1}{6}(1) = \frac{1}{6}.$  (Why? Coincidence!)  $\rightarrow$  And,  $P(X = 4) = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{R}} P(Y = y) P(X = 4 | Y = y) = \sum_{y=1}^{6} P(Y = y) P(X = 4 | Y = y) = \sum_{y=4}^{6} (1/6) [\binom{y}{4} / 2^{y}] = \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{5}{32} + \frac{15}{64}\right) = 29/384 \doteq 0.0755.$ 

• e.g. Suppose we roll one fair six-sided die, and then attempt a number of free throws equal to the number showing on the die. Assume we have independent probability 1/3 of scoring on each free throw. Let X = # Scores. Compute P(X = 3).

→ Let Y = number on die. Then by the Law of Total Probability,  $P(X = 3) = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{R}} P(Y = y) P(X = 3 | Y = y) = \sum_{y=1}^{6} P(Y = y) P(X = 3 | Y = y)$   $y) = \sum_{y=3}^{6} (1/6) \left[ {\binom{y}{3}} (1/3)^3 (2/3)^{y-3} \right] = (1/6) \left[ (1)(1/3)^3 (2/3)^0 + (4)(1/3)^3 (2/3)^1 + (10)(1/3)^3 (2/3)^2 + (20)(1/3)^3 (2/3)^3 \right] = \dots = (1/6) [379/729] \doteq 0.087.$ 

#### Understanding Distributions Using the Computer Software "R"

- Recall basic info and links at: probability.ca/Rinfo.html
  - $\rightarrow$  Also discussed in Appendix B of the textbook.
- Can use "R" to simulate from probability distributions!
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g. "rbinom(1,10,1/2)", "rgeom(1,0.2)", "rpois(1,5)".
  - $\rightarrow$  Can get more info with e.g. "?rbinom", etc.
- Can also plot probabilities, e.g. "plot(dbinom(0:10,10,1/2))", "plot(dgeom(0:10,0.2))"
  - $\rightarrow$  [Also: other parameter values, and different options like "type='b'", etc.]

## Continuous Random Variables (§2.4)

- A random variable X is continuous if P(X = x) = 0 for all x.
- $\rightarrow$  Then  $\sum_{x \in \mathbf{R}} P(X = x) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{R}} 0 = 0$ . The "opposite" of discrete!
- e.g. The Uniform[0,1] distribution (already mentioned):
  - $\rightarrow X \sim \text{Uniform}[0,1]$  if  $P(a \leq X \leq b) = b a$  whenever  $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$ .
- → Then e.g.  $P(X \in [0, 1]) = P(0 \le X \le 1) = 1 0 = 1$ ,
- $P(1/3 \le X \le 3/4) = (3/4) (1/3) = 5/12,$
- $P(X \ge 2/3) = P(2/3 \le X \le 1) = 1 (2/3) = 1/3$ , etc.

→ Also, P(X > 1) = 0, and P(X < 0) = 0, so e.g.  $P(1/3 \le X \le 5) = P(1/3 \le 1)$  $X \leq 1$  = 1 - (1/3) = 2/3, etc.

 $\rightarrow$  And, we previously showed (using Continuity Of Probabilities) that we can always replace " $\leq$ " with "<", or ">" by " $\geq$ ", etc. (Also true since P(X = x) = 0.)

• Alternative representation: Let



 $\rightarrow$  And as a check,  $f(x) \ge 0$ , and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1$ . More complicated, but ...

• A density function is "any"  $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  with  $f(x) \ge 0$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1$ .

- $\rightarrow$  Given <u>any</u> density function, can define  $P(a \le X \le b) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$  for  $a \le b$ .
- $\rightarrow$  This defines a new distribution! Very general! ("absolutely continuous")
- Follows that  $P(X = a) = P(a \le X \le a) = \int_a^a f(x) dx = 0$ , i.e. X is continuous.
- If f(x) is the density function for a random variable X, write it as  $f_X(x)$ .

Some First Continuous Distributions (§2.4.1)

• e.g. the Uniform[5,12] distribution has density: 
$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 5\\ 1/7, & 5 \le x \le 12\\ 0, & x > 12 \end{cases}$$

Diagram:

 $\rightarrow$  Then  $f_X(x) \ge 0$ , and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{5} (0) \, dx + \int_{5}^{12} (1/7) \, dx + \int_{12}^{\infty} (0) \, dx =$ 0 + (1/7)(7) + 0 = 1. Good.

**<u>POLL</u>**: Then for any  $5 \le a \le b \le 12$ , the probability  $P(a \le X \le b)$  is equal to: (A) b-a. (B)  $\frac{1}{7}(b-a)$ . (C)  $\frac{2}{7}(12-a)$ . (D)  $\frac{2}{7}(b-5)$ . (E)  $\frac{1}{7}(b-a-5)$ . (F) No idea.

• For any L < R, the Uniform[L,R] density is:  $f_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < L \\ 1/(R-L), & L \le x \le R \\ 0, & x > R \end{cases}$ → Then  $f_X(x) \ge 0$ , and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{L} (0) dx + \int_{L}^{R} \frac{1}{R-L} dx + \int_{R}^{\infty} (0) dx = 0 + \frac{1}{R-L} (R-L) + 0 = 1$ . Good.  $\rightarrow$  And then whenever  $L \leq a \leq b \leq R$ , then  $P(a \leq X \leq b) = \frac{b-a}{R-L}$ .

 $\rightarrow$  e.g. if L = 5 and R = 12, then  $P(a \le X \le b) = \frac{b-a}{R-L} = \frac{1}{7}(b-a)$ . (Of course.)

• If  $X \sim \text{Uniform}[L, R]$ , then  $P(L \leq X \leq R) = 1$ . (Bounded distribution.)

• e.g. Let  $f(x) = e^{-x}$  for  $x \ge 0$ , otherwise f(x) = 0. Diagram:

 $\rightarrow \text{Then } f(x) \ge 0, \text{ and } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{0} (0) \, dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} \, dx = (0) + (-e^{-x}) \Big|_{x=0}^{x=\infty} = (-0) - (-1) = 1.$ 

→ If X has this density f, for  $0 \le a \le b$ ,  $P(a \le X \le b) = \int_a^b e^{-x} dx = e^{-a} - e^{-b}$ . → Also  $P(X \ge a) = e^{-a}$ . This is the Exponential(1) distribution.

• More generally, for any  $\lambda > 0$ , let  $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  for  $x \ge 0$ , otherwise f(x) = 0.

→ Then  $f(x) \ge 0$ , and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{0} (0) dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} (\lambda e^{-\lambda x}) dx = -e^{-\lambda x} \Big|_{x=0}^{x=\infty} = (-0) - (-1) = 1.$ 

 $\rightarrow$  If X has this density f, for  $0 \le a \le b$ ,  $P(a \le X \le b) = e^{-\lambda a} - e^{-\lambda b}$ .

 $\rightarrow$  Also  $P(X \ge a) = e^{-\lambda a}$ . This is the Exponential( $\lambda$ ) distribution.

 $\rightarrow$  Many useful properties. Good model of e.g. how long a lightbulb will last.

**<u>POLL</u>**: What property does Exponential( $\lambda$ ) have, just like a previous distribution?

(A) It gives probabilities for <u>coin flips</u>, just like  $Binomial(n, \theta)$ .

(B) It's a <u>bounded</u> distribution, just like Uniform[L, R].

(C) It has the <u>memoryless property</u>, just like Geometric( $\theta$ ).

(D) It is a limit of Binomials, just like  $Poisson(\lambda)$ .

(E) All of the above.

(F) Exactly  $\underline{\text{two}}$  of the above.

• Suppose  $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ , and a, b > 0. Then what is  $P(X \ge a + b \mid X \ge a)$ ?  $\rightarrow P(X \ge a + b \mid X \ge a) = \frac{P(X \ge a + b \text{ and } X \ge a)}{P(X \ge a)} = \frac{P(X \ge a + b)}{P(X \ge a)} = \frac{e^{-\lambda(a+b)}}{e^{-\lambda a}} = e^{-\lambda b}.$ 

 $\rightarrow$  So what? Well, this is equal to  $P(X \ge b)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  If your <u>waiting time</u> is Exponential( $\lambda$ ), and you've <u>already</u> waited for *a* minutes, then the probabilities for how long you <u>still</u> have to wait are the same as they were when you started waiting. Just like for Geometric( $\theta$ ).

 $\rightarrow$  This is the "memoryless" or "forgetfulness" property of Exponential( $\lambda$ ).

Suggested Homework: 2.4.1, 2.4.2, 2.4.3, 2.4.4, 2.4.5, 2.4.6, 2.4.7, 2.4.8, 2.4.9, 2.4.10, 2.4.11, 2.4.12, 2.4.14.

## The Normal Distribution (§2.4.1)



- $\rightarrow$  Clearly  $\phi(x) \ge 0$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Fact:  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx = 1.$
- $\rightarrow$  (Proof uses polar coordinates: p. 126.)
- $\rightarrow$  So, it's a density. Important! Amazing!
- If X has density  $\phi$ , then we say that X has the Normal(0,1) or N(0,1) distribution.
  - $\rightarrow$  Then  $P(a \le X \le b) = \int_a^b \phi(x) \, dx = \int_a^b \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \, dx$  for all  $a \le b$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  Cannot be computed analytically. (No exact anti-derivative function.)
  - $\rightarrow$  But can be computed using software, or using tables like Appendix D.2.
- More generally, for any  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\sigma > 0$ , let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2}$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  Then  $f(x) \ge 0$ . By change-of-variable theorem,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx = 1$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  This is the density of the Normal $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  or N $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution.
  - $\rightarrow$  Previous case was:  $\mu = 0, \sigma = 1$ . ("Standard normal distribution")
  - $\rightarrow$  Curve is centered at  $\mu$ , so changing  $\mu$  "shifts" it.
  - $\rightarrow$  Increasing  $\sigma$  makes it "fatter"; decreasing  $\sigma$  makes it "thinner".
  - $\rightarrow$  [Plot in R: e.g. "plot(\(x) dnorm(x,2,3), xlim=c(-4,4), ylim=c(0,1))"]

• In fact, if  $Z \sim \text{Normal}(0,1)$ , and  $W = \mu + \sigma Z$ , then by the change-of-variable formula (coming soon),  $W \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

- So, there is a normal density for every "location"  $\mu$  and "scale"  $\sigma$ .
- Good model for e.g. human heights, weights of eggs, etc.
  - $\rightarrow$  See e.g. https://www.statology.org/example-of-normal-distribution/
- The key distribution for the Central Limit Theorem and more! (Later.)
  - $\rightarrow$  Arises naturally when there are lots of small influences.
  - $\rightarrow$  See e.g. https://www.mathsisfun.com/data/quincunx.html

#### **Suggested Homework:** 2.4.13, 2.4.26.

• We'll <u>omit</u> some other common continuous distributions, e.g.  $Gamma(\alpha, \lambda)$ .

## Cumulative Distribution Functions (cdf) (§2.5)

• For any random variable X, the cumulative distribution function (cdf) is the function  $F_X$  defined by  $F_X(x) = P(X \le x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ .

- $\rightarrow$  If X is discrete, then  $F_X(x) = \sum_{u \leq x} P(X = u) = \sum_{u \leq x} p_X(u).$
- $\rightarrow$  Or, if X is absolutely continuous, then  $F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(u) du$ .

**POLL:** If a < b, the expression  $F_X(b) - F_X(a)$  is equal to: (A)  $P[X \le \min(a, b)]$ . (B)  $P[X \ge \max(a, b)]$ . (C) P[a < X < b]. (D)  $P[a < X \le b]$ . (E)  $P[a \le X < b]$ . (F)  $P[a \le X \le b]$ .

• Well, for any a < b, let  $A = \{X \le a\}$  and  $B = \{X \le b\}$ .  $\rightarrow$  Then  $A \cap B = A = \{X \le a\}$ . → Then,  $\{a < X \le b\} = \{X \le b\} \cap \{X > a\} = \{X \le b\} \cap \{X \le a\}^C = B \cap A^C$ . → Hence,  $P(a < X \le b) = P(B \cap A^C) = P(B) - P(A \cap B)$  $= P(B) - P(A) = P(X \le b) - P(X \le a) = F_X(b) - F_X(a)$ . So: (D).

**POLL:** If X has cdf  $F_X$ , then  $P(a \le X \le b)$  must always be equal to: (A)  $F_X(b) - F_X(a)$ . (B)  $F_X(b) - \lim_{n \to \infty} F_X(a - \frac{1}{n})$ . (C)  $F_X(b) - \lim_{n \to \infty} F_X(a + \frac{1}{n})$ . (D)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_X(b - \frac{1}{n}) - F_X(a)$ . (E)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_X(b + \frac{1}{n}) - F_X(a)$ . (F)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_X(b - \frac{1}{n}) - \lim_{n \to \infty} F_X(a - \frac{1}{n})$ .

• Indeed, by Continuity Of Probabilities,  $P(a \le X \le b) = P(X \le b) - P(X < a) = P(X \le b) - \lim_{n \to \infty} P(X \le a - \frac{1}{n}) = F_X(b) - \lim_{n \to \infty} F_X(a - \frac{1}{n}).$  (B)

 $\rightarrow$  If  $F_X$  is a <u>continuous</u> function, then  $P(a \le X \le b) = F_X(b) - F_X(a)$ .

- Special case:  $P(X = a) = P(a \le X \le a) = F_X(a) \lim_{n \to \infty} F_X(a \frac{1}{n}).$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  Might equal 0, but might be positive!

→ If 
$$F_X$$
 is continuous, then  $P(X = a) = P(a \le X \le a)$   
=  $F_X(a) - \lim_{n \to \infty} F_X(a - \frac{1}{n}) = F_X(a) - F_X(a) = 0.$ 

- And, e.g.  $P(3 < X \le 5 \text{ or } 6 < X \le 9) = [F_X(5) F_X(3)] + [F_X(9) F_X(6)]$ , etc.
- So, <u>all</u> probabilities for X can be found from  $F_X$ . ("distribution function")

**<u>POLL</u>:** The cumulative distribution function (cdf)  $F_X$  of any real-valued random variable X <u>must</u> always satisfy the following property:

- (A)  $0 \leq F_X(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ .
- (B) If  $x \leq y$ , then  $F_X(x) \leq F_X(y)$ , i.e.  $F_X$  is a <u>non-decreasing</u> function.
- (C)  $\lim_{x\to-\infty} F_X(x) = 0.$
- (D)  $\lim_{x\to\infty} F_X(x) = 1.$
- (E) All of the above.
- (F) Exactly  $\underline{\text{two}}$  of the above.

END MONDAY #4 \_\_\_\_\_

• Well, let's see ...

 $\rightarrow F_X(x) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq x)$  is a probability, so  $0 \leq F_X(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

 $\rightarrow$  If  $x \leq y$ , and we set  $A = \{X \leq x\}$  and  $B = \{X \leq y\}$ , then  $A \subseteq B$ . Hence,  $P(A) \leq P(B)$ , i.e.  $F_X(x) \leq F_X(y)$ . (non-decreasing)

 $\rightarrow$  If  $A_n = \{X \leq -n\}$ , then  $\{A_n\} \searrow \{X = -\infty\} = \emptyset$ , so by Continuity of Probabilities,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(A_n) = P(\bigcap_n A_n) = P(\emptyset) = 0$ .

→ Similarly, if  $A_n = \{X \leq +n\}$ , then  $\{A_n\} \nearrow \{X < \infty\} = S$ , so by Continuity of Probabilities,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(A_n) = P(\bigcup_n A_n) = P(S) = 1$ .

 $\rightarrow$  So: (E) All of the above!

**<u>POLL</u>**: Are cumulative distribution functions (cdfs) <u>continuous</u> functions?

- (A) Yes, they must always be continuous functions.
- (B) They must be <u>left-continuous</u>, but might not be <u>right-continuous</u>.
- (C) They must be <u>right-continuous</u>, but might not be <u>left-continuous</u>.
- (D) They might be neither left- nor right-continuous.
- (E) No idea.

 $\rightarrow$  So, if X is a <u>continuous</u> random variable, i.e. P(X = x) = 0 for all x, then  $F_X$  is a continuous function for all x. (This is actually "if and only if".)

- In general, the jump-size of  $F_X$  at x is equal to P(X = x).
- e.g. Flip 3 coins, X = # Heads.

**<u>POLL</u>:** In this example, what is the cdf value  $F_X(2.5)$ ? (A) 1/8. (B) 3/8. (C) 1/2. (D) 5/8. (E) 7/8. (F) 1.

→ Know P(X = 0) = 1/8, P(X = 1) = 3/8, P(X = 2) = 3/8, P(X = 3) = 1/8. → So, for x < 0,  $F_X(x) = P(X \le x) = 0$ . → And, for  $0 \le x < 1$ ,  $F_X(x) = P(X \le x) = P(X = 0) = 1/8$ .

 $\rightarrow$  And, for  $1 \leq x < 2$ ,  $F_X(x) =$ 1.0 0.8 X(x) for # Heads  $P(X \le x) = P(X = 0) + P(X = 1) =$ 0.6 1/8 + 3/8 = 4/8 = 1/2.0.4  $\rightarrow$  And, for  $2 \leq x < 3$ ,  $F_X(x) =$ 0.2  $P(X \le x) = P(X = 0) + P(X = 1) +$ 0.0 P(X = 2) = 1/8 + 3/8 + 3/8 = 7/8. (E) 0 3 4  $\rightarrow$  And, for  $x \ge 3$ ,  $F_X(x) = P(X \le x) = P(X = 0) + P(X_x = 1) + P(X = 0)$ 

2) + P(X = 3) = 1/8 + 3/8 + 3/8 + 1/8 = 1.

 $\rightarrow$  [Graph.] All properties satisfied!

**<u>POLL</u>:** In this example, what is the value of  $F_X(1) - \lim_{n \to \infty} F_X(1 - \frac{1}{n})$ ? (A) 1/8. (B) 3/8. (C) 1/2. (D) 5/8. (E) 7/8. (F) 1.

$$\to F_X(1) - \lim_{n \to \infty} F_X(1 - \frac{1}{n}) = P(X \le 1) - P(X < 1) = P(X = 1) = 3/8.$$
(B)

• All <u>discrete</u> distributions have somewhat similar cdfs. (piecewise-constant)

• e.g. Y = roll of one fair six-sided die. Check that all properties of  $F_Y$  are satisfied!



Suggested Homework: 2.5.2, 2.5.3, 2.5.7, 2.5.8, 2.5.9, 2.5.12.



- $\rightarrow$  But it is <u>so</u> important that it has its own symbol:  $\Phi(x)$ .
- $\rightarrow$  It can be computed using software (R: "pnorm"), or tables like Appendix D.2.
- Furthermore, the bell curve is <u>symmetric</u>, i.e.  $\phi(-u) = \phi(u)$  for all u.
  - $\rightarrow$  This implies that  $P(Z \leq x) = P(Z \geq -x)$ , i.e.  $P(Z \leq x) = 1 P(Z \leq -x)$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  So,  $\Phi(x) = 1 \Phi(-x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , i.e.  $\Phi(x) + \Phi(-x) = 1$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  It then also follows that  $\Phi(0) = 1/2$ .

END WEDNESDAY #5 -

**POLL:** e.g. Suppose  $Z \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$ . What is  $P(Z \le 1.43)$ ? **(A)**  $\Phi(1.43)$ . **(B)**  $1 - \Phi(-1.43)$ . **(C)**  $\int_{-\infty}^{1.43} \phi(x) \, dx$ . **(D)**  $(1/2) + \int_{0}^{1.43} \phi(x) \, dx$ . **(E)**  $1 - \int_{1.43}^{\infty} \phi(x) \, dx$ . **(F)** All of the above.

- $\rightarrow$  Well, P(Z \le 1.43) =  $\Phi(1.43) = 1 \Phi(-1.43)$ .
- $\rightarrow$  From the table in Appendix D.2, this is  $\doteq 1 (0.0764) = 0.9236$ .

 $\rightarrow$  And, since  $\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \phi(x) dx$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx = 1$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{0} \phi(x) dx = 1/2$ , the other expressions all equal this, too! So, (F)!

**POLL:** e.g. Suppose  $W \sim \text{Normal}(5, 4^2)$ . What is  $P(6 \le W \le 8)$ ? **(A)**  $\Phi(1/4)$ . **(B)**  $\Phi(3/4)$ . **(C)**  $\Phi(3/4) + \Phi(1/4)$ . **(D)**  $\Phi(3/4) - \Phi(1/4)$ . **(E)**  $\Phi(7/8) - \Phi(1/8)$ .

- $\rightarrow$  Well, here W = 5 + 4Z where  $Z \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$ .
- → So,  $P(6 \le W \le 8) = P(6 \le 5 + 4Z \le 8) = P(1/4 \le Z \le 3/4).$
- → By definition of  $\Phi$ , this is  $P(Z \le 3/4) P(Z \le 1/4) = \Phi(3/4) \Phi(1/4)$ . (D)
- $\rightarrow$  Then, this also equals

$$[1 - \Phi(-3/4)] - [1 - \Phi(-1/4)] = \Phi(-1/4) - \Phi(-3/4) = \Phi(-0.25) - \Phi(-0.75)$$

- $\rightarrow$  From the Appendix D.2 table, this is  $\doteq 0.4013 0.2266 = 0.1747$ .
- $\rightarrow$  So, here P(6  $\leq W \leq 8$ )  $\doteq 0.1747$ .

#### **Suggested Homework:** 2.5.4, 2.5.5.

• Suppose that X is <u>absolutely continuous</u>, with density function  $f_X(x)$ , and cumulative distribution function  $F_X(x)$ . What is the relationship between  $f_X$  and  $F_X$ ?

 $\rightarrow$  Well, we know that  $F_X(x) := P(X \le x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(u) du$ .

 $\rightarrow$  So, by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,

the derivative  $F'_X(x) := \frac{d}{dx} F_X(x)$  equals  $f_X(x)$ , at least if  $f_X$  is continuous at x.

 $\rightarrow$  That is, the derivative of the cdf is the density!

- e.g. Suppose  $X \sim \text{Exponential}(1)$ . Then we know  $F_X(x) = 1 e^{-x}$  for  $x \ge 0$ .  $\rightarrow$  Then for x > 0,  $F'_X(x) = \frac{d}{dx}[1 - e^{-x}] = -(-e^{-x}) = e^{-x} = f_X(x)$ . Yep!
- e.g. Similarly, for any  $\lambda > 0$ , if  $Y \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ , then for y > 0,  $F_Y(y) = 1 e^{-\lambda y}$ , and  $F'_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy}[1 e^{-\lambda y}] = (-\lambda)(-e^{-\lambda y}) = \lambda e^{-\lambda y} = f_Y(y)$ . Yep!
  - If  $Z \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$ , then we know  $\Phi'(z) = \phi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z^2/2}$ .  $\rightarrow$  Even though we don't really know exactly what  $\Phi(z)$  is!
  - e.g. Suppose a r.v. X has cdf  $F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 5\\ (x-5)^4, & 5 \le x < 6\\ 1, & x \ge 6 \end{cases}$ 
    - $\rightarrow$  Valid cdf? (Yes! Increases from 0 to 1, right-continuous ...)

• Mixture Distributions (§2.5.4): e.g. Consider the following random variables:

- $\rightarrow Y$  is the result of rolling one fair six-sided die, with cdf  $F_Y(y)$  as above.
- $\rightarrow Z \sim \text{Uniform}[2,5]$ , with cdf  $F_Z(z) = \frac{z-2}{3}$  for  $2 \le z \le 5$  as above.
- $\rightarrow W \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/3)$  (indep.), so P(W = 1) = 1/3 and P(W = 0) = 2/3.
- $\rightarrow$  Then, we let  $X = \begin{cases} Y, & W = 1 \\ Z, & W = 0 \end{cases}$

 $\rightarrow$  Intuitively, X is equal <u>either</u> to the result of the die (with probability 1/3), <u>or</u> to a Uniform[2,5] variable (with probability 2/3).

**POLL:** Then what is, say,  $F_X(4.4)$ ? **(A)**  $F_Y(4.4) + F_Z(4.4)$ . **(B)**  $[F_Y(4.4) + F_Z(4.4)]/2$ . **(C)**  $(1/3)F_Y(4.4) + (1/3)F_Z(4.4)$ . **(D)**  $(1/3)F_Y(4.4) + (2/3)F_Z(4.4)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  Well, by the Law of Total Probability,  $F_X(4.4) := P(X \le 4.4)$ 

- $= P(X \le 4.4, W = 1) + P(X \le 4.4, W = 0)$
- $= P(Y \le 4.4, W = 1) + P(Z \le 4.4, W = 0)$
- $= P(Y \le 4.4) P(W = 1) + P(Z \le 4.4) P(W = 0)$

 $= F_Y(4.4) (1/3) + F_Z(4.4) (2/3) = (4/6) (1/3) + (2.4/3) (2/3).$ (D)

- $\rightarrow$  More generally,  $F_X(x) = (1/3) F_Y(x) + (2/3) F_Z(x)$ , for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ .
- $\rightarrow$  (Can then plug in  $F_Y(x)$  and  $F_Z(x)$  to compute  $F_X(x)$ .)
- $\rightarrow$  The distribution of X is a <u>mixture</u> of the distributions of Y and of Z.
- In this example, is X continuous?

 $\rightarrow$  No! By independence, we have that e.g. P(X = 2) = P(W = 1, Y = 2) = P(W = 1) P(Y = 2) = (1/3)(1/6) = 1/18 > 0. Not zero, like for the continuous case.

• Ah, so then is X discrete?

 $\rightarrow$  No! Here  $\sum_{x \in \mathbf{R}} P(X = x) = \sum_{x=1}^{6} P(X = x) = \sum_{x=1}^{6} P(W = 1, Y = x) = \sum_{x=1}^{6} P(W = 1) P(Y = x) = \sum_{x=1}^{6} (1/3)(1/6) = 1/3 < 1$ . Not one, like for the

discrete case.

• Here X is has a <u>mixture</u> distribution. Neither discrete nor continuous!

 $\rightarrow$  (In this course we'll usually stick with <u>either</u> discrete <u>or</u> absolutely continuous. But there are other kinds of random variables too. Even "singular", beyond mixtures!)

Suggested Homework: 2.5.6, 2.5.13, 2.5.14, 2.5.15, 2.5.17, 2.5.18.

## Change of Variable Formula (one-dimensional) (§2.6)

- Suppose X is a random variable, and  $h : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  is some function.
  - $\rightarrow$  Then we can define Y = h(X), i.e. Y(s) = h(X(s)) for all  $s \in S$ . (e.g.  $Y = X^2$ )
  - $\rightarrow$  Then Y is another random variable. ("function of a random variable")
  - $\rightarrow$  So, Y has its own distribution. What is it??
- Discrete Case: Suppose X discrete:  $P(X = x_i) = p_i$  where  $p_i \ge 0$  and  $\sum_i p_i = 1$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  Then, Y is discrete too, with  $P(Y = y) = P(h(X) = y) = \sum \{p_i : h(x_i) = y\}.$
  - $\rightarrow$  That is,  $P(Y = y) = P(X \in \{x : h(x) = y\}).$
  - $\rightarrow$  Or, in terms of probability functions,  $p_Y(y) = \sum_{x:h(x)=y} p_X(x)$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  Discrete Change-of-Variable Theorem.

**<u>POLL</u>:** e.g.  $X = \text{roll of fair die, and } Y = (X - 3)^2$ . What is P(Y = 4)? (A) 0. (B) 1/6. (C) 1/3. (D) 1/2. (E) 2/3. (F) 5/6.

 $\rightarrow$  Well, P(Y = 4) = P(X \in \{x : (x-3)^2 = 4\}) = P(X \in \{1, 5\}) = (1/6) + (1/6) = 2/6 = 1/3.

 $\rightarrow$  Also, P(Y = 1) = P(X \in \{x : (x-3)^2 = 1\}) = P(X \in \{2, 4\}) = (1/6) + (1/6) = 2/6 = 1/3.

- $\rightarrow$  And,  $P(Y = 9) = P(X \in \{x : (x 3)^2 = 9\}) = P(X \in \{6\}) = (1/6)$ . More?
- → Yes! Also  $P(Y = 0) = P(X \in \{x : (x 3)^2 = 0\}) = P(X \in \{3\}) = (1/6).$
- $\rightarrow$  That is,  $p_Y(y) = 1/3$  for y = 1, 4;  $p_Y(y) = 1/6$  for y = 0, 9; otherwise 0.
- Easy! But what if X is continuous? Trickier!
- Absolutely Continuous Case: Suppose X has density  $f_X(x)$ , and Y = h(X).
  - $\rightarrow$  Then what is the density function  $f_Y(y)$  for Y?

**<u>POLL</u>:** Will Y necessarily be absolutely continuous at all?

(A) Yes, Y must be absolutely continuous (i.e., have a density).

(B) Well, Y must be continuous (i.e. P(Y=y) = 0 for all y), but not necessarily absolutely continuous.

- (C) Well, Y might not be continuous, but cannot be a discrete random variable.
- (D) Actually, Y could even be a discrete random variable.
- (E) No idea.
  - Well, let's consider an example ...

• e.g.  $X \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$ , and  $h(x) = \begin{cases} 2, & x \le 1/3 \\ 4, & x > 1/3 \end{cases}$ 

→ Then if Y = h(X), then  $P(Y = 2) = P(X \le 1/3) = 1/3$ , and P(Y = 4) = P(X > 1/3) = 1 - (1/3) = 2/3. That is,  $p_Y(2) = 1/3$ , and  $p_Y(4) = 2/3$ .

 $\rightarrow$  So, Y is discrete! Not continuous at all!

• But what if *h* satisfies certain conditions?

 $\rightarrow$  Then must Y be absolutely continuous, i.e. have a density  $f_Y(y)$ ?

 $\rightarrow$  And if yes, then what must  $f_Y(y)$  equal?

END MONDAY #5

[<u>Reminder</u>: MIDTERM #1, Wednesday Oct 9, at regular lecture time, in Exam Centre (EX) room 320 or 100. Bring TCard, basic calculator.]

END WEDNESDAY #6 ——

[Reminder: Monday Oct 14 is THANKSGIVING – no classes.]

END MONDAY #6

**<u>POLL</u>:** Suppose X is absolutely continuous, with density function  $f_X(x)$ , and Y = h(X). Then Y must also be absolutely continuous, i.e. also have a density function, provided that h is: (A) Continuous. (B) Non-decreasing. (C) Strictly increasing. (D) Constant. (E) None of the above. (F) No idea.

• Absolutely Continuous Change-of-Variable Theorem: Suppose X has density  $f_X(x)$ , and Y = h(X), where  $h : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  is differentiable and strictly increasing or decreasing (at least on  $\{x : f_X(x) > 0\}$ ), with inverse function  $h^{-1}(y)$ . Then Y is also absolutely continuous, with density function  $f_Y(y) = f_X(h^{-1}(y)) / |h'(h^{-1}(y))|$ .

 $\rightarrow$  That is,  $f_Y(y) = f_X(x)/|h'(x)|$ , where y = h(x) so  $x = h^{-1}(y)$ .

- Proof: Assume h is strictly increasing.
  - $\rightarrow$  Then it has an <u>inverse</u> function,  $h^{-1}(y)$ , with  $X = h^{-1}(Y)$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  By the Inverse Function Theorem,  $\frac{d}{dy}h^{-1}(y) := (h^{-1})'(y) = 1 / h'(h^{-1}(y)).$
- <u>Method #1:</u>

 $\to \text{Here } P(a \le Y \le b) = P(h^{-1}(a) \le X \le h^{-1}(b)) = \int_{h^{-1}(a)}^{h^{-1}(b)} f_X(x) \, dx.$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Now make the "substitution"  $x = h^{-1}(y)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  Then by "integration by substitution" or the "chain rule" from calculus, we have  $dx = d(h^{-1}(y)) = (h^{-1})'(y) dy = [1/h'(h^{-1}(y))] dy$ .

- $\rightarrow$  Hence, from above,  $P(a \leq Y \leq b) = \int_a^b \left[ f_X(h^{-1}(y)) / h'(h^{-1}(y)) \right] dy, \forall a \leq b.$
- $\rightarrow$  But this equals  $\int_a^b f_Y(y) \, dy$ , so we must have  $f_Y(y) = f_X(h^{-1}(y)) / h'(h^{-1}(y))$ .

 $\rightarrow$  (The first part  $f_X(h^{-1}(y))$  is intuitive. The rest is from the chain rule.)

• Method #2:

=

→ Here 
$$F_Y(y) = P(Y \le y) = P(h(X) \le y) = P(X \le h^{-1}(y)) = F_X(h^{-1}(y))$$
.  
→ So,  $f_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy}F_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy}F_X(h^{-1}(y)) = f_X(h^{-1}(y))\frac{d}{dy}h^{-1}(y)$   
 $f_X(h^{-1}(y))[1/h'(h^{-1}(y))] = f_X(h^{-1}(y))/h'(h^{-1}(y)).$ 

• Note: We need h to be increasing <u>only</u> where  $f_X(x) > 0$ ; other x don't matter.

• If instead h is strictly <u>decreasing</u>, then everything is still the same, except that h' and  $(h^{-1})'$  are <u>negative</u>, so we need to put an <u>absolute value sign</u> on it.

→ Or, in Method #2,  $P(Y \le y) = P(X \ge h^{-1}(y)) = 1 - P(X \le h^{-1}(y)) = 1 - F_X(h^{-1}(y))$  which gives a negative.

• e.g. Suppose  $X \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$ , and Y = 5X + 4.

<u>POLL</u>: Will Y be absolutely continuous? (C) Yes. (D) No. (E) No idea.

**POLL:** What distribution do you think Y will have?

(A) Uniform[0,1]. (B) Uniform[0,5]. (C) Uniform[0,9]. (D) Uniform[4,9].

(E) Some other Uniform distribution. (F) Some non-Uniform distribution.

- $\rightarrow$  Then  $f_X(x) = 1$  for  $0 \le x \le 1$ , otherwise 0.
- $\rightarrow$  Also h(x) = 5x + 4, strictly increasing, h'(x) = 5.
- $\rightarrow$  And, if y = 5x + 4, then x = (y 4)/5, so  $h^{-1}(y) = (y 4)/5$ .
- $\rightarrow$  So,  $f_X(h^{-1}(y)) = f_X((y-4)/5)$ , which = 1 for  $4 \le y \le 9$  otherwise 0.
- $\rightarrow$  And,  $h'(h^{-1}(y)) = h'((y-4)/5) = 5.$
- $\rightarrow$  So,  $f_Y(y) = f_X(h^{-1}(y)) / |h'(h^{-1}(y))| = 1/5$  for  $4 \le y \le 9$  otherwise 0.
- $\rightarrow$  That is,  $Y \sim$  Uniform[4,9], a familiar distribution! (Makes sense.) (D)

• Alternatively, use cdfs!

- $\rightarrow$  In above example, for  $4 \le y \le 9$ :
- →  $F_Y(y) = P(Y \le y) = P(5X + 4 \le y) = P(X \le (y 4)/5) = (y 4)/5.$
- $\rightarrow$  Hence, for  $4 \le y \le 9$ ,  $f_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy}F_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(y-4)/5 = 1/5$ . Same as before!
- e.g. Suppose  $X \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$ , and  $Y = X^2$ .

**<u>POLL</u>**: Will Y be absolutely continuous? (C) Yes. (D) No. (E) No idea.

**<u>POLL</u>**: What distribution do you think *Y* will have?

(A) Uniform[0,1]. (B) Uniform[0,2]. (C) Uniform[0,4]. (D) Uniform[1,4].
(E) Some other Uniform distribution. (F) Some non-Uniform distribution.

- $\rightarrow$  Then  $f_X(x) = 1$  for  $0 \le x \le 1$ , otherwise 0.
- $\rightarrow$  Also  $h(x) = x^2$ , strictly increasing for  $x \ge 0$ , and h'(x) = 2x.
- $\rightarrow$  And,  $h^{-1}(y) = \sqrt{y}$  for  $y \ge 0$ , so  $f_X(h^{-1}(y))$  is 1 for  $0 < y \le 1$  otherwise 0.
- $\rightarrow$  Therefore,  $h'(h^{-1}(y)) = 2h^{-1}(y) = 2\sqrt{y}$  for y > 0, otherwise 0.
- $\rightarrow$  So,  $f_Y(y) = f_X(h^{-1}(y)) / |h'(h^{-1}(y))| = 1/(2\sqrt{y})$  for  $0 < y \le 1$  otherwise 0.

**Suggested Homework:** 2.6.1, 2.6.2, 2.6.3, 2.6.4, 2.6.5, 2.6.6, 2.6.7, 2.6.9, 2.6.10, 2.6.12, 2.6.14, 2.6.15.

• e.g. Suppose  $X \sim \text{Exponential}(5)$ , and  $Y = X^2$ .

**<u>POLL</u>**: Will Y be absolutely continuous? (C) Yes. (D) No. (E) No idea.

**POLL:** What distribution do you think Y will have?

(A) Uniform[0,1]. (B) Uniform[0,5]. (C) Exponential(10). (D) Exponential(25).
(E) Some other Uniform or Exponential distribution. (F) Some <u>non</u>-Uniform nor Exponential distribution.

→ Here for y > 0,  $F_Y(y) = P(Y \le y) = P(X^2 \le y) = P(X \le \sqrt{y}) = 1 - e^{-5\sqrt{y}}$ . → So, for y > 0,  $f_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy}F_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy}[1 - e^{-5\sqrt{y}}] = -e^{-5\sqrt{y}}(-5y^{-1/2}/2)) = (5/2)e^{-5\sqrt{y}}/\sqrt{y}$ . (Otherwise  $f_Y(y) = 0$ .) Crazy, but true! [Check: Integrates to 1.]

 $\rightarrow$  Or, use the Theorem: Again  $h(x) = x^2$ , strictly increasing for  $x \ge 0$ , h'(x) = 2x,  $h^{-1}(y) = \sqrt{y}$  for  $y \ge 0$ , and here  $f_X(x) = 5e^{-5x}$  for  $x \ge 0$ , so for  $y \ge 0$ ,  $f_Y(y) = f_X(h^{-1}(y)) / |h'(h^{-1}(y))| = 5e^{-5\sqrt{y}}/2\sqrt{y}$ . Same! (F)

• e.g. Suppose  $Z \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$ , and Y = 6 + 3Z.

<u>POLL</u>: Will Y be absolutely continuous? (C) Yes. (D) No. (E) No idea.

**<u>POLL</u>**: What distribution do you think *Y* will have?

(A) Normal(0,1). (B) Normal(0,9). (C) Normal $(3,6^2)$ . (D) Normal $(6,3^2)$ .

(E) Some other Normal distribution. (F) Some <u>non</u>-Normal distribution.

#### END WEDNESDAY #7

• Here 
$$f_Z(z) = \phi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z^2/2}$$
.  
 $\rightarrow$  Also  $h(z) = 6+3z$ , strictly increasing, with  $h'(z) = 3$ . And,  $h^{-1}(y) = (y-6)/3$ .  
 $\rightarrow$  So,  $f_Y(y) = f_Z(h^{-1}(y)) / |h'(h^{-1}(y))| = \phi((y-6)/3) / 3$   
 $= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-[(y-6)/3]^2/2} / 3 = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(y-6)^2/(2\cdot3^2)}$ .  
 $\rightarrow$  This is the same as  $\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(y-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)}$  where  $\mu = 6$  and  $\sigma = 3$ .  
 $\rightarrow$  Hence,  $Y \sim$  Normal $(6, 3^2)$ , as might be expected. (D)  
 $\rightarrow$  (Similarly for any  $\mu$  besides 6, and  $\sigma$  besides 3.)  
 $\rightarrow$  This demonstrates that if  $Z \approx Normal(0, 1)$  and  $Y = \mu + \sigma Z$  then  $Y \approx 0$ .

 $\rightarrow$  This demonstrates that if  $Z \sim \text{Normal}(0,1)$ , and  $Y = \mu + \sigma Z$ , then  $Y \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , as we claimed before. (Phew.)

## Joint Distributions (§2.7)

- Suppose X and Y are two random variables.
  - $\rightarrow$  Suppose we know the distribution of X and also know the distribution of Y.
  - $\rightarrow$  Does that tell us the whole story? Maybe not!

• e.g. Suppose we flip two fair (independent) coins.

 $\rightarrow$  Let  $X = I_{\text{first coin Heads}}$ , i.e. X = 1 if first coin Heads, otherwise X = 0.

 $\rightarrow$  Then  $X \sim$  Bernoulli(1/2), i.e. P(X = 0) = P(X = 1) = 1/2.

 $\rightarrow$  Let  $Y_1 = X$ ,  $Y_2 = 1 - X$ , and  $Y_3 = I_{\text{second coin Heads}}$ .

**<u>POLL</u>:** What are the distributions of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  and  $Y_3$ ?

(A)  $Y_1 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/2); Y_2 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/2); Y_3 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/2).$ 

(B)  $Y_1 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/2); Y_2 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(-1/2); Y_3 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(3/2).$ 

(C)  $Y_1 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/2); Y_2 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(0); Y_3 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1).$ 

(D)  $Y_1 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/2); Y_2 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1); Y_3 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/2).$ 

(E) Some other Bernoulli distributions.

(F) Some other <u>non</u>-Bernoulli distributions.

• Here each of the  $Y_i$  is equally likely to equal 0 or 1.

 $\rightarrow$  So  $Y_1 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/2), Y_2 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/2), \text{ and } Y_3 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/2).$  (A)

 $\rightarrow$  But what about their <u>relationships</u> to X? e.g.  $P(X = 1 \text{ and } Y_i = 1)$ ?

**POLL:** What are  $P(X=1, Y_1=1)$ ; and  $P(X=1, Y_2=1)$ ; and  $P(X=1, Y_3=1)$ ? (A) 1/4; 1/4; 1/4. (B) 1/2; 1/2; 1/2. (C) 1/2; 1/2; 0. (D) 1/2; 0; 1/2. (E) 1/2; 0; 1/4. (F) 1/4; 0; 1/2.

 $\rightarrow$  Here P(X=1, Y<sub>1</sub>=1) = 1/2 [since Y<sub>1</sub> = X, same], and P(X=1, Y<sub>2</sub>=1) = 0 [since Y<sub>2</sub> = 1 - X, opposite], and P(X=1, Y<sub>3</sub>=1) = 1/4 [since Y<sub>3</sub>, X indep.]. (E)

 $\rightarrow$  All <u>different</u>! Despite <u>same</u> individual distributions!

• To really understand multiple variables, we need their joint distribution.

 $\rightarrow$  How to keep track? Joint probability functions (discrete case), joint density functions (absolutely continuous case), joint cdfs (most general; first).

#### Joint Cumulative Distribution Functions (§2.7.1)

• Given random variables X and Y, their joint cumulative distribution function or joint cdf is the function  $F_{X,Y} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0,1]$  given by  $F_{X,Y}(x,y) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq x, Y \leq y) \equiv \mathbb{P}(X \leq x \text{ and } Y \leq y).$ 

→ Like before, cdf's provide <u>all</u> information about <u>all</u> joint probabilities, e.g.  $P(a < X \le b, c < Y \le d) = F_{X,Y}(b,d) - F_{X,Y}(a,d) - F_{X,Y}(b,c) + F_{X,Y}(a,c).$  [Why?]

 $\rightarrow$  However, joint cdf's can be quite tricky, and difficult to work with.

 $\rightarrow$  So, we will <u>omit</u> them here. (But feel free to ask about them!)

#### Joint Probability Functions (§2.7.3)

• If X and Y are discrete, then we can keep track of their relationship by the joint probability function  $p_{X,Y}(x,y) := P(X = x, Y = y)$ .

• Above example:  $X = I_{\text{first coin Heads}}, Y_1 = X, Y_2 = 1 - X$ , and  $Y_3 = I_{\text{second coin Heads}}$ .

**POLL:** What is  $p_{X,Y_i}(x, y)$  with i = 1? (A)  $p_{X,Y_i}(1,1) = 1/2$  and  $p_{X,Y_i}(0,0) = 1/2$  (otherwise  $p_{X,Y_i}(x,y) = 0$ ). (B)  $p_{X,Y_i}(1,0) = 1/2$  and  $p_{X,Y_i}(0,1) = 1/2$  (otherwise  $p_{X,Y_i}(x,y) = 0$ ). (C)  $p_{X,Y_i}(1,0) = 1/2$  and  $p_{X,Y_i}(0,1) = p_{X,Y_i}(1,1) = 1/4$  (otherwise  $p_{X,Y_i}(x,y) = 0$ ). (D)  $p_{X,Y_i}(1,0) = p_{X,Y_i}(0,1) = p_{X,Y_i}(1,1) = 1/3$  (otherwise  $p_{X,Y_i}(x,y) = 0$ ). (E)  $p_{X,Y_i}(1,0) = p_{X,Y_i}(0,1) = p_{X,Y_i}(1,1) = p_{X,Y_i}(0,0) = 1/4$  (o.w.  $p_{X,Y_i}(x,y) = 0$ ). (F) Other.

**<u>POLL</u>:** Same question (and answers), except with i = 2.

**<u>POLL</u>:** Same question (and answers), except with i = 3.

• If we know  $p_{X,Y}(x, y)$ , can we find  $p_X(x)$  and  $p_Y(y)$ ?

→ Yes! From the Law of Total Probability (Unconditioned Version),  $p_X(x) = P(X = x) = \sum_y P(X = x, Y = y) = \sum_y p_{X,Y}(x, y)$  for all x. Similarly  $p_Y(y) = \sum_x p_{X,Y}(x, y)$  for all y. ("marginals") So,  $p_{X,Y}(x, y)$  has all the information.

• e.g. In above example,  $p_X(1) = p_{X,Y_3}(1,0) + p_{X,Y_3}(1,1) = 1/4 + 1/4 = 1/2$ , etc.

 $\rightarrow$  Can also write e.g.  $p_{X,Y_3}(x, y)$  in a table, with  $p_X(x)$  and  $p_{Y_3}(y)$  at the right and bottom margins, which is why they are called the "marginals":

	$Y_3 = 0$	$Y_3 = 1$	$p_X(x)$
X = 0	1/4	1/4	1/2
X = 1	1/4	1/4	1/2
$p_{Y_3}(y)$	1/2	1/2	

**<u>POLL</u>:** If we switch from  $Y_3$  to  $Y_1$ , which entries in the above table will <u>change</u>? (A) The blue ones, only. (B) The green ones, only. (C) The red ones, only.

(D) The blue and green but <u>not</u> red ones. (E) The blue and red but <u>not</u> green ones.

(F) The green and red but  $\underline{not}$  blue ones.

• Well, the marginal distribution of X (green) will not change.

 $\rightarrow$  And, the marginal distribution of the  $Y_i$  (red) are all Bernoulli(1/2) so they will not change.

 $\rightarrow$  But the joint (blue) probabilities will change, as discussed above. (A)

• Can we find <u>other</u> joint probabilities from  $p_{X,Y}(x,y)$ ?

 $\rightarrow$  e.g. can we find P( $a \leq X \leq b, c \leq Y \leq d$ ), for any a < b and c < d?

 $\rightarrow$  Yes!  $P(a \le X \le b, c \le Y \le d) = \sum_{a \le x \le b} \sum_{c \le y \le d} p_{X,Y}(x,y)$ , etc.

Suggested Homework: 2.7.3, 2.7.6.

## Joint Density Functions (§2.7.4)

• Random variables X and Y are jointly absolutely continuous if there is a joint density function  $f_{X,Y} : \mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}$ , which is  $\geq 0$ , with  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dx \, dy = 1$ , such that  $P(a \leq X \leq b, c \leq Y \leq d) = \int_{c}^{d} \int_{a}^{b} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dx \, dy$  for all  $a \leq b$  and  $c \leq d$ .

- Two-dimensional ("iterated") integral! (e.g. Appendix A.6.) [MAT237 ...]
  - $\rightarrow$  Compute the "inner" integral first, treating the outer variable as <u>constant</u>.
  - $\rightarrow$  Then, integrate the resulting expression as the outer integral.

 $\rightarrow$  Trickiest part: specify the inner limits of integration correctly, to ensure that the point (x, y) is always within the correct region (see examples below).

 $\rightarrow$  Can integrate in either order ("Fubini's Thm"), provided you do it correctly!

• Marginals? Similar to discrete case – "add up" the other variable.

$$\to \mathbf{P}(a \le Y \le b) = \mathbf{P}(a \le Y \le b, \ -\infty < X < \infty) = \int_a^b \left( \int_{-\infty}^\infty f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dx \right) dy.$$

- $\rightarrow$  But  $P(a \leq Y \leq b) = \int_a^b f_Y(y) \, dy$ , for all  $a \leq b$ .
- $\rightarrow$  So,  $f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx$ .
- $\rightarrow$  Similarly,  $f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dy.$

• SIMPLE EXAMPLE:  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \frac{4}{3}x + y^2$  for  $0 \le x \le 1$  and  $0 \le y \le 1$ , otherwise 0.

 $\rightarrow \text{Check:} \geq 0 \text{ (yes). And, } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dx \, dy = \int_{0}^{1} \left( \int_{0}^{1} \left( \frac{4}{3}x + y^{2} \right) \, dx \right) dy = \int_{0}^{1} \left( \frac{2}{3} + y^{2} \right) \, dy = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = 1. \text{ Yes.}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Or, in the other order:  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dy \, dx = \int_{0}^{1} \left( \int_{0}^{1} \left( \frac{4}{3}x + y^{2} \right) \, dy \right) dx = \int_{0}^{1} \left( \frac{4}{3}x + \frac{1}{3} \right) \, dx = \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = 1.$  Yes.

$$\rightarrow f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dy = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{4}{3}x + y^2\right) \, dy = \frac{4}{3}x + \frac{1}{3} \text{ for } 0 \le x \le 1, \text{ o.w. } 0.$$
  
 
$$\rightarrow f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dx = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{4}{3}x + y^2\right) \, dx = \frac{2}{3} + y^2 \text{ for } 0 \le y \le 1, \text{ o.w. } 0.$$

 $\rightarrow$  Check:  $\int_0^1 \left(\frac{4}{3}x + \frac{1}{3}\right) dx = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = 1$ , and  $\int_0^1 \left(\frac{2}{3} + y^2\right) dy = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = 1$ .

 $\rightarrow \text{And, } \mathbf{P}(X < \frac{1}{2}, Y < \frac{2}{3}) = \int_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (\frac{4}{3}x + y^2) \, dx \right) dy = \int_0^{\frac{2}{3}} (\frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{8} + y^2 \frac{1}{2}) \, dy = \left( \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{8} \frac{2}{3} + \left[ (\frac{2}{3})^3 / 3 \right] \frac{1}{2} \right) = 13/81.$ 

 $\rightarrow \text{Or, } \mathbf{P}(X < \frac{1}{2}, Y < \frac{2}{3}) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^{\frac{2}{3}} (\frac{4}{3}x + y^2) \, dy \right) dx = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{4}{3}x \frac{2}{3} + \left[ (\frac{2}{3})^3 / 3 \right] \right) dx = \left( \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{8} \frac{2}{3} + \left[ (\frac{2}{3})^3 / 3 \right] \frac{1}{2} \right) = 13/81.$  Same! Phew!

• RUNNING EXAMPLE:  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \frac{15}{32}xy^2$  for  $0 \le y \le x \le 2$ , otherwise 0. Diagram:

• Valid joint density function?

$$\rightarrow \text{Here } f_{X,Y} \ge 0, \text{ and } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dx \, dy = \int_{0}^{2} \left( \int_{y}^{2} \left( \frac{15}{32} xy^{2} \right) \, dx \right) dy = \int_{0}^{2} \left( \frac{15}{32} \frac{1}{2} x^{2} y^{2} \right) \Big|_{x=y}^{x=2} \, dy = \int_{0}^{2} \left[ \frac{15}{64} (2^{2} - y^{2}) y^{2} \right] \, dy = \frac{15}{64} [2^{2} \frac{1}{3} y^{3} - \frac{1}{5} y^{5}] \Big|_{y=0}^{y=2} = \frac{15}{64} [\frac{4}{3} (2^{3} - 0) - \frac{1}{5} (2^{5} - 0)] = 1.$$
 So, yes!

• What is  $P(0 \le X \le 1/2, 0 \le Y \le 1/4)$ ? We compute this as ...

 $\rightarrow \int_{0}^{1/4} \int_{y}^{1/2} \left(\frac{15}{32} x y^{2}\right) dx \, dy = \int_{0}^{1/4} \left(\frac{15}{32} \frac{1}{2} x^{2} y^{2}\right) \Big|_{x=y}^{x=1/2} dy = \int_{0}^{1/4} \left[\frac{15}{64} \left((1/2)^{2} - y^{2}\right) y^{2}\right] dy = \frac{15}{64} \left[(1/2)^{2} \frac{1}{3} y^{3} - \frac{1}{5} y^{5}\right] \Big|_{y=0}^{y=1/4} = \frac{15}{64} \left[\frac{1}{12} \left((1/4)^{3} - 0\right) - \frac{1}{5} \left((1/4)^{5} - 0\right)\right] = 17/65536 \doteq 0.00026.$  $\rightarrow \text{ Exercise: Compute P}(7/4 \le X \le 2, \ 3/2 \le Y \le 2). \text{ Is it larger?}$ 

• What is  $f_X(x)$ , the density function of X?

 $\rightarrow \text{ For } 0 \le x \le 2, \ f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dy = \int_0^x \left(\frac{15}{32}xy^2\right) dy = \left(\frac{15}{32}\frac{1}{3}xy^3\right)\Big|_{y=0}^{y=x} = \frac{15}{32}\frac{1}{3}x(x^3 - 0^3) = (5/32) \, x^4.$  (Otherwise  $f_X(x) = 0$  if x < 0 or x > 2.)

 $\rightarrow \text{Check: } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) \, dx = \int_0^2 (5/32) \, x^4 \, dx = (5/32) \left. \frac{1}{5} x^5 \right|_{x=0}^{x=2} = (5/32) \left. \frac{1}{5} (2^5 - 0^5) = 1. \right.$  Phew!

→ So e.g.  $P(X \le 1/3) = \int_0^{1/3} f_X(x) dx = \int_0^{1/3} (5/32) x^4 dx = (5/32) \frac{1}{5} x^5 \Big|_{x=0}^{x=1/3} = (5/32) \frac{1}{5} ((1/3)^5 - 0^5) = 1/7776 \doteq 0.00013.$ 

• What is  $f_Y(y)$ , the density function of Y?

 $\rightarrow \text{ For } 0 \le y \le 2, \ f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dx = \int_y^2 \left(\frac{15}{32}xy^2\right) \, dx = \left(\frac{15}{32}\frac{1}{2}x^2y^2\right) \Big|_{x=y}^{x=2} = \frac{15}{32}\frac{1}{2}(2^2 - y^2)y^2 = \frac{15}{64}(4y^2 - y^4). \ \text{(Otherwise } f_Y(y) = 0 \text{ if } y < 0 \text{ or } y > 2.)$ 

 $\rightarrow \text{Check: } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_Y(y) \, dy = \int_0^2 \frac{15}{64} (4y^2 - y^4) \, dy = \frac{15}{64} [4\frac{1}{3}y^3 - \frac{1}{5}y^5)] \Big|_{y=0}^{y=2} = \frac{15}{64} [4\frac{1}{3}(2^3 - 0^3) - \frac{1}{5}(2^5 - 0^5)] = 1. \text{ Phew!}$ 

• BONUS EXAMPLE: Suppose X and Y have joint density function  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \frac{1}{780}x^3y^2$  for  $1 \le x \le 3$  and  $2 \le y \le 5$ , otherwise 0. What is P(Y < X + 1)?

• SOLUTION #1: Integrate in the order dy dx.

**POLL:** Then 
$$P(Y < X + 1)$$
 is equal to: **(A)**  $\int_{1}^{3} \left( \int_{2}^{5} \frac{1}{780} x^{3} y^{2} dy \right) dx.$  **(B)**  $\int_{1}^{3} \left( \int_{2}^{x+1} \frac{1}{780} x^{3} y^{2} dy \right) dx.$  **(C)**  $\int_{1}^{y-1} \left( \int_{2}^{x+1} \frac{1}{780} x^{3} y^{2} dy \right) dx.$  **(D)**  $\int_{1}^{y-1} \left( \int_{2}^{5} \frac{1}{780} x^{3} y^{2} dy \right) dx.$ 

• Need to integrate  $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$  over the pink triangle:



 $\rightarrow$  So x goes from 1 to 3.

 $\rightarrow$  And, for each x, y goes from 2 to x + 1 (blue dashed line). (B) So,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{P}(Y < X+1) &= \int_{1}^{3} \Big( \int_{2}^{x+1} \frac{1}{780} x^{3} y^{2} \, dy \Big) dx \ = \int_{1}^{3} \Big( \frac{1}{780} x^{3} \frac{y^{3}}{3} \Big|_{y=2}^{y=x+1} \Big) dx \\ &= \int_{1}^{3} \Big( \frac{1}{2340} x^{3} [(x+1)^{3} - 2^{3}] \Big) dx \ = \frac{1}{2340} \int_{1}^{3} [x^{6} + 3x^{5} + 3x^{4} - 7x^{3}] dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2340} \Big[ \frac{x^{7}}{7} + 3\frac{x^{6}}{6} + 3\frac{x^{5}}{5} - 7\frac{x^{4}}{4} \Big] \Big|_{x=1}^{x=3} \\ &= \frac{1}{2340} \Big[ \frac{3^{7} - 1}{7} + 3\frac{3^{6} - 1}{6} + 3\frac{3^{5} - 1}{5} - 7\frac{3^{4} - 1}{4} \Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{2340} \Big[ \frac{26382}{35} \Big] \ = \frac{5963}{20475} \ \doteq 0.291233 \,. \end{split}$$

• SOLUTION #2: Integrate in the order dx dy.

**POLL:** Then P(Y < X + 1) is equal to: **(A)**  $\int_{2}^{5} \left( \int_{1}^{3} \frac{1}{780} x^{3} y^{2} dx \right) dy.$  **(B)**  $\int_{2}^{4} \left( \int_{y-1}^{3} \frac{1}{780} x^{3} y^{2} dx \right) dy.$  **(C)**  $\int_{2}^{5} \left( \int_{y-1}^{3} \frac{1}{780} x^{3} y^{2} dx \right) dy.$  **(D)**  $\int_{2}^{x+1} \left( \int_{2}^{5} \frac{1}{780} x^{3} y^{2} dx \right) dy.$ 

• Here y goes from 2 to 4 (not 5!).

 $\rightarrow$  And, for each y, x goes from y - 1 to 3 (purple dashed line). (B) So,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{P}(Y < X+1) &= \int_{2}^{4} \Big( \int_{y-1}^{3} \frac{1}{780} x^{3} y^{2} \, dx \Big) dy = \int_{2}^{4} \Big( \frac{1}{780} \frac{x^{4}}{4} y^{2} \Big|_{x=y-1}^{3} \Big) dy \\ &= \int_{2}^{4} \Big( \frac{1}{780} \frac{3^{4} - (y-1)^{4}}{4} y^{2} \Big) dy = \frac{1}{3120} \int_{2}^{4} \Big[ 3^{4} - (y-1)^{4} \Big] y^{2} \, dy \\ &= \frac{1}{3120} \int_{2}^{4} \Big[ (3^{4} - 1) y^{2} - y^{6} + 4 y^{5} - 6 y^{4} + 4 y^{3} \Big] \, dy \\ &= \frac{1}{3120} \Big[ (3^{4} - 1) \frac{y^{3}}{3} - \frac{y^{7}}{7} + 4 \frac{y^{6}}{6} - 6 \frac{y^{5}}{5} + 4 \frac{y^{4}}{4} \Big] \Big|_{y=2}^{y=4} \\ &= \frac{1}{3120} \Big[ (3^{4} - 1) \frac{4^{3} - 2^{3}}{3} - \frac{4^{7} - 2^{7}}{7} + 4 \frac{4^{6} - 2^{6}}{6} - 6 \frac{4^{5} - 2^{5}}{5} + 4 \frac{4^{4} - 2^{4}}{4} \Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{3120} \Big[ \frac{95408}{105} \Big] = \frac{5963}{20475} \doteq 0.291233. \end{split}$$

• So, we get the same answer either way, and either method is fine.

 $\rightarrow$  Both ways are a bit messy, but hopefully not too bad.

Suggested Homework: 2.7.4, 2.7.7, 2.7.8, 2.7.9, 2.7.14, 2.7.15, 2.7.16.

Conditioning and Independence for Discrete Random Variables (§2.8.1)

• Suppose X and Y are discrete with joint probability function  $p_{X,Y}$  given (in tabular form) by:

	Y = 5	Y = 6	$p_X(x)$
X = 2	0.0	0.1	0.1
X = 3	0.1	0.2	0.3
X = 4	0.2	0.4	0.6
$p_Y(y)$	0.3	0.7	

(Meaning that  $p_{X,Y}(2,5) = 0.0$ ,  $p_{X,Y}(3,5) = 0.1$ ,  $p_{X,Y}(4,6) = 0.4$ , etc.) (Marginals  $p_X(x)$  and  $p_Y(y)$  are also shown, found by summing.)

**<u>POLL</u>:** In this example, what is P(Y = 5 | X = 3)? (A) 1/6. (B) 1/5. (C) 1/4. (D) 1/3. (E) 1/2. (F) 1.

- We compute here that  $P(Y = 5 | X = 3) = \frac{P(X=3, Y=5)}{P(X=3)} = \frac{0.1}{0.3} = 1/3.$  (D)
  - → Similarly  $P(Y = 6 | X = 3) = \frac{P(X=3, Y=6)}{P(X=3)} = \frac{0.2}{0.3} = 2/3.$

 $\rightarrow$  Can write this as  $p_{Y|X}(5|3) = 1/3$ ,  $p_{Y|X}(6|3) = 2/3$ , otherwise  $p_{Y|X}(y|3) = 0$ .

 $\rightarrow$  So,  $p_{Y|X}(\cdot | 3)$  is a proper probability function ( $\geq 0$ , and sums to 1): the conditional distribution of Y given that X = 3.

→ Also,  $P(X = 2 | Y = 6) = \frac{P(X=2, Y=6)}{P(Y=6)} = \frac{0.1}{0.7} = 1/7$ , and P(X = 3 | Y = 6) = 2/7, and P(X = 4 | Y = 6) = 4/7. So,  $p_{X|Y}(2 | 6) = 1/7$ ,  $p_{X|Y}(3 | 6) = 2/7$ ,  $p_{X|Y}(4 | 6) = 4/7$ , the conditional distribution of X given that Y = 6.

 $\rightarrow$  Exercise: Find  $p_{X|Y}(x|5)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , i.e. the conditional distribution of X given that Y = 5.

• In general,  $p_{X|Y}(x \mid y) = \frac{P(X=x, Y=y)}{P(Y=y)}$ , and  $p_{Y|X}(y \mid x) = \frac{P(X=x, Y=y)}{P(X=x)}$ .  $\rightarrow$  Then e.g.  $P(a \leq Y \leq b \mid X = x) = \sum_{a \leq y \leq b} P(Y = y \mid X = x) = \sum_{a \leq y \leq b} p_{Y|X}(y|x) = \sum_{a \leq y \leq b} \frac{p_{X,Y}(x,y)}{p_X(x)} = \frac{P(a \leq Y \leq b, X=x)}{P(X=x)}$ , as it should.

END MONDAY #7

	Y = 5	Y = 6	$p_X(x)$
X = 2	0.0	0.1	0.1
X = 3	0.1	0.2	0.3
X = 4	0.2	0.4	0.6
$p_Y(y)$	0.3	0.7	

**<u>POLL</u>:** In the above example, what is  $P(X \ge 3 | Y = 6)$ ? (A) 2/3. (B) 3/4. (C) 4/5. (D) 5/6. (E) 6/7. (F) 7/8.

• What about independence?

• <u>Most general definition</u>: Two random variables X and Y are independent if the events  $\{X \in B\}$  and  $\{Y \in C\}$  are independent for all subsets  $B, C \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , i.e. if we always have  $P(X \in B, Y \in C) = P(X \in B) P(Y \in C)$ .

 $\rightarrow$  For example, if we take  $B = (-\infty, x]$  and  $C = (-\infty, y]$ , this means that  $P(X \leq x, Y \leq y) = P(X \leq x) P(Y \leq y)$ , i.e.  $F_{X,Y}(x, y) = F_X(x) F_Y(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ . (Equivalent definition. Optional.)

 $\rightarrow$  For <u>discrete</u> random variables X and Y, it suffices that the events  $\{X = x\}$ and  $\{Y = y\}$  are independent, i.e. P(X = x, Y = y) = P(X = x) P(Y = y), i.e.  $p_{X,Y}(x,y) = p_X(x) p_Y(y)$  for <u>all</u>  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ .

 $\rightarrow \text{ Then for <u>any</u> } B \text{ and } C, \text{ we have } P(X \in B, Y \in C) = \sum_{x \in B} \sum_{y \in C} p_{X,Y}(x,y) = \sum_{x \in B} \sum_{y \in C} p_X(x) p_Y(y) = \left(\sum_{x \in B} p_X(x)\right) \left(\sum_{y \in C} p_Y(y)\right) = P(X \in B) P(Y \in C).$ 

**<u>POLL</u>:** If X and Y are discrete and independent, which of these <u>must</u> be true?

(A)  $P(a \le X \le b, c \le Y \le d) = P(a \le X \le b) P(c \le Y \le d)$  for a < b and c < d.

**(B)**  $p_{X|Y}(x|y) = p_X(x)$  for all x, y with  $p_Y(y) > 0$ .

(C)  $p_{Y|X}(y|x) = p_Y(y)$  for all x, y with  $p_X(x) > 0$ .

- (D)  $p_{X|Y}(x|y) = p_{Y|X}(y|x)$  for all x, y with  $p_X(x), p_Y(y) > 0$ .
- (E)  $\underline{\text{All}}$  of the above.

(F) Just <u>three</u> of the above.

• Well, (A) follows by taking B = [a, b] and C = [c, d] above.

• And, if X and Y are discrete and independent, then  $p_{X|Y}(x \mid y) = \frac{P(X=x, Y=y)}{P(Y=y)} = \frac{P(X=x) P(Y=y)}{P(Y=y)} = P(X = x)$ , showing (B).

 $\rightarrow$  Similarly,  $p_{Y|X}(y \mid x) = P(Y = y)$ , showing (C).

• But (D) is false (and crazy!). So, the answer is (F): just three of the above.

• Independence means the values of Y do not affect the probabilities for X.

 $\rightarrow$  In above example, X and Y are <u>not</u> independent, since e.g.  $p_{X,Y}(3,5) = 0.1$ but  $p_X(3) p_Y(5) = (0.3)(0.3) = 0.09 \neq 0.1$ .

Suggested Homework: 2.8.1, 2.8.2, 2.8.5, 2.8.9, 2.8.10, 2.8.12, 2.8.13, 2.8.20.

Conditioning and Independence for Continuous Random Variables (§2.8.2)

- Suppose X and Y have joint density function  $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$ . Conditionals?
- Does  $P(a \le Y \le b | X = x)$  even make sense?
  - $\rightarrow$  No, since P(X = x) = 0, so we can't divide by it.
  - $\rightarrow$  Trick: Do it anyway!
  - $\rightarrow$  We first consider certain limits . . .

 $\rightarrow$  Intuitively, imagine replacing the event  $\{X = x\}$  by the event  $\{x \le X \le x + \epsilon\}$  for some small  $\epsilon > 0$ , so that  $P(x \le X \le x + \epsilon) > 0$ .

**<u>POLL</u>:** Suppose X and Y have continuous joint density  $f_{X,Y}(x, y)$ , and X has marginal density  $f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x, y) \, dy > 0$  for some x. Then for a < b,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \mathbf{P}(a \le Y \le b \mid x \le X \le x + \epsilon)$$

is equal to: **(A)**  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{a}^{b} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy$ . **(B)**  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{a}^{b} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy dx$ . **(C)**  $\int_{a}^{b} \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_{X}(x)} dy$ . **(D)**  $\frac{\int_{a}^{b} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy}{\int_{a}^{b} f_{X}(x) dx}$ . **(E)**  $\frac{\int_{a}^{b} f_{Y}(y) dy}{\int_{a}^{b} f_{X}(x) dx}$ . **(F)** No idea.

• We have that  $P(x \le X \le x + \epsilon) = \int_x^{x+\epsilon} f_X(u) \, du$ .

- $\rightarrow$  If  $f_X$  is <u>continuous</u> at x, and  $\epsilon > 0$  is small, then  $P(x \le X \le x + \epsilon) \approx \epsilon f_X(x)$ .
- $\rightarrow$  ["First-order approximation": formally,  $\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{x}^{x+\epsilon} f_X(u) \, du = f_X(x)$ .]
- $\rightarrow$  But also, if  $f_{X,Y}$  is <u>continuous</u> at (x,y) for  $a \leq y \leq b$ , then  $P(x \leq X \leq x + \epsilon, a \leq Y \leq b) = \int_a^b \int_x^{x+\epsilon} f_{X,Y}(u,y) du dy \approx \epsilon \int_a^b f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy.$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  So,  $P(a \le Y \le b \mid x \le X \le x + \epsilon) \approx \frac{\epsilon \int_a^b f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dy}{\epsilon f_X(x)} = \int_a^b \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_X(x)} \, dy.$  (C)

• Therefore, we <u>define</u> the conditional density of Y given that X = x, to be the density function  $f_{Y|X}(y \mid x) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_X(x)}$ , valid whenever  $f_X(x) > 0$ .

 $\rightarrow$  Then we say that  $P(a \leq Y \leq b \mid X = x) = \int_a^b f_{Y|X}(y \mid x) \, dy := \int_a^b \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_X(x)} \, dy.$ 

• What about independence?

 $\rightarrow$  Idea: X and Y being independent should imply that  $P(a \le Y \le b | X = x) = P(a \le Y \le b)$  for all a < b.

**<u>POLL</u>**: To ensure this, it suffices that:

(A)  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = 0$  for all x, y. (B)  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) > f_X(x)$  for all x, y. (C)  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) < f_Y(y)$  for all x, y. (D)  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_X(x)$  for all x, y. (E)  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_Y(y)$  for all x, y. (F)  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_X(x) f_Y(y)$  for all x, y.

• <u>Definition</u>: X and Y are independent if  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_X(x) f_Y(y)$  for "all"  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ .  $\rightarrow$  Then  $f_{Y|X}(y \mid x) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_X(x)} = \frac{f_X(x)f_Y(y)}{f_X(x)} = f_Y(y)$  whenever  $f_X(x) > 0$ .  $\rightarrow$  And,  $P(a \leq Y \leq b \mid X = x) = \int_a^b f_{Y|X}(y \mid x) dy = \int_a^b f_Y(y) dy = P(a \leq Y \leq b)$ .  $\rightarrow$  Then for any B and C, we have  $P(X \in B, Y \in C) = \int_{y \in C} \left( \int_{x \in B} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx \right) dy$   $= \int_{y \in C} \left( \int_{x \in B} f_X(x) f_Y(y) dx \right) dy = \int_{y \in C} f_Y(y) \left( \int_{x \in B} f_X(x) dx \right) dy$  $= \left( \int_{x \in B} f_X(x) dx \right) \int_{y \in C} f_Y(y) dy = P(X \in B) P(Y \in C).$ 

• Previous "running example":  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \frac{15}{32}xy^2$  for  $0 \le y \le x \le 2$ , otherwise 0.

 $\rightarrow$  Found that  $f_X(x) = (5/32)x^4$  for  $0 \le x \le 2$ , otherwise 0.

 $\rightarrow$  And that  $f_Y(y) = \frac{15}{64}(4y^2 - y^4)$  for  $0 \le y \le 2$ , otherwise 0.

**POLL:** In this example, are X and Y independent? (A) Yes. (B) No. (C) No idea.

 $\rightarrow$  Here  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) \neq f_X(x) f_Y(y)$ , and  $f_{Y|X}(y \mid x) \neq f_Y(y)$ , so <u>not</u> independent.

 $\rightarrow \text{ Indeed, for } 0 \le y \le x \le 2, \text{ we have } f_{Y|X}(y \mid x) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_X(x)} = \frac{\frac{15}{32}xy^2}{(5/32)x^4} = 3x^{-3}y^2.$  $\rightarrow \text{ So e.g. } P(0 \le Y \le 1 \mid X = 3/2) = \int_0^1 f_{Y|X}(y \mid 3/2) \, dy = \int_0^1 (3(3/2)^{-3}y^2) \, dy = 3(3/2)^{-3} \frac{1}{3}(1^3 - 0^3) = (3/2)^{-3} = 8/27.$ 

 $\rightarrow \text{Also P}(0 \le Y \le 3/2 \mid X = 3/2) = \int_0^{3/2} f_{Y|X}(y \mid 3/2) \, dy = \int_0^{3/2} (3(3/2)^{-3}y^2) \, dy = 3(3/2)^{-3} \frac{1}{3}((3/2)^3 - 0^3) = (3/2)^{-3}(3/2)^3 = 1.$  Makes sense since here  $0 \le Y \le X.$ 

<u>Summary – Independence of Random Variables (§2.8)</u>

- X and Y are independent if and only if <u>any one</u> of:
  - $\rightarrow P(X \in B, Y \in C) = P(X \in B) P(Y \in C)$  for all  $B, C \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ . (general)
  - $\rightarrow F_{X,Y}(x,y) = F_X(x) F_Y(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ . (general; optional)

 $\rightarrow p_{X,Y}(x,y) = p_X(x) p_Y(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ . (discrete)

 $\rightarrow p_{Y|X}(y \mid x) = p_Y(y)$  for "all"  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ , or vice-versa. (discrete)

- $\rightarrow f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_X(x) f_Y(y)$  for "all"  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ . (abs. continuous)
- $\rightarrow f_{Y|X}(y \mid x) = f_Y(y)$  for "all"  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ , or vice-versa. (abs. continuous)

Suggested Homework: 2.8.3, 2.8.4, 2.8.7, 2.8.8, 2.8.14, 2.8.15, 2.8.17.

- <u>Note:</u> We are <u>omitting</u> a few topics from the end of Chapter 2, including:
  - $\rightarrow$  Order Statistics (sorted sample values, from smallest to largest). (§2.8.4)
  - $\rightarrow$  Multivariable Change-Of-Variable Theorem. (§2.9)
  - $\rightarrow$  Computer algorithms to <u>simulate</u> probability distributions. (§2.10)
  - $\rightarrow$  All interesting! Check them out! Try the exercises! Ask me questions!

## [END OF TEXTBOOK CHAPTER #2]

## Expected Values: Discrete Case (§3.1)

• Intuitively, the <u>expected</u> or <u>average</u> or <u>mean</u> value of a random variable is what it equals "on average".

 $\rightarrow$  e.g. If P(X = 0) = P(X = 12) = 1/2, then E(X) = 6, the average value.

 $\rightarrow$  e.g. If P(X = 0) = 2/3 and P(X = 12) = 1/3, then E(X) = 4: weighted av.

END WEDNESDAY #8

[Reminder: Next week is READING WEEK – no classes!.]