Improbable, Impossible, and Murder

Jeffrey S. Rosenthal

Professor of Statistics University of Toronto

jeff@math.toronto.edu

www.probability.ca

@ProbabilityProf

(Bootmakers meeting, Toronto, October 26, 2024)

(1/22)

About Me ...

I'm a Professor of Statistics. A typical day's work:

Therefore $\begin{aligned} &\alpha^{-}(\beta_{i}^{(d)}) = \alpha^{+}(\beta_{i}^{(d)} - \ell/\sqrt{d}) \\ \stackrel{d^{1/2}}{\approx} \alpha^{+}(\beta_{i}^{(d)}) - \frac{(\ell(\beta_{i}^{(d)})I^{1/2}(\beta_{i}^{(d)}))'}{2} \left(\frac{-\ell}{\sqrt{d}}\right) \phi\left(-\frac{I^{1/2}(\beta_{i}^{(d)})\ell}{2} - \frac{\epsilon\ell K'''(\beta_{i}^{(d)})}{6I^{1/2}(\beta_{i}^{(d)})}\right) \\ &-\exp(-\epsilon\ell^{2}(\beta_{i}^{(d)})K'''(\beta_{i})/6)\frac{(\ell(\beta_{i}^{(d)})I^{1/2}(\beta_{i}^{(d)}))'}{2} \left(\frac{-\ell}{\sqrt{d}}\right) \times \\ &\times \phi\left(-\frac{I^{1/2}(\beta_{i}^{(d)})\ell}{2} + \frac{\epsilon\ell K'''(\beta_{i}^{(d)})}{6I^{1/2}(\beta_{i}^{(d)})}\right) \\ \end{aligned}$ Then, since $\underline{\ell} \stackrel{d^{1/2}}{\approx} \ell + \underline{\epsilon}\ell' \stackrel{d^{1/2}}{\approx} \ell + \epsilon\ell' = \ell + \frac{\ell\ell'}{d^{1/2}}$, we have that $\mu(\beta_{i}^{(d)}) \stackrel{d^{1/2}}{\approx} \frac{1}{2d^{1/2}} \left[-\alpha^{+}\ell + \left(\ell + \frac{\ell\ell'}{d^{1/2}}\right) \times \left(\alpha^{+}(\beta_{i}^{(d)}) - \frac{(\ell(\beta_{i}^{(d)})I^{1/2}(\beta_{i}^{(d)}))'}{2} \left(\frac{-\ell}{\sqrt{d}}\right)\phi\left(-\frac{I^{1/2}(\beta_{i}^{(d)})\ell}{2} - \frac{\epsilon\ell K'''(\beta_{i}^{(d)})}{6I^{1/2}(\beta_{i}^{(d)})}\right) - \\ \end{aligned}$

(2/22)

With a little fun thrown in ...



(3/22)

Meanwhile, one day I wrote a successful book ...



Then I was interviewed by the media about: Opinion Polls ...



Crime statistics . . .



Pedestrian death counts ...



(7/22)

Sports ...



Yes, even the Raptors ...



(9/22)

And lottery jackpots ...

TORONTO STAR	
ELLEN ROSEMAN	
W hat are your	odds of winning the lottery?
Unimaginably Jeffrey Rosentl	small, says University of Toronto statistics professor hal.
You have one (That's where 49.)	chance in 14 million to score big playing Lotto 6/49. you have to match all six numbers chosen from 1 to
"To put it in co car crash withi <i>Lightning: The</i>	ntext, you are over 1,000 times more likely to die in a n the year," Rosenthal says in his book, <i>Struck by</i> <i>Curious World of Probabilities</i> (HarperCollins, \$34.95).
"In fact, you a store to buy yo jackpot.	re more likely to die in a car crash on your way to the our lottery ticket than you are to win the lottery

Including the Lottery Retailer Scandal



(11/22)

Which Had Serious Consequences



\$12.5M lottery prize theft leads to 3 arrests

Last Updated: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 | 10:25 PM ET Comments - 462 Recommend - 322 CBC News



The case of Kathleen Chung, who allegedly cashed the winning ticket at her brother's convenience store in Burlington in early 2004, was profiled by the CBC's Fifth Estate, triggering a report by the Ontario ombudsman. (CBC) Three family members in the Toronto area have been charged in the theft of a \$12.5-million lottery prize, while police seek the rightful owner of the Lotto Super 7 ticket bought in 2003.

Two of the accused are a father and son who worked at a Burlington lottery outlet and were actively stealing tickets from customers, Ontario Provincial Police Commissioner Chris Lewis

(13/22)

... And Millions of Dollars Repaid!



http://probability.ca/lotteryscandal/

Probability related to MURDER?? Sherlock says ...



Logically impeccable, <u>if</u> everything else is <u>truly</u> eliminated. But . . . (15/22)

A Legal Case: Sally Clark (England)

- Solicitor in Cheshire, England.
- Had two sons; each died in infancy.
- "cot death" (SIDS)? Or murder!?!

• 1999 testimony by paediatrician Sir Roy Meadow: "the odds against two cot deaths in the same family are 73 million to one".

- "Impossible" (?). What remains? Murder!
- So, she was convicted! Jailed! Vilified! Third son temporarily taken away!
 - So, justice was served! Or was it?

How did Meadow compute that "73 million to one"? He said the probability of <u>one</u> child dying of SIDS was one in 8,543, so for <u>two</u> children dying, we <u>multiply</u>: $(1/8,543) \times (1/8,543) = 1/72,982,849 \approx 1/73,000,000.$



Clark Case: Valid Probability Calculation?

Was the multiplication valid? No! SIDS tends to run in families, so once a family has had one SIDS case, the second one is <u>more likely</u>. Were the probabilities accurate? No! He neglected factors which <u>increase</u> the probability, e.g. twice as likely for boys. (1/170,000?) Was the interpretation valid? No! One case, but "out of how many"? (Millions of families in the U.K. / World!)

"Prosecutor's Fallacy": conflating two <u>different</u> probabilities.

Royal Statistical Society: "approach is ... statistically invalid"

• Clark was eventually acquitted, on second appeal. (then died)

• The U.K. General Medical Council ruled that Meadow's evidence was "misleading and incorrect", constituting "serious professional misconduct". He was barred from future court work.

- Several other people's convictions were overturned on appeal.
- Prosecutors/judges everywhere learned a valuable lesson. (?)

(17/22)

A Related Case: Waneta & Tim Hoyt (New York)

Had <u>five</u> babies in 1965 – 1971. <u>All</u> died. Ages (months): 3, 28, 1.5, 2.5, 2.5.

Pediatrician Alfred Steinschneider investigated, wrote 1972 article for journal *Pediatrics*. Conclusion: "genetically-linked SIDS".

In 1977, they were allowed to adopt a son, who survived to adulthood.



In 1985, some prosecutors and pathologists got suspicious, and investigated. Eventually, Waneta Hoyt confessed to suffocating all five children, to stop them from crying.

She later "recanted" her confession, but was still convicted in 1985 of five murders. She died in prison in 1998 (age 52).

So, sometimes statistical evidence <u>is</u> indicative, even when you can't just multiply. It shouldn't necessarily be ignored.

The Cases Keep Coming: Kathleen Folbigg (Australia)

The Washington Post

Published June 4, 2023

Mother who served 20 years in deaths of 4 children freed after new evidence

Her trial in 2003 focused on her diary entries, in which Folbigg — now in her 50s — wrote she had "failed as a mother, a woman." Prosecutors argued that the deaths of four young children in a row could not be a tragic coincidence, and she was excoriated in the media. A jury convicted her of

In 2021, dozens of scientists — including two Nobel laureates — signed a petition urging the governor of New South Wales to pardon Folbigg, arguing that she was "wrongfully incarcerated" and that genetics may have caused the deaths. Geneticists have found rare mutations in the DNA of Folbigg and her daughters that can cause sudden death in infancy and childhood, and other variants found in her sons' DNA have also been connected to deaths in young children.

(19/22)

A Case I was Involved With: Leighton Hay

Accused of being 2002 murder accomplice. Witnesses: Hair was "two inch picky dreads". But Hay was shaved nearly bald when arrested. Crown: He <u>shaved his head</u> after the crime! Evidence: Tiny hair clippings in a garbage bin and on an electric shaver in his home. Convicted in 2004. Fresh appeal in 2011. Question: Were those clippings from a <u>scalp</u>? Statistical data: <u>Thickness</u> of the clippings.



Fact: Scalp hairs are usually \leq 125 microns thick, but beard hairs are often thicker. So what did that tell us?

My expert report: Of the 368 clippings collected, the number from a scalp was between 0 and 106 (29%), with the rest from a beard. 2013 SCC 61 judgment: New trial granted. Hay released from jail.

(20/22)

Another Case I was Involved With: Yuk Yuen Lee

Accused in 2013 of running a marijuana grow-up in Toronto.

Police seized 1378 + 2240 plants, all claimed to be marijuana.

However, they only actually <u>tested</u> 2 + 1 of them (!).

Convicted at trial, but what about the sentence?

If more than 500 plants, then mandatory three-year jail term.

My expert report: The testing was only sufficient to statistically conclude that at least 138 + 16 of the plants were marijuana.

2017 ONSC 2403 judgment: "Crown counsel took issue with respect to Professor Rosenthal's credibility. ... I did not find Professor Rosenthal lacking in credibility. His evidence was offered in the manner that one expects of an expert. ... I do not accept that the Crown has established the number of marijuana plants, thereby allowing the Crown to rely on the mandatory minimum."

Final sentence: Just the time already served in jail.

(21/22)

Final Words

- Statistics and probability have many important applications.
- Including to issues of law and justice and murder.
- But "improbable" does not imply "impossible".
- And murder might not be all that "remains".
- Have to consider the relative probabilities, even if unlikely.

CONCLUSION:

Statistical analysis can sometimes help achieve justice.

But it must be used carefully, with caution!

- My web page: www.probability.ca
- My book Struck By Lightning: www.probability.ca/sbl
- My justice-related article: www.probability.ca/justice
- My email: jeff@math.toronto.edu